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ABSTRACT

A SEMISYNTHETIC STRATEGY LEADS TO ALTERATION OF THE BACKBONE AMIDATE LIGAND IN THE NISOD ACTIVE SITE

SEPTEMBER 2015

JULIUS OMAYAO CAMPECIÑO, B.S., MSU-ILIGAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
Ph.D., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS-AMHERST

Directed by: Professor Michael J. Maroney

Computational investigations have implicated the amidate ligand in nickel superoxide dismutase (NiSOD) in stabilizing Ni-centered redox catalysis and in preventing cysteine thiolate ligand oxidation. To test these predictions, we used an experimental approach utilizing a semisynthetic scheme that employs native chemical ligation of a pentapeptide (HCDLP) to recombinant S. coelicolor NiSOD lacking these N-terminal residues, NΔ5-NiSOD. Wild-type enzyme produced in this manner exhibits the characteristic spectral properties of recombinant WT-NiSOD and is as catalytically active. The semisynthetic scheme was also employed to construct a variant where the amidate ligand was converted to a secondary amine, H1*-NiSOD, a novel strategy that retains a backbone N-donor atom. The H1*-NiSOD variant was found to have only ~1% of the catalytic activity of the recombinant wild-type enzyme, and have altered spectroscopic properties. X-ray absorption spectroscopy reveals a four-coordinate planar site with N2S2-donor ligands, consistent with electronic absorption spectroscopic results indicating that the Ni center in H1*-NiSOD is mostly reduced in the as-isolated sample, as opposed to 50:50 Ni(II)/Ni(III) mixture that is typical for the recombinant wild-type enzyme. The EPR spectrum of as-isolated H1*-NiSOD accounts for ~11% of the Ni in
the sample and is similar to WT-NiSOD, but more axial, with $g_z < g_{x,y}$. $^{14}$N-hyperfine is observed on $g_z$, confirming the addition of the apical histidine ligand in the Ni(III) complex. The altered electronic properties and implications for redox catalysis are discussed in light of predictions based on synthetic and computational models.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</th>
<th>vi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>viii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xiv</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CHAPTER**

1. **THE NICKEL SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE** ............................................... 1
   1.1 Introduction ........................................................................................ 1
      1.1.1 Superoxide Radical: Its Biochemistry and Pathology .................... 1
      1.1.2 Superoxide Dismutase: Nature’s way to regulate the level of superoxide radical ................................................................. 3
   1.2 Evolution and Genomics ...................................................................... 5
   1.3 NiSOD Molecular Structure, Electronic Structure and Catalytic Mechanism . 8
      1.3.1 Molecular Structure .................................................................... 8
      1.3.2 Electronic Structure ................................................................. 11
      1.3.3 Mechanism ................................................................................ 14
         1.3.3.1 Superoxide Self Disproportionation ..................................... 14
         1.3.3.2 NiSOD Superoxide Dismutation ......................................... 15
         1.3.3.3 Outer-sphere vs Inner-sphere ........................................... 17
   1.4 The Role of the Nickel Ligands .......................................................... 21
      1.4.1 The Role of the Thiolate Ligands .............................................. 21
      1.4.2 The Role of the Imidazole Ligand ............................................. 24
      1.4.3 The Role of Mixed Amine/Amide Ligand .................................. 28
      1.4.4 The Role of the Second Sphere Ligands ..................................... 31
   1.5 Summary ......................................................................................... 33
   1.6 References ...................................................................................... 34

2. **SEMISYNTHETIC APPROACH FOR CONSTRUCTING H1*-NISOD** ............ 42
   2.1 Introduction .................................................................................... 42
2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Mutagenesis
2.2.2 NAΔ5-NiSOD Protein Expression and Purification
2.2.3 NAΔ5-NiSOD Chemical Rescue
2.2.4 His-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-Nbz (Protected Unmodified HCDLP)

2.2.5 His-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-SBn Synthesis (Modified H1*-CDLP)

2.2.6 Native Chemical Ligation and Product Purification
2.2.7 Cys2 Protective Group Removal, Folding and Metalation

2.3 Results and Discussion

2.4 References

3. REACTION KINETICS AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF H1*-NISOD

3.1 Introduction
3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy
3.2.2 UV-Vis Spectroscopy
3.2.3 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy
3.2.4 Pulse Radiolysis

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Structural Characterization of the Ni Site in H1*-NiSOD
3.3.2 UV-Vis Spectroscopy
3.3.3 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy
3.3.4 Redox Catalysis

