

University of Massachusetts Amherst

ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst

ISCCL Scientific Symposia and Annual General Meetings // Symposiums scientifiques et assemblées générales annuelles de l'ISCCL // Simposios científicos yy las Asambleas Generales Anuales

Panel 5 Rural Intangible Cultural Heritage

Junjie Su
Yunnan University

Mohamed Badry Kamel Basuny Amer M.A.
HeritageForAll Initiative

Xuanlin Liu
University of York

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/icomos_isccl



Part of the [Cultural Resource Management and Policy Analysis Commons](#), [Historic Preservation and Conservation Commons](#), [Landscape Architecture Commons](#), [Museum Studies Commons](#), and the [Social and Cultural Anthropology Commons](#)

Su, Junjie; Amer, Mohamed Badry Kamel Basuny M.A.; and Liu, Xuanlin, "Panel 5 Rural Intangible Cultural Heritage" (2019). *ISCCL Scientific Symposia and Annual General Meetings // Symposiums scientifiques et assemblées générales annuelles de l'ISCCL // Simposios científicos yy las Asambleas Generales Anuales*. 25.

https://scholarworks.umass.edu/icomos_isccl/2019/papers/25

This is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in *ISCCL Scientific Symposia and Annual General Meetings // Symposiums scientifiques et assemblées générales annuelles de l'ISCCL // Simposios científicos yy las Asambleas Generales Anuales* by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

Panel #1167 Rural Intangible Cultural Heritage

Paper in panel #1167: Egyptian Rural Practices and Socio-cultural Tourism: Living Heritage and Musealization (By Mohamed Badry Kamel Basuny Amer)

Paper in panel #1167: Reconceptualising Intangible heritage: The case of the Mongolian Ger (By Xuanlin Liu)

Paper in panel #1167: Rural Intangible Cultural Heritage and Ethnic Tourism: Experiences of Yunnan, China (By Junjie Su)

Collective Abstract:

Rural areas is the place where rural intangible heritage is found rich and diverse, whereas vulnerable to fast social, cultural, political and economic transformations, in particular in developing and underdeveloped areas. Although the concept of Intangible Cultural Heritage (ICH) has been established in UNESCO and accepted by many ICH Convention signatories, it has not been consistently adopted and implemented from international level to local level without divergencies. An analysis of rural ICH is to analyse how rural traditional culture, memories and past are used by different stakeholders for current society. (Re)defining rural ICH is a way to both rethink and develop the existing concepts of cultural heritage held by national and institutional discourses. This panel, which investigates three cases in China and Egypt, will provide evidence and theoretical rethought on the making and use of the concept of ICH in developing countries where the tangible heritage discourses have been well established and the intangible heritage discourse is polemical. These three papers will present diverse and emerging uses and discourses of ICH in terms of conservation, exhibition, commodification, education and musealisation from various perspectives.

In particular, this panel will address these issues:

1. How is ICH, or intangible heritage, used in rural areas in the fields of heritage tourism, museum, cultural industries, community development and other purposes?
2. How tourists, (non)-local visitors and other stakeholders contribute to the making of ICH through their cultural practices?
3. How can tangible and intangible heritage be understood and managed in an integrated/holistic approach such as the living heritage approach?
4. Are existing tangible-centred mechanism and managerial tools still useful for rural ICH which relates to local community, tangible elements and the landscape? If not, what improvements should be made?

Keywords: intangible cultural heritage (ICH), living heritage, rural heritage, continuity, commodification, musealization, China, Egypt

References:

UNESCO: *Convention for the Safeguarding of the ICH*, 2003.

Smith, L: *Uses of Heritage*. New York: Routledge, 2006

Smith, L and Akagawa, N (eds): *Intangible Heritage*, London: Routledge, 2009.

Akagawa, N and Smith, L (eds): *Safeguarding Intangible Heritage: Practices and Politics*, London: Routledge, 2018.

Poulios, I: *The Past in the Present: A Living Heritage Approach*, London: Ubiquity Press, 2014.

Authors' Biographies:

Dr. Junjie Su:

PhD (Deakin University, Australia), Associate Professor at Cultural Development Institute, Yunnan University, China, Expert Member of the International Committee on ICH of ICOMOS. Dr. Su holds M.A. in Cultural Heritage and Museology (Fudan, China) and B.S. in Cultural Heritage Conservation (XJTU, China). His research interests concern ICH, protection and use of heritage, heritage tourism, cultural industries, World Heritage, Critical Heritage Studies, Chinese heritage management.

Mohamed Badry Kamel Basuny Amer:

Mohamed Amer is HeritageForAll initiative founder. He is specialized in heritage management and marketing. In particular, he is a research and teaching assistant at GUTech Oman (affiliated to RWTH Aachen Uni.). He Holds M.A. in Heritage Conservation and Site Management from BTU Cottbus-Senftenberg and Helwan Uni. (2015), and he held internships with UNESCO Cairo and GoUNESCO. In 2016, he worked as a heritage development officer at Child Museum. Then, he worked as a heritage researcher at Ecumene Studio participating in the heritage project "SIWI".

Xuanlin Liu:

Ms Liu holds M.Phil. in Archaeology at University of Cambridge and M.S. in Sustainable Heritage at UCL, UK, and she is now a PhD Candidate in Archaeology at the University of York, UK. She worked as an Intern in Cultural Unit at Bangkok Office, UNESCO in 2018. Ms Liu's is a member of ICOMOS China and her research concerns ICH, living heritage, Critical Heritage Studies, Inner Mongolian heritage and World Heritage.

Individual Abstract:

Rural Intangible Cultural Heritage and Ethnic Tourism: Experiences of Yunnan, China

By Junjie Su

Abstract:

China is an active player in the international arena of intangible cultural heritage (ICH). While China is transforming from an agricultural country to an industrial country, rural heritage,

either tangible or intangible, is facing tremendous challenges and opportunities. Among Chinese provinces, Yunnan in Southwest of China can be regarded as the best case to investigate the issues of protection, use and transmission of rural heritage as Yunnan is a unique province of China because of its ethnic cultural diversity and geographic diversity. Based on literary studies and fieldworks, this paper illustrates history, cases, theories and practices in the protection and use of ICH in ethnic tourism development in the past 20 years. Yunnan has long been regarded as a “peripheral” part of China and ethnic cultures were treated as “primitive” that needs transformation. However, after China’s reform in 1978, the ethnic culture in rural areas in Yunnan has been changed into traditional and folk culture, cultural heritage (ICH, World Heritage, protected traditional villages and towns, etc) and ethnic tourism attractions. Meanwhile, several rural areas in Yunnan have evolved from a backward area into a popular tourism destination recognised home and abroad. With specific cases in terms of performing arts (dance, music, etc), handicrafts (metal, ceramics, textile, wood carving, etc), festivals and ICH related to cultural spaces (traditional villages, towns, landscapes, etc) in Yunnan, the paper will elaborate how rural ICH is transmitted, and/or re-created in a matrix of tourism commodification with participation of the local governments, entrepreneurs, local elites, community members and tourists. As well as advancing theoretical discussions in regard to authenticity, commodification and continuity, this paper also reflects on the practical strategies in commodifying rural ICH in ethnic tourism.

Keywords: Intangible Cultural Heritage (ICH), ethnic minority, commodification, authenticity, continuity, Yunnan, China, rural tourism

Reference:

- UNESCO: *Convention for the Safeguarding of the ICH*, 2003.
Chinese Government: *Law of China on Intangible Cultural Heritage*, 2011
Smith, L: *Uses of Heritage*. New York: Routledge, 2006
Smith, L and Akagawa, N (eds): *Intangible Heritage*, London: Routledge, 2009.
Akagawa, N and Smith, L (eds): *Safeguarding Intangible Heritage: Practices and Politics*, London: Routledge, 2018.

Egyptian Rural Practices: Living Heritage and Musealization **By Mohamed Badry Kamel Basuny Amer**

Abstract:

Rural heritage is a complicated cultural knowledge. Considering the visitors who come, to the living heritage sites, spending their spare time and at the same time, to get a piece of new knowledge in a nostalgic context, the heritage exhibition is the ideal EDUTAINMENTAL deliverable that could transmit the rural heritage knowledge using the interactive thinking methodology. The former approach creates a kind of curiosity for the visitors guaranteeing the life-long learning process. Therefore, reviewing the cultural significance of intangible cultural heritage, especially the manifestations of the rural socio-

cultural heritage practices, the research paper aims at presenting a new aspect musealization that contributes to sustaining the cultural heritage especially this kind of the material culture. The musealized spaces will contribute in particular to revive the cultural identity of the Egyptian rural communities; as well as will be spots to present, educate and safeguard the folklore.

Keywords: Rural Heritage , Musealization, Living Heritage

References:

- Amer, M. (2016). Sharing the Most World's Most Delicious Heritage: Eid festival at Child Museum, Cairo [Website]. Retrieved February 10, 2018, from GoUNESCO - Make Heritage Fun ! website: www.gounesco.com/sharing-worlds-delicious-heritage/
- Borges, L. C., & Botelho, M. B. (2008). Cosmology: An Intangible Heritage Exhibition and Educational Programme at the Museum of Astronomy, Rio de Janeiro. *International Journal of Intangible Heritage*, 3, 55–70.
- Boylan, P. J. (2006). The Intangible Heritage: A Challenge and an Opportunity for Museums and Museums Professional Training. *International Journal of Intangible Heritage*, 1, 53–65.
- Brooks, G. (2011). *Heritage as a Driver for Development, its Contribution to Sustainable Tourism in Contemporary Society* (pp. 496–505). Retrieved October 02, 2018, from International Council on Monuments and Sites (ICOMOS) website: http://openarchive.icomos.org/1207/1/III-1-Article1_Brooks.pdf
- Caballero, G. V. (2017). Crossing Boundaries: Linking Intangible Heritage, Cultural Landscapes, and Identity. *Pagtib-Ong: UP Visayas International Conference on Intangible Heritage*. Presented at the UP Visayas International Conference on Intangible Heritage, Iloilo City, Philippines. Retrieved December 27, 2017, from <http://openarchive.icomos.org/1814/>
- Corina, S. G. (2018). Rural Tourism an Opportunity for Sustainable Development. *Ovidius University Annals, Series Economic Sciences*, 18(2), 349–353.
- Court, S., & Wijesuriya, G. (2015). *People-Centred Approaches to the Conservation of Cultural Heritage: Living Heritage*. Retrieved December 25, 2018, from www.icrom.org/sites/default/files/PCA_Annexe-2.pdf
- Cranshaw, J. B., Luther, K., Kelley, P. G., & Sadeh, N. (2014). Curated City: Capturing Individual City Guides through Social Curation. *CHI '14- Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 3249–3258. Retrieved December 26, 2018, from <https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2557401&CFID=844682168&CFTOKEN=58552767>
- Deutscher Museums Bund. (2016). *Museums, Migration and Cultural Diversity: Recommendations for Museum Work*. Retrieved February 12, 2018, from www.nemo.org/fileadmin/Dateien/public/NEMO_documents/Nemo_Museums_Migration.pdf
- Doğanlı, B. (2019). Ensuring Branding in Rural Tourism via Medical Aromatic Herbs and an Empirical Study. In M. Meciar, K. Gökten, & A. A. Eren (Eds.), *Economic and Business Issues in Retrospect and Prospect* (1st ed., pp. 355–370). London: IJOPEC Publication Limited.
- El-Habashi, A., & Kandil, E. (2019). Regenerating the Egyptian Countryside: a Model for Interactive Humanistic Heritage. In G. Cairns & M. A. Segantini (Eds.), *AMPS Proceedings Series 15 "Tangible-Intangible Heritage(s)â Design, Social and Cultural Critiques on the Past, the Present and the Future"* (pp. 80–87). Retrieved May 09, 2019, from <http://architecturemps.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/AMPS-Proceedings-15-2-Tangible-Intangible-Heritages.pdf>
- Fouracre, D. (2015). Making an Exhibition of Ourselves? Academic Libraries and Exhibitions Today. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 41, 377–385.

- Gallou, E., & Fouseki, K. (2019). Applying Social Impact Assessment (SIA) Principles in Assessing Contribution of Cultural Heritage to Social Sustainability in Rural Landscapes. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*.
- Grynchuk, J., & Romaniuk, I. (2018). Development of Rural Green Tourism in the Regions of Ukraine on the Basis of European Integration. *Baltic Journal of Economic Studies*, 4(4), 100–105.
- Herle, A. (2001). Exhibition and Representation: Stories from the Torres Strait Islanders Exhibition. *Museum International*, 53(3), 8–18.
- Hjorth, J. (2003). *Exhibitions! The Nature of Exhibitions, What Are They and Could They Be Better? the Swedish Travelling Exhibitions Experience* (Graduation Project). Institute of Conservation, Göteborg University, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Kamps, H., & Weide, S. (2011). *The Social Significance of Museums*. Retrieved February 05, 218 from www.dsp-groep.eu/projecten/the-social-significance-of-museums/
- Labbé, M. P. S. (2015). Wrinkled Places: Musealising Indigenous Heritage in Latin America. *Museum International*, 67(1–4), 104–115.
- Mason, R. (2014, November 5). Heritage and Identity: What Makes Us Who We Are? [Organization]. Retrieved April 1, 2018, from The Heritage Alliance website: www.theheritagealliance.org.uk/tha-website/wp-content/uploads/2014/11/Heritage-and-Identity-talk-Rhiannon-Mason.pdf
- Matero, F. (2004). Exploring Conservation Strategies for Ancestral Puebloan Sites: Tsankawi, Bandelier National Monument, New Mexico. *Conservation and Management of Archaeological Sites*, 6(2), 67 – 84.
- Pencarelli, T., Cerquetti, M., & Splendiani, S. (2016). The Sustainable Management of Museums: An Italian Perspective. *Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 22(1), 29–46.
- Poullos, I. (2010). Moving beyond a Values-based Approach to Heritage Conservation. *Conservation and Management of Archaeological Sites*, 12(2), 170–185.
- Poullos, I. (2014). Discussing strategy in Heritage Conservation: Living Heritage Approach as an Example of Strategic Innovation. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*, 4(1), 16–34.
- Raji, M. N. A., Karim, S. Ab., Arshad, M. M., & Ishak, F. A. C. (2018). Community Development through Food Tourism: Exploring the Utilization of Local Food as Community Development at Rural Destination in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(10), 937 – 951.
- Rudolff, B. (2006). *'Intangible' and 'Tangible' Heritage: A Topology of Culture in Contexts of Faith* (Inaugural Dissertation (PhD Thesis)). Institute of Geography, and Faculty for Chemistry, Pharmacy and Geo-sciences (09), Johannes Gutenberg-University of Mainz, Germany.
- Sandes, C. A. (2015). Identity and Heritage in the Global City: The Barbican Estate and Robin Hood Gardens, London, UK. In P. F. Biehl, D. C. Comer, C. Prescott, & H. A. Soderland (Eds.), *Identity and Heritage: Contemporary Challenges in a Globalized World* (pp. 37–46). New York: SpringerBriefs in Archaeology.
- Throsby, D. (2002). *Cultural Capital and Sustainability Concepts in the Economics of Cultural Heritage* (pp. 101–117). Los Angeles, U.S.A.: Getty Conservation Institute.
- UNESCO. (1990). *The 1989 Recommendation on the Safeguarding of Traditional Culture and Folklore* [Records of the 21st UNESCO General Conference]. Retrieved January 25, 2018, from UNESCO website: <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0008/000846/084696e.pdf#page=242>
- UNESCO (2003). *Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage*. , MISC/2003/CLT/CH/14.
- UNESCO. (2012). Culture: A Driver and an Enabler of Sustainable Development [Thematic Think Piece]. Retrieved January 5, 2018, from UN System Task Team on the Post-2015 UN Development Agenda website: www.un.org/millenniumgoals/pdf/Think%20Pieces/2_culture.pdf

- UNESCO. (2013). Operational Guideline for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention [Organization]. Retrieved August 11, 2015, from UNESCO World Heritage Center website: <http://whc.unesco.org/archive/opguide13-en.pdf>
- UNESCO. (2015a). *Policy Document for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention*. Retrieved May 12, 2018, from <https://whc.unesco.org/document/139146>
- UNESCO. (2015b). *Recommendation Concerning the Protection and Promotion of Museums and Collections, Their Diversity and Their Role in Society*. Retrieved February 13, 2018, from UNESCO website: http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=49357&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html
- UNESCO. (2016). *Culture: Urban Future. Global Report on Culture for Sustainable Urban Development*. Retrieved November 17, 2018, from <http://openarchive.icomos.org/1816/1/245999e.pdf>
- Vinson, I. (2001). Heritage and Museology: a New Convergence. *Museum International*, 53(3), 58–64.
- Willie, C. L. B., & Dusome, D. (2003). *Thinking about Starting a Museum? A Discussion Guide and Workbook on Museums and Heritage Projects*. Alberta, Canada: Museums Alberta.
- Yoshida, K. (2004). The Museum and the Intangible Cultural Heritage. *Museum International*, 56(1–2), 108–112.

Reconceptualising Intangible Heritage: The case of Mongolian Ger.

By Xuanlin Liu

Abstract:

Intangible cultural heritage has been gaining increasingly attention and is now being used to critique the tangible-dominated authorized heritage discourses. However, the emphasis on non-material discourse could lead to a dichotomy between tangible and intangible heritage and overlook the materiality in intangible heritage. This has been found in the analysis of the development of cultural heritage discourse, the professional heritage management works and people's experience in heritage tourism. In order to mitigate the dichotomy, this paper proposes a living heritage approach to investigate the making of heritage values through an understanding of people's cultural practices of the materiality with their subjective agencies and experiences.

This research uses Mongolian Ger as an example. Mongolian Ger is traditional dwellings that have predominantly located in central Asia for over three thousand years. These traditional dwellings form an essential part of pastoralism. The making craft of Mongolian Ger has been listed as national intangible cultural heritage in China in 2008. However, the Ger in recent times has been influenced by permanent grazing, tourism and modernity. The wooden material has been replaced by bricks, and the Gers has been transformed to solid structure instead of movable ones. They are now frequently used as tourism attractions and restaurants that have less original functions, which corresponds to the changes of Inner Mongolia's society.

Noting insufficient consideration on the intangible aspects of the Ger, including traditional handcraft skills of the Mongolian Ger as well as various people's use of the Ger, the research tends to redefine the relationship between tangible and intangible heritage, based on an analysis of professional and public opinions of the differences between "traditional Ger" and "modern Ger". Through observation it is seen that even though the physical environment is changing inevitably, people could still perceive the process of cultural creation in the tangibility because it can be seen as an embodiment of the living culture. In this scenario, the material creation does not only lead to culture changes but also becomes a medium that enable people to perceive and adopt culture changes. This research finally provides a living holistic thinking to explore Mongolian Ger in respect to living heritage approach, which requires balanced practices and sufficient considerations on both tangible and intangible dimensions.

Key words: Living heritage, dichotomy, materiality, intangibility, modernity, change

References:

Baille, B., & Chippindale, C., 2007. Conference report: Tangible-Intangible cultural heritage: A sustainable dichotomy? The 7th Annual Cambridge Heritage Seminar, 13 May 2006. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, UK. *Conservation and Management of Archaeological Sites*, 8, 174- 176.

Cassar, M. 2009. Sustainable Heritage: Challenges and Strategies for the Twenty-First Century, APT Bulletin. *Journal of Preservation Technology*, 40 (1), 3 - 11.

Smith, L., & Akagawa, N. (Eds.). 2009. *Intangible Heritage* (First ed.). London and New York: Routledge.

Evans, C. & Humphrey, C. 2002. After-lives of the Mongolian yurt - The 'archaeology' of a Chinese tourist camp. *Journal of Material Culture*, 7, 189-210.

UNESCO. 2013. Traditional craftsmanship of the Mongol Ger and its associated customs. Retrieved from <https://ich.unesco.org/en/RL/traditional-craftsmanship-of-the-mongol-ger-and-its-associated-customs-00872>