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Scholarly communication issues & library license negotiation

Ellen Finnie efinnie@MIT.edu
Scholarly communication issues in library licenses

• Positively influence scholarly communication ecosystem – advance our objectives in long run

• Use all levers available to us, including library content licenses

• Scholarly communication and licensing staffs may not be organizationally connected
  – Set up a dialog about using licenses to advance campus/organizational objectives related to scholarly communications
Examples of relevant scholarly communication topics for negotiation

• License terms related to research, teaching, scholarship:
  – Course packs
  – Course reserves / MOOCs
  – Interlibrary loan
  – Scholarly sharing
  – Text/data mining
  – Authors’ rights for reuse / repository sharing rights
Model license language for scholarly communications authorized uses

• NERL Model license language: http://nerl.org/sites/default/files/nerl_docs/NERLMModelLicense111412.docx

• Liblicense Model license language: http://liblicense.crl.edu/licensing-information/model-license/

• Author Rights Model License Language: see https://authorrights.wordpress.com/

• See handout
Text/Data Mining Principles

• Output to be retained through research cycle for publication
• Output to be shared with research collaborators outside the institution
• Sufficient sharing for other researchers to replicate, and for publication of results
• Access to the fulltext with HTML and PDF options
• No added cost
• API must be sufficient
MIT examples: scholarly communications authorized uses

• All commercial publishers and some society publishers: scholarly sharing
  – See also discussion in relation to fair use at: https://fairduty.wordpress.com/2015/09/26/no-permission-needed/

• Springer: Text/data mining; MITx (MOOCs); scholarly sharing; author rights; automatic deposit of manuscripts

• Wiley (NERL contract): author rights (deposit of manuscripts in repository)

• Elsevier: Text/data mining; scholarly sharing