3.4 Discussion

3.5 Future Direction
3.6 References
## LIST OF TABLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>H1*-NiSOD first- and second-coordination sphere EXAFS fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, E₀; Debye-Waller factor, σ²; and statistical parameters.</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>WT-NiSOD first- and second-coordination sphere EXAFS fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, E₀; Debye-Waller factor, σ²; and statistical parameters.</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1</td>
<td>Rates of reactions and [SOD] dependence for H1*-NiSOD.</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2</td>
<td>pH dependent activity assay of semisynthetic WT-NiSOD at 2 μM concentration.</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3</td>
<td>Extended x-ray absorption fine structure first shell fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, E₀; Debye-Waller factor, σ²; and statistical parameters. Fit highlighted in yellow is the best fit.</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.4</td>
<td>XANES analysis for H1*-NiSOD.</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure | Page
--- | ---
1.1 | Model for Nur-dependent inverse regulation of sodN and sodF (reprinted with permission from Oxford University Press). .......................................................7
1.2 | NiSOD molecular and active site structures. A. 4-helix monomer. B. Tripod-like trimer with C3 rotation. C. Hexamer. D. The active site structure. E. Hexamer C2 relationship. ................................................................................10
1.3 | NiSOD proposed active site channel. ..............................................................11
1.5 | A. Molecular orbital energy level diagram for the oxidized (ox) and reduced (red) form of NiSOD. (Adapted with permission from reference 52. Copyright (2005) American Chemical Society.) B. Illustration of the Ni active site π orbitals..............................................................................................................13
1.6 | Rate contants of superoxide self disproportionation and superoxide dismutation catalyzed by MnSOD (green), CuZnSOD (red) and NiSOD (black) (credit: Dr. Diane Cabelli). ..........................................................................................................15
1.7 | Proposed catalytic cycle for NiSOD from DFT calculations. (Adapted with permission from reference 59. Copyright (2006) American Chemical Society.). ........................................................................................................18
1.8 | Proposed outer-sphere mechanism for NiSOD. (Reprinted with permission from reference 49. (Copyright (2009) American Chemical Society.). ............20
1.9 | A. Sulfur K-edge spectra of radiolitically and chemically reduced WT NiSOD. (Reprinted with permission from reference 67. Copyright (2004) American Chemical Society.) B. Sulfur K-edge spectra of (a) oxidized NiSOD mimic at pH 7.4, (b) reduced mimic at pH 9.5 and (c) reduced mimic at pH 7.4. Inset: structure of the reduced NiSOD mimic, Ni(II)[M]H (copyright © 2013 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim).................................................22
1.10 | Spectral data taken during pulse radiolytic catalysis of WT-NiSOD (black) and H1A-NiSOD (red, blue and green). (Reprinted with permission from reference 72. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.). .................24
1.11 Thin film voltammograms and redox mechanisms for [NiII(SODM2)] and [NiII(SODM2H(1)A)]. (Adapted with permission from reference 63. Copyright (2007) American Chemical Society.) .............................................................26

1.12 Plot of \( k_{ET} \) vs overpotential for \([\text{Ni}^{II/III}(\text{SOD})\text{-Hisoff}] \) (green), low spin \([\text{Ni}^{II/III}(\text{SOD})\text{-His}_{\text{on}}] \) (red) and high spin \([\text{Ni}^{II/III}(\text{SOD})\text{-His}_{\text{on}}] \) (purple). (Reprinted with permission from reference 63. Copyright (2007) American Chemical Society.) \( k_{ET} \) values for \( \text{O}_2 \) (squares) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (circles) generation are: \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (red square), \( 6.3 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (green square), \( 2.8 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (green circle), \( 4.6 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (red circle) and \( 1.7 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (purple circle). The \( k_{ET} \) value at the overpotential required for \( \text{O}_2 \) generation for high spin \([\text{Ni}^{II/III}(\text{SOD})\text{-His}_{\text{on}}] \) (purple square) is not provided in the text. ......................................................28

1.13 Complexes A-F used in reference 80 (with kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media © 2006).....................................................................................30

1.14 Crystal structure for WT-NiSOD (A) and Y9F-NiSOD (B). (Reprinted with permission from 49. Copyright (2009) American Chemical Society.)..............31

2.1 The Ni site structures of WT-NiSOD (left) and H1*-NiSOD (right). .............42

2.2 The pelB WT-NiSOD amino acid sequence. Highlighted in blue is the pelB sequence. Highlighted in red is the first five amino acids that are deleted to form the NΔ5-NiSOD. .....................................................................................44

2.3 ESI-MS for NΔ5-NiSOD after the Q-sepharose purification. .....................45

2.4 ESI-MS of NΔ5-NiSOD after the chemical rescue. .....................................46

2.5 A. ESI –MS of H1*-NiSOD after ND5 and H*CDLP-thioester NCL. The peaks under the blue dots are the peaks that correspond to the charge states for H1*-NiSOD. Unlabeled peaks correspond to the charge states of oxidized and desulfurized NΔ5-NiSOD. .....................................................................................51

2.6 Semisynthetic approach for constructing H1*-NiSOD................................53

2.7 ESI-mass spectra of the semisynthetic WT-NiSOD (A) and H1*-NiSOD (B) after the removal of the 2-nitrobenzyl Cys2 protective group by UV irradiation. Peaks under the red dots in figure B correspond to a modified NΔ5-NiSOD that does not contain a high-affinity nickel binding site. ..........54

2.8 Size exclusion chromatography on the NiSOD variants. The apparent MW determined for all variants is approximately 73 kDa (Calculated: 79 kDa). ...56
3.1 Ni K-edge XANES spectra for dithionite-reduced WT-NiSOD and dithionite reduced H1*-NiSOD. Figure insets: (A) Fourier-transformed (k = 2-12.5 Å⁻¹) EXAFS (blue) and fit (red). (B) k³-weighted EXAFS data (blue) and fit (red).

3.2 UV-Vis absorption spectra of the NiSOD variants...

3.3 EPR spectra of recombinant WT-NiSOD, semisynthetic WT-NiSOD and H1*-NiSOD.

3.4 Catalytic activity pH dependence of recombinant WT-NiSOD (black square) compared with that of semi-synthetic WT-NiSOD (red circle). The catalytic activity of H1*-NiSOD at pH= 7.50 is shown as a blue triangle.

3.5 NiSOD active site diagrams illustrating the different interactions between the N-donor ligands and a Ni π-symmetry 3d orbital in WT-NiSOD with a Cys2 amidate ligand (left), and in H1*-NiSOD with a Cys2 2° amine (right).

A.1 XANES spectrum fitted with an error function and two gaussian functions...
CHAPTER 1
THE NICKEL SUPEROXIDE DISMUTASE

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Superoxide Radical: Its Biochemistry and Pathology

Oxygen is a diatomic molecule that plays an important role in aerobic respiration as the final dumping ground for electrons in the electron transport chain: a cellular process involved in energy production in mitochondria.\(^1\) The molecular oxygen is reduced to water by cytochrome oxidase using the electrons that are passed down in the electron transport chain from one enzyme complex to another in a tightly choreographed mechanism. In this mechanism, cytochrome oxidase is the last enzyme complex to receive the reducing electrons.\(^2\) Cytochrome oxidase then reduces molecular oxygen in a stepwise fashion – one electron at a time. Coupled with these electron transfer processes is the pumping of protons from the mitochondrial matrix into the intermembrane space. This creates a proton gradient which drives the synthesis of ATP.\(^2\) The drawback of the stepwise redox reaction, however, is that it is not foolproof and about 1-2% of the total daily human oxygen consumption is not completely reduced to water but released as superoxide radical (O\(_2^\cdot\)) and it is believed that the electron transport chain in the mitochondria is the main source of superoxide radical.\(^1,2\)

The superoxide radical itself is a benign radical; so low in reactivity that it was previously argued that a defense mechanism against this radical is not important.\(^3,4\) However, this benign reactivity makes O\(_2^\cdot\) more dangerous because it can diffuse over long distances, without being quenched, and eventually finds its target.\(^5\) It has been
shown that O$_2^-$ could inactivate catalases, glutathione peroxidases, and more than a dozen of other enzymes - most importantly FeS-cluster containing enzymes such as aconitase and dehydratases. The iron ions released from these deactivated FeS-cluster containing enzymes act as catalyst for the Haber-Weiss reaction:

$$\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{O}_2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \text{OH}^\cdot \quad (2)$$

$$\text{O}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH}^\cdot + \text{OH}^- + \text{O}_2 \quad (3)$$

O$_2^-$ and H$_2$O$_2$ from the Haber-Weiss reaction work together to produce an even more toxic reactive oxygen species, hydroxyl radical (OH$^\cdot$). The hydroxyl radical is so reactive that it will react with the first molecule it encounters.

Since superoxide radical is predominantly produced in mitochondria, the most important target is the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Damage to mtDNA was one of the bases for the mitochondrial theory of aging. The damage to the mtDNA causes mutations to the encoded proteins; the more important mutations are the ones involving the respiratory complexes. These mutations decrease the efficiency of the electron transport chain; and as pointed out by Genova et al. (2004), these mutations “lead to further production of reactive oxygen species, thus establishing a vicious cycle of oxidative stress and energetic decline”. This oxidative stress is thought to play a major contribution to all human inflammatory diseases, ischemic diseases, hemochromatosis, and neurologic diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.
1.1.2 Superoxide Dismutase: Nature’s way to regulate the level of superoxide radical.

Energy production (in the form of ATP) via aerobic respiration has the advantage over glycolysis (anaerobic) in that it produces 18 times more ATP per glucose molecule. However, one trade-off for this advantage is the production of $O_2^-$. Since $O_2^-$ is an inevitable by-product of aerobic respiration and since reactive oxygen species are tremendously destructive, superoxide radical has to be regulated at the cellular level. To acquire both the advantage of aerobic metabolism as well as the ability to survive against reactive oxygen species, microorganisms and complex organisms had evolved with a defense mechanism using superoxide dismutases (SODs). The pressure to develop a defense against $O_2^-$ is so intense that organisms developed SODs on three separate occasions and these are Fe/MnSOD, CuZnSOD, and NiSOD.

Superoxide dismutases are indispensable for an aerobic lifestyle and are found in all organisms exposed to oxygen. They are even found in facultative anaerobes with the exception of Neisseria gonorrhoeae, and Lactobacillus plantarum and related lactobacilli which use high concentration of manganese to scavenge $O_2^-$. Even a few examples of obligate anaerobes harbor this class of enzyme. Microorganisms can possess one, two, or all three classes of SODs to meet their antioxidative demands. Among SODs, the most ancient is perhaps FeSOD on the basis that it is found in microorganisms that are extremely primitive. This claim is also consistent with the bioavailability of iron prior to the Great Oxidation Event; whereas, Cu and Zn were still tied up in insoluble sulfide containing minerals in the crust. The first superoxide dismutase isolated was CuZnSOD by Mann and Keilin in 1938. It was then called haemacuprien (isolated from the blood corpuscles and serum of mammals) and hepatocuprien (isolated from the ox liver). Its
Enzymatic activity was unknown until in 1969 when McCord and Fridovich discovered that the enzyme catalyzed the dismutation of superoxide radical to molecular oxygen.\textsuperscript{25} Shortly thereafter, MnSOD and FeSOD were isolated from \textit{E. coli}, \textsuperscript{26,27} and all three have since been found in a variety of organisms in all three life forms: archea, bacteria and eukarya.\textsuperscript{23}

The most recent SOD that has been discovered is one that harbors nickel in its active site – NiSOD. It was first isolated in \textit{Streptomyces} species;\textsuperscript{28,29} and its encoding gene, \textit{sodN}, was later found in marine cyanobacteria, actinomycetes, gammaproteobacteria, bacteriodetes, planctomycetes and deltaproteobacteria.\textsuperscript{30,31} NiSOD is unique among the superoxide dismutases in that it uses Ni(II) ions, which are redox inactive in the absence of the protein environment in aqueous media. Unlike aqueous solutions containing Cu(II), Mn(II), and Fe(II), aqueous Ni(II) ions do not catalyze the dismutation of superoxide radical.\textsuperscript{23} This is perhaps due to the fact that the redox potential of aqueous Ni(II) is estimated to be +2.29 V,\textsuperscript{32,33} hence, it is stable in aqueous medium and renders Ni(III) unattainable because water can be oxidized at a potential less extreme than Ni(II).\textsuperscript{23,33} This inaccessible Ni(II) redox potential is decreased to the optimal redox potential by the redox tuning afforded by the nickel active site ligand environment.\textsuperscript{33}

This chapter will examine the structure and function of the nickel active site protein environment in NiSOD with an in-depth review particularly focused on the roles played by the nickel active site ligands in redox catalysis. The roles played by second-sphere residues will also be highlighted. To complete the story on NiSOD, the enzyme evolution and genomics will also be discussed in the section that follows.
1.2 Evolution and Genomics

The *sodN* gene that encodes the NiSOD enzyme had previously been noted in *Synechococcus* sp. and *Prochlorococcus* sp.\(^{34}\) Since then, it has been found in a number of organisms; and its phylogenetic distribution has been characterized.\(^{31}\) The phylogenetic tree of forty-three fully sequenced genomes of model organisms revealed four major clusters: I. actinomycetes, II. cyanobacteria, III. gammaproteobacteria and bacteriodetes, and IV. planctomycetes, cyanobacteria and deltaproteobacteria.\(^{23,31}\) With the exception of cluster IV, each major cluster can be broken down into two or three subclusters. Additional metagenome sequences of forty-one microbes from the Sargasso Sea,\(^{35}\) and a full sequence from gutless worm endosymbiont metagenome,\(^{36}\) a within the major clusters, form new subclusters within the major clusters, or are simply unassignable.\(^{31}\) The phylogenetic tree previously constructed can be found in reference 31.

Using homology modeling and similarity searches, the predicted secondary structures of the post-translationally modified *sodN* gene products (*vide infra*) of representative organisms from each of the phylogenetic clusters could be sufficiently aligned to the structure of *Streptomyces* sp. NiSOD, even though the sequence alignment suggested that some of the genomes are only 30% similar to the *Streptomyces* sp *sodN*.\(^{31}\) The sequence alignment revealed that the most conserved region in the *Streptomyces sodN* gene product after post-translational modification (*vide infra*) is the N-terminal Ni-hook motif (HCDLPCGV-).\(^{23,31}\) In this motif only His1, Cys2 and Cys6 are invariant. Tyr9 and Pro5 are highly conserved, with Tyr9 replaced by Phe only in one sequence, and Pro5 is replaced by either Tyr or Phe in only two *sodN* sequences, both from
Mycobacterium. The rest of the amino acids are variable, but the variation is phylogenetically consistent. For example, Asp3 is replaced only by glutamine in cluster IV except in the gutless worm endosymbiont where it is replaced by glutamate. Gly7 is replaced by either Ala or Lys in most sequences in cluster III; and Val8 is replaced by Ile in several sequences within clusters III and IV.

The sodN gene is regulated by nickel-uptake regulator, Nur, which is a transcriptional regulator in the Fur (ferric-uptake regulator) family. In Streptomyces coelicolor, Nur indirectly regulates sodN through its direct interaction with the promoter region of sodF, a gene that encodes FeSOD. In the presence of submicromolar Ni(II) concentration, Nur binds to the Nur-consensus sequence (Nur-box), tTGCaa-N5-ttGCAA, that overlaps with sodF promoter region (Figure 1.1). This binding represses sodF and inhibits the production of FeSOD. Since the sodN promoter region is uninhibited, the sodN gene is expressed to produce NiSOD precursor protein. This precursor protein has a 14-amino acid N-terminal prosequence that is proteolytically cleaved by a peptidase (sodX). Proteolysis only commences in the presence of Ni(II) to expose the N-terminal Ni-hook region (HCDLPCGVY-) and produce a mature NiSOD. Under nickel-limited conditions, apo-Nur loses its ability to bind to the Nur-box, allowing the expression of the sodF gene at the same time repressing the expression of sodN. The repression of sodN occurs at the level of mRNA translation. It was revealed that a conserved 19 nucleotide upstream of sodN is complementary to the sodF downstream sequence. Under nickel-limiting conditions, transcription of the sodF gene produces a full length sodF mRNA containing a 90 nucleotide 3’UTR (untranscribed region). From this 3’UTR, a 19 nucleotide anti-sodN mRNA is cleaved off.
and functions as a small regulatory mRNA (s-SodF). The cleaved s-SodF forms a perfect base pairing with the 5' end of the sodN mRNA up to 18 base pairs. This base pairing inhibits translation of sodN mRNA and facilitates its degradation (Figure 1.1).\textsuperscript{40} This tight regulation leading up to NiSOD maturation has Ni(II) involved in 3 steps: sodN expression, N-terminal proteolytic processing, and active site constitution.\textsuperscript{38}

**Figure 1.1.** Model for Nur-dependent inverse regulation of sodN and sodF (reprinted with permission from Oxford University Press).\textsuperscript{40}

While *Streptomyces coelicolor* contains both FeSOD and NiSOD, in some *Streptomyces* sp. FeSOD is lost; while NiSOD appears to be ubiquitous within the genus.\textsuperscript{42} It was found that most of the sodN-containing genomes sequenced to date do not have the sodF gene.\textsuperscript{31} It is believed that organisms first acquired MnSOD/FeSOD based on the pervasive presence of sodA (MnSOD) and sodF genes throughout the tree of life and in ‘primitive’ life forms.\textsuperscript{31,43} Compiled data suggest that ancestral lineages of some bacteria contain either MnSOD or FeSOD, while daughter lineages acquired NiSOD after the invention of oxygencic photosynthesis.\textsuperscript{31} This evolution and its acquisition via
horizontal gene transfer is a response to the decreased availability of soluble iron as a result of the oxygenation of marine environment. Fe limitation is so pervasive in marine environments that all of sodN-sodF exchanges occurred in marine life forms.

The role of nickel in biological systems is not well understood due to the limited number of Ni-containing enzymes. The discovery of Ni-containing superoxide dismutases was totally unexpected and surprised the community studying SODs knowing that the redox potential of aquated nickel is biologically inaccessible and the use of thiolate ligands in the nickel active site is incompatible with superoxide dismutation products (oxygen and hydrogen peroxide). The discovery of NiSOD opened up an exciting era for Ni metallobiochemistry; and since its discovery, much had been understood about NiSOD including its molecular structure, electronic structure, and catalytic mechanism which will be discussed in the next section.

1.3 NiSOD Molecular Structure, Electronic Structure and Catalytic Mechanism

1.3.1 Molecular Structure

The quaternary structures of mature wild-type NiSOD from \textit{Streptomyces coelicor} and \textit{Streptomyces seoulensis} are homohexamers and virtually identical. This is expected since these two enzymes are highly homologous with 90% sequence identity. The hexamer has a molecular weight of approximately 80 kDa and is composed of 13.2 kDa monomers each containing one Ni center. The monomeric structure is a 4-helix bundle in an up-and-down topology stabilized by hydrophobic packing at the base of the structure and hydrogen bonding within the loops between helices (Figure 1.2A). Interhelical interactions are further stabilized by salt bridges and
hydrogen bonding. The 4-helix structure is unique from the other SOD structures which feature β-barrel fold (CuZnSOD) and α- and β-structure (Fe/MnSOD) and only dimeric or tetrameric as opposed to hexameric for NiSOD. The hexamer structure is a hollow sphere with an exterior diameter of about 60 Å and an internal diameter of about 20 Å filled with water and cocrystallized ions. Hexamer formation is independent of N-terminal processing or nickel binding, although the active site structure of apo-NiSOD is more disordered than that of the holo-enzyme.

To form the hexameric structure, sets of three subunits come together to form a tripod-like structure through contacts at the N-terminal of the α2 helices (Figure 1.2B). This tripod-like trimer features a 3-fold axis that relates the three monomers to each other. The hexamer involves locking two tripod-like trimers together so that their legs interdigitate. This is stabilized by hydrophobic interactions (Figure 1.2C) and features three 2-fold axes perpendicular to the 3-fold axis that relate monomers from two tripod-like trimers (Figure 1.2E). This structure arranges the six nickel ions in a distorted octahedron with Ni-Ni distance of approximately 25 Å.

The nickel first coordination sphere includes two thiolates from Cys2 and Cys6, the Cys2 amidate backbone N-donor, the His1 imidazole, and the N-terminal amine (Figure 1.2D). An interesting feature in the NiSOD active site is the dual conformation of the His1 imidazole ligand. It is bound to nickel in the oxidized state to form a square pyramidal active site while forming an H-bonding interaction with Glu17 which is likewise hydrogen bonded to Arg47. In the reduced state, the imidazole is not a nickel ligand but maintains the His1-Glu17-Arg47 H-bonding network (Figure 1.2D). The interconversion between these two conformations involves a rotation at
the His1 Cβ-Cγ, which is the only degree of freedom for His1 side chain.\textsuperscript{41,44} It was revealed that the resting enzyme is a 50:50 mixture of His-on (oxidized) and His-off (reduced) conformation by crystal refinement of His1 side chain occupancy and by EPR spin quantification.\textsuperscript{41,49} This redox dependent His-on/His-off mechanism is also shared by CuZnSOD, and interestingly, the reduction of Fe in most superoxide reductase is also accompanied by the loss of glutamate ligand.\textsuperscript{23,41,50}

Figure 1.2. NiSOD molecular and active site structures. A. 4-helix monomer. B. Tripod-like trimer with C3 rotation. C. Hexamer. D. The active site structure. E. Hexamer C2 relationship.
The second sphere ligands also play significant roles in the assembly of the active site structure. Pro5 for example, isomerizes from trans- to cis- conformation in order to properly position Cys6 for nickel binding. Together with Tyr9, Pro5 forms a bottleneck at the end of a narrow active site channel (Figure 1.3) and blocks access to the nickel open coordination site, opposite the His1 imidazole, conferring selectivity for the substrate. This also effectively buries the active site from solvent access even though it is located near the surface of the protein. The active site also has two ordered water molecules both hydrogen-bonded to Tyr9, with one of them hydrogen-bonded to the Cys6 amidate proton, while the other is hydrogen bonded to Asp3 amidate proton. These water molecules are assumed to be displaced by the superoxide in the proposed outer-sphere mechanism (vide infra).

**Figure 1.3.** NiSOD proposed active site channel.

### 1.3.2 Electronic Structure

The first spectroscopic evidence for the presence of nickel in the active site comes from electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. The rhombic spectrum with $g_{xyz}$...
values at 2.306, 2.232, and 2.016 ($A_{xyz} = 16.2, 17.7, 24.6$ G) is consistent with low-spin, $(d_z^2)^1$, ground state Ni(III) species in a five-coordinate pyramidal geometry (Figure 1.4a). Isotopic substitution of the naturally abundant metal ion in the active site with $^{61}$Ni ($I = 3/2$) gave rise to the expected hyperfine splitting pattern of the highest field signal, giving an unequivocal assignment of the rhombic EPR signal to nickel (Figure 1.4b). The highest field signal shows a hyperfine splitting consistent with an N-donor ($I = 1$) ligand. Enrichment of the enzyme with $^{15}$N ($I = 1/2$) gave rise to a doublet hyperfine splitting pattern (Figure 1.4c) confirming the presence of an N-donor ligand. This ligand had been assigned to His1 imidazole based on a theoretical model. Isotopic substitution of the thiolate ligands with $^{33}$S (Figure 1.4d) did not show appreciable signal perturbation, only line-broadening, indicating that the unpaired spin is largely nickel centered.

**Figure 1.4.** NiSOD X-band EPR spectra. A. As-isolated WT-NiSOD. B. $^{61}$Ni labeled WT-NiSOD. C. $^{15}$N enriched enzyme. D. $^{33}$S thiolate labeled enzyme (copyright (2004) National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.).
DFT calculation provided a detailed description of the electronic structure of the nickel active site. The result is summarized by the orbital energy diagram in figure 1.5A.\textsuperscript{23,52} The DFT calculation revealed a strongly covalent filled/filled antibonding interaction between Ni(π) and the ligand(π) orbitals (Figure 1.5B) that is reflected in the energies of the HOMOs consisting mainly of MOs with π symmetry in the NiSOD reduced state. The filled/filled interaction effectively destabilizes the HOMO facilitating electron transfer from Ni(II) to substrate O₂⁻.\textsuperscript{23,52} Upon oxidation, the binding of the imidazole ligand strongly destabilizes the Ni d_{z^2} orbital and effectively induces the unpaired spin to be on the d_{z^2} orbital. This mechanism suggests that the redox active MO for NiSOD is different for oxidation and reduction half reactions: Ni/N/S(π) based for oxidation and Ni d_{z^2} for reduction.\textsuperscript{52}

**Figure 1.5.** A. Molecular orbital energy level diagram for the oxidized (ox) and reduced (red) form of NiSOD. (Adapted with permission from reference 52. Copyright (2005) American Chemical Society.) B. Illustration of the Ni active site π orbitals.
UV-Vis spectroscopy and MCD studies of the as-isolated WT-NiSOD revealed a strong transition at around 380 nm ($\varepsilon = 6800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$), which disappears in the reduced enzyme. This transition is associated with resonance Raman Ni-S vibrations at 349, 365 and 391 cm$^{-1}$ and had been assigned to a S(Cys) $\rightarrow$ Ni(III) ligand-to-metal charge-transfer transition (LMCT). Upon reduction of the as-isolated WT-NiSOD, the MCD spectrum showed the charge-transfer band shifted to the UV region, and only revealed weak ligand field transitions in the visible region consistent with the spectra of planar Ni(II) complexes with N$_2$S$_2$ coordination.

1.3.3 Mechanism

1.3.3.1 Superoxide Self Disproportionation

Superoxide is highly soluble in water and can be easily protonated to form hydroperoxide, HO$_2$, which has a pKa $\sim$4.80. Superoxide undergoes a self disproportionation reaction according to Eq. 4 (inset, Figure 1.6) with an optimal rate constant $k_{cat} = 9.7 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at its pKa. In this reaction, HO$_2$ serves as a one-electron oxidant due to the fact that it has a proton that can stabilize the additional electron; while O$_2^-$ serves as reductant. At higher pH, O$_2^-$ dominates and the efficiency of superoxide disproportionation decreases. Reaction between two O$_2^-$ anions does not occur due to the fact that O$_2^-$ is quite stable and its negative charge facilitates repulsive interaction. In the absence of any O$_2^-$ scavenging mechanism, at physiological pH the amount of O$_2^-$ in cells could go as high as micromolar in concentration. In superoxide bimolecular kinetics, a micromolar concentration has a half-life in the seconds time range. However, as the concentration goes down, the half-life increases, and superoxide at nanomolar
concentration could persist for hours.\textsuperscript{23} This has biological ramifications that have already been mentioned.

![Figure 1.6. Rate constants of superoxide self disproportionation and superoxide dismutation catalyzed by MnSOD (green), CuZnSOD (red) and NiSOD (black) (credit: Dr. Diane Cabelli).](image)

### 1.3.3.2 NiSOD Superoxide Dismutation

In the presence of superoxide dismutase, the rate of the superoxide disproportionation reaction is essentially pH independent at physiologically relevant pH values (Figure 1.6), with a catalytic rate that is essentially diffusion limited, \( k \sim 10^9 \),\textsuperscript{23} and maintains the \( O_2^- \) concentration in the picomolar range.\textsuperscript{23,54,55} SODs catalyze the superoxide disproportionation via a pingpong mechanism that is achieved by alternating
one-electron oxidation and reduction half-reactions. In the oxidation step, oxygen is produced concomitant with the reduction of the metal ion. In the reduction step, hydrogen peroxide, H₂O₂, is produced concomitant with the oxidation of the metal ion. In NiSOD this metal ion redox pair involves Ni(II)/Ni(III) tuned at ~0.29 V potential, a potential close to the optimal redox potential. However, in NiSOD, the nickel ion can be fully reduced but could only be oxidized up to 50%. This may indicate an inter-subunit communication between the nickel sites that still remains to be uncovered.

The redox reaction in NiSOD appears to involve proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET). This was observed using a NiSOD mimic made of the first 12 N-terminal amino acids (Figure 1.9B). The mimic is catalytically active towards superoxide dismutation at a reasonably slow rate (k = 6x10⁶ M⁻¹s⁻¹), and kinetic studies in D₂O (pH 8) at room temperature showed a kinetic isotope effect (KIE) of 20(4) which is suggestive of a PCET event. To further explore this phenomenon, the mimic was treated with ascorbate and TEMPO; both of which have an abstractable proton with moderate X-H bond-dissociation free energies (BDFE) of ~70 kcal/mol and both were able to reduce the mimic. In contrast, no reduction was observed using reductants with slightly stronger X-H bonds such as 1,4-dihydroquinone (first BDFE = 81.5 kcal/mol) and hydrazine (first BDFE = 83.4 kcal/mol). The calculated BDFE for the mimic was estimated to be ~79 kcal/mol and this value is more than the BDFE for HO₂ (48.2 kcal/mol) allowing a thermodynamically favorable oxidation of superoxide to oxygen. This is also less than the BDFE for H₂O₂ (89.5 kcal/mol) allowing a thermodynamically favorable superoxide reduction. It has to be noted that since the mimic showed a kinetic isotope effect and that NiSOD had diffusion limited catalysis, the two might have distinctly
different mechanisms and this has to be borne in mind for the rest of the chapter; nonetheless, mimics provide useful insights on NiSOD catalysis. With this in mind, the redox half reactions can now be written as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Oxidation:} & \quad \text{Ni(III)}^{\text{SOD}} + \text{O}_2^{-} + \text{H}^{+} \rightarrow \text{Ni(II)}^{\text{SOD}}\cdot\text{H} + \text{O}_2 \quad (5) \\
\text{Reduction:} & \quad \text{Ni(II)}^{\text{SOD}}\cdot\text{H} + \text{O}_2^{-} + \text{H}^{+} \rightarrow \text{Ni(III)}^{\text{SOD}} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

1.3.3.3 Outer-sphere vs Inner-sphere

The most controversial aspect of the mechanism is the disagreement over whether the catalysis proceeds via an inner-sphere or outer-sphere mechanism. The open coordination in the nickel active site suggests an inner-sphere mechanism and has led theoretical calculations to assume such is the case.\textsuperscript{23,59,60} One mechanism proposed, shown in Figure 1.7,\textsuperscript{59} suggested that the oxidation half-reaction involves an end-on binding of a protonated superoxide (the proton could be from a group within the active site which is reprotonated later by the solvent) to the Ni(III) ion forming an antiferromagnetically coupled nickel and substrate, while a simultaneous hydrogen-bond formation occurs between the substrate and Cys2 thiolate. This then led to a transition state that involves proton transfer from the substrate to the Cys2 thiolate followed by subsequent reduction of the metal site and release of O\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{59} The reduction half-reaction again involves an end-on binding of a protonated superoxide, which is immediately followed by the oxidation of the metal site. This then led to a transition state that involves proton transfer from Cys2 thiolate to the substrate and the subsequent release of H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{59} In this mechanism, it is suggested that the preferred site of protonation is Cys2 rather than the Cys6 thiolate or His1 imidazole on the basis that the Cys2 thiolate has a larger
electron density at the solvent accessible face compared to Cys6 thiolate. Protonation of His1 imidazole, on the other hand, led to paths that are energetically unfavorable. The other feature in this mechanism is that the Ni-\(N^\delta_{\text{His1}}\) axial remains intact throughout the catalytic cycle (see section 1.4.2).

![Proposed catalytic cycle for NiSOD from DFT calculations](image)

**Figure 1.7.** Proposed catalytic cycle for NiSOD from DFT calculations. (Adapted with permission from reference 59. Copyright (2006) American Chemical Society.)

The presence of an active site channel (Figure 1.3) that leads directly towards the open coordination site appears to support the inner-sphere mechanism. The channel
which has three conserved lysine residues (Lys64, Lys115 and Lys27 from a neighboring subunit), is proposed to provide the substrate electrostatic guidance towards the active site in the same manner as in CuZnSOD and Mn/FeSOD.\textsuperscript{41,44} DFT calculation, however, showed that the electrostatic potential on the surface of the presumed channel does not show significant positively charged areas. This lack of strong surface potential leading to the active site is in agreement with the low ionic strength dependence for NiSOD.\textsuperscript{44,45} It appears that perhaps electrostatic steering, although important for the other SODs, is not so important in NiSOD and the open coordination site might reflect the need for low-spin electronic configuration.\textsuperscript{23}

Recent experimental data appears to favor an outer-sphere mechanism. It has been well established that NiSOD, like all other SODs, can be inhibited by small molecules such as azide, cyanide and hydrogen peroxide.\textsuperscript{28} EPR data of azide-treated NiSOD shifted and introduced a hyperfine splitting to the $g_\gamma$ tensor. The altered spectral property is the same whether the azide is $^{14}$N- or $^{15}$N-labeled suggesting that the inhibitor may not be directly bound to the metal ion but rather introduced the hyperfine interaction through structural perturbation.\textsuperscript{41} Azide-treated NiSOD also showed minor perturbation on the MCD spectrum complimenting the EPR data.\textsuperscript{52} On the other hand, Tietze et al. claimed that CN$^-$ binds to the Ni site in a NiSOD metallopeptide mimic.\textsuperscript{61,62} It is a claim contradictory to what has been found by Shearer et al.\textsuperscript{63} using a similar metallopeptide mimic which showed that CN$^-$ strips off the Ni ion from the peptide, and not to mention the fact that active site mimics do not have the anion binding pocket (see below).

The case for the outer-sphere mechanism has also been fortified by crystallographic data from a Y9F-NiSOD mutant.\textsuperscript{49} The crystal structure revealed that the
conservative Tyr9→Phe substitution opens up the binding pocket and allows the replacement of one of the two ordered water molecules in the active site (Figure 1.2D) by a small anion (Cl⁻ or Br⁻) from the buffer solution. The Ni-Cl has a nonbonded distance of 3.5 Å and does not result in the observation of Cl⁻ hyperfine splitting in the EPR spectrum.⁴⁹ This has led to the proposal that during catalysis, superoxide replaces both the ordered water molecules and hydrogen bond with Asp3 and Cys6 amide backbones similar to the displaced water molecules. The superoxide is then reduced or oxidized according to Eqs. 5 and 6 (section 1.3.3.2) in an outer-sphere mechanism (Figure 1.8).⁴⁹

Figure 1.8. Proposed outer-sphere mechanism for NiSOD. (Reprinted with permission from reference 49. (Copyright (2009) American Chemical Society.)
1.4 The Role of the Nickel Ligands

1.4.1 The Role of the Thiolate Ligands

Among the Ni-containing enzymes known so far, only redox active enzymes feature thiolate ligation. This includes NiFe-hydrogenase, CO dehydrogenase, acetyl-CoA synthase, methyl coenzyme M reductase and Ni superoxide dismutase. With the exception of methyl coenzyme M reductase, it appears that thiolate ligation is a prerequisite for any redox-active nickel enzyme; perhaps it is a primary mechanism to tune the active site nickel to biologically relevant potentials. In NiSOD, Cys2 and Cys6 provide the necessary thiolate ligation, and together with the rest of the ligands, the redox potential is reduced from +2.29 V for aqueous Ni(II) to just +0.29 V for NiSOD. Mutation of Cys2 and Cys6 to Ser, individually or in tandem, produces mutants that can still bind nickel but are catalytically inactive and have a high spin (S = 1) Ni(II) center that gave rise to EPR silent species. None of the single Cys→Ser mutants involved thiolate ligation, which suggests that mutation of any of the thiolate ligands precludes the other Cys thiolate from binding to the Ni site. All these suggest that both of these thiolates are important for tuning the redox potential of the nickel active site and are required for the low spin electronic configuration.

The thiolate ligands also appeared to undergo protonation during the oxidation half reaction and were implicated as the proton source during the reduction half reaction to produce H₂O₂. The evidence comes primarily from sulfur K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy of as isolated enzyme as well as peptide mimics. The sulfur K-edge data for the as isolated enzyme revealed two pre-edge features, 2469.7 eV and 2470.9 eV, (Figure 1.9) and were assigned to S₁s→Ni(d₂) and S₁s→Ni(d₃₋₂₋₂), respectively. The
lower energy transition gradually disappeared upon continuous X-ray beam exposure of the sample as the Ni site is progressively photoreduced. In comparison, the peroxide-reduced NiSOD (blue line, Figure 1.9) displayed a broad structureless S K-edge with the pre-edge at 2470.9 eV significantly decreased. This broad feature was interpreted to be consistent with thiolate protonation, which is expected to shift the pre-edge transition to higher energy and overlap with the edge energy (Figure 1.9A arrow c).\textsuperscript{23,67} The above finding was complemented by using a NiSOD peptide mimic made of the first 12 N-terminal amino acids. This is the same mimic described earlier (section 1.3.3.2) and is known to undergo chemical reduction via proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET).\textsuperscript{56} The sulfur K-edge spectrum of the reduced mimic at pH 7.4 (Figure 1.9B (c)) displayed a weak transition at \(\sim 2470\) eV in contrast to the oxidized mimic at pH 7.4 (Figure 1.9B (a)) and to the reduced mimic at pH 9.5 (Figure 1.9B (b)). These observations were consistent with the interpretations that (1) the strong intensity of the transition at 2470 eV in the oxidized mimic at pH 7.4 is consistent with the increase in the number of holes in

Figure 1.9. A. Sulfur K-edge spectra of radiolitically and chemically reduced WT NiSOD. (Reprinted with permission from reference 67. Copyright (2004) American Chemical Society.) B. Sulfur K-edge spectra of (a) oxidized NiSOD mimic at pH 7.4, (b) reduced mimic at pH 9.5 and (c) reduced mimic at pH 7.4. Inset: structure of the reduced NiSOD mimic, Ni(II)\textsuperscript{M1}-H (copyright © 2013 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim).\textsuperscript{56}
the 3d manifold, (2) the decrease in intensity of the transition at 2470 eV and the broadening of the edge transition in the reduced mimic at pH 7.4 is consistent with thiolate protonation, and (3) the recurrence of the same transition for the reduced mimic at pH 9.5 suggests deprotonation of the thiolate ligand at this pH.56

Nickel K-edge XAS data for the same complex mimic described above further strengthened the argument that the thiolate ligands are the possible proton source.56 The average Ni-S bond length derived from the Ni K-edge XAS for the reduced mimic, Ni(II)M1-H, at pH 7.4 is shorter (2.18 Å) compared to the average bond length for the same reduced mimic, Ni(II)M1, at pH 9.5 (2.20 Å).56 This increase in bond length at elevated pH is consistent with a thiolate deprotonation event and this phenomenon has also been observed in planar NiN2S2 complexes.52,56,68-70 The protonation of the thiolate ligand relieve the unfavorable Ni(π)/S(π) interaction (Figure 1.5B), and leads to Ni-S bond contraction.52,56

As mentioned earlier in the text (section 1.3.3.3), the role of the thiolate ligands as possible proton sources was initially tagged to Cys2 on the basis that Cys2 thiolate has a larger electronic density at the solvent accