

Spring 3-2015

2015 Chart Book: Irrigation Water Management

Peter Jeranyama

University of Massachusetts Amherst Cranberry Station, peterj@umass.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarworks.umass.edu/cranchart>



Part of the [Agriculture Commons](#), and the [Plant Sciences Commons](#)

Jeranyama, Peter, "2015 Chart Book: Irrigation Water Management" (2015). *Cranberry Chart Book - Management Guide*. 205.
<http://scholarworks.umass.edu/cranchart/205>

This Public Service and Outreach is brought to you for free and open access by the Cranberry Station Outreach and Public Service Activities at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cranberry Chart Book - Management Guide by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

IRRIGATION WATER MANAGEMENT 2015

Prepared by Peter Jeranyama

Water management is arguably one of the most critical issues affecting the cranberry industry for four major reasons: (a) crop production, (b) environmental concerns, (c) costs and (d) regulatory scrutiny. The objective of this section is to (i) introduce the concept of crop water stress index (CWSI), and (ii) discuss soil moisture monitoring devices such as tensiometers, moisture sensors and water level floats.

An evaporative demand study conducted by Bruce Lampinen showed that for many weeks during the growing season, most cranberry beds were too wet. Wet conditions as a result of inadequate drainage or excessive irrigation in cranberry production potentially result in increased root rot and fruit rot diseases, poor nutrient uptake, inhibition of root development, reduced fruit retention and reduced productivity. Traditionally, cranberry beds received one inch of water per week from either rain, capillary action from the groundwater, irrigation or some combination of these. But conditions can vary from bog to bog so the **one inch (1") rule** does not always result in ideal soil moisture conditions. In general the following bog conditions exist in MA (i) new renovations and constructions (0-10 years old) those with a constructed sub-grade, (ii) renovated beds that have a peat/hardpan natural underlayment, and (iii) older beds that, after sanding, have developed a layered soil in the root zone, alternating sand and layers with root mass (organic layers). The layering structure of these older bogs will present challenges to getting uniform contact with monitoring devices.

Plants maintain hydration and internal temperature through a process called transpiration in which water is moved from the soil, through the roots and shoots and out through pores (stomata) in the leaves. As this process occurs, moisture is depleted from the soil. The plant can control the rate of transpiration by controlling the opening of the leaf stomata to let the water out. However, there is evidence that cranberry has poor control over its stomata and therefore, its transpiration process. In other crops, crop water stress index (CWSI) is used to measure plant transpiration from canopy temperature and air dryness. Because of the poor control of transpiration in cranberry, we as yet have no such index specific to cranberry. And since there is evidence that cranberry has poor control over its transpiration process, leaf measurements alone may not sufficiently define CWSI for cranberry. There is a need to use a cafeteria approach that includes plant processes but also looks at the soil-water matrix to quantify water stress at different soil water conditions. This can then be used as the basis for irrigation scheduling over a wide variety of cranberry bogs. At present, our recommendations for irrigation management are based on soil water conditions only.

Measurement of soil water status is based on two technologies: (i) measuring the amount of water in the soil (e.g. 'feel test', water float, or volumetric water sensor) and (ii) measuring the energy status (water potential) of the water (e.g. tensiometer).

Appearance and Feel Method. Although measuring soil water by appearance and feel is not precise, with experience and judgment, farmers have been able to estimate soil moisture level with a reasonable degree of accuracy. **However, this can be very challenging in sandy soils and is not a recommended method for cranberry.**

Soil probing can be used as a check on other monitoring methods and is especially useful in monitoring the depth of penetration of irrigation applications and rainfalls.

Sometimes other problems, like compacted soil layers, can be detected from the probing. The following guideline is usually used on coarse textured soils, sandy loams and loamy sands. If soil in the hand is (i) dry, loose, flows through fingers - 0 to 25% available moisture, (ii) looks dry, will not form ball with pressure - 25 to 50% available moisture, (iii) will form a loose ball under pressure, will not hold together even with easy handling - 50 to 75% available moisture, and (iv) forms weak ball, breaks easily, will not 'slick' - 75 to 100% available moisture. But, as mentioned previously, this is a very imprecise method for the extremely sandy soils in a cranberry bog.

Water Level Floats. In cranberry, water level floats have been used to determine when to irrigate, but they only measure the level of the water table and do not include any plant processes or plant evaporative demand. And yet, it is the plants that in large part control the use of the soil water, thus depleting it and triggering the need for irrigation. Water level floats have the advantage that you can see the level of the water table without walking onto the bog. Instructions for constructing a water level float are available from UMass Cranberry Station website at: <http://www.umass.edu/cranberry/pubs/factsheets.html>.

Water demand by vines can be assessed by comparing the water level in the center of the bed to the water level in ditches to see if water is moving fast enough across the bed. By observing the water level float through several irrigation cycles, you can determine the number of hours required for an adequate irrigation. Note that this technology depends on the presence of a water table in the bed.

Tensiometers. A tensiometer is a sealed, water-filled tube with a vacuum gauge on the upper end and a porous ceramic tip on the lower end. A tensiometer measures the soil water potential in the soil. As the soil around the tensiometer dries out, water is drawn from the tube through the ceramic tip. This creates a vacuum in the tube that can be read on the vacuum gauge. When the soil water is increased, through rainfall or irrigation, water enters the tube through the porous tip, lowering the gauge reading.

Tensiometers provide a valuable measure of the energy status of water in the soil, thus providing a rigorous indication of the water availability to plants, with values that allow comparisons between a set of growing conditions.

A tensiometer reading in the **2 to 5 cbar** range should be expected as long as the water table is between 8 and 18 inches. This range is adequate for cranberries (see Table on next page).

NOTE: Tension readings are technically negative, but for simplicity of concept, we have chosen to report them in this book as positive numbers.

Volumetric Water Content. Soil water content indicates how much water is present in the soil. It can be used to estimate the amount of stored water in a profile or how much irrigation is required to reach a desired amount of water. Soil volumetric water content sensors provide a tool to measure the water content of the soil. Installing these sensors into the soil allows you to collect long-term measurements.

Based on our current research, cranberry bed soil appears to be saturated when volumetric water content is 30 to 40%. At this water content, the free air spaces are filled with water. Irrigation should be stopped before saturation to promote water and solute uptake by the plant. On the other hand, field capacity occurs at around 10% volumetric water content. Field capacity is the water content after a saturated soil has been drained of all free water. This corresponds to when you should to start to irrigate.

Volumetric water content measurements are simple, reliable and inexpensive.

76 Irrigation Water Management

Recommendations: A general problem with estimation of soil moisture arises because of the heterogeneity within soils, with single point measurements rarely being representative. Ideally, several devices should be distributed across the management area covered by an irrigation system.

We have shown through research that zone of saturation, when all air pores are filled with water, was reached between 30% and 40% volumetric water content depending on the soil subsurface. This volumetric water content corresponds to a tension of 1 and 2 cbar (or kPa). Field capacity is reached when the soil has drained all its free water and at this stage the soil is ready for irrigation. In our research, field capacity was reached between 5% and 15% which corresponds to a tension of 4 and 5 kPa. In simplicity, irrigation should be initiated when a tension of 4.5 kPa (at field capacity) has been reached and stopped when a tension of 2kPa (before saturation) has been achieved. Using a volumetric water sensor, irrigation should be started when a water content of 10% is recorded and stopped before 30% moisture content.

Table. Critical levels of tension, volumetric water content and water table level for irrigation scheduling on cranberry beds. Use these as a guide for when to irrigate.

	Morning tension	Midday tension	Volumetric water content	Water table level
	-----cbars-----		%	inches below surface
Too wet	0 to 2	0 to 2	>30	0 to 6
Adequate	>2 to 5	>2 to 10	15 to 29	>6 to 18
Too dry	>5 to 80	>10 to 80	<12	>18




Figure 1. Water retention curve from a cranberry bog at 0-3 inches and 3-6 inches soil depth.

The graph shows that irrigation in response to the drying of the soil should be initiated at 4.5 kPa where the graph flattens. Further increases in tension are associated with very little changes in water content in the soil as the remaining water is being tightly held by soil particles and is not readily available for plant uptake.




Figure 2. Precipitation (rainfall; vertical gray lines) effect on soil tension; section 3 is tension reading in one field and section 4 is reading from an adjacent field.

The graph shows that section 4 is drier than section 3 as indicated by the higher tension readings at any given time. Precipitation of 0.1 inches dramatically dropped tension readings by <-1.5 cbars on both fields. Section 3's tension was dropped to water saturation levels on Julian day 244 (September 1, 2014), but tension readings rose again as the field gradually dried up. Worth noting is that a slight precipitation caused the tension readings to remain less than -4.5 cbars (trigger point to set irrigation) and even three days after the precipitation, the tension did not rise to previous levels (especially in section 3). This provides solid evidence that irrigating every other day in summer may be too high a frequency, as the field will remain considerably too wet, providing a good environment for disease development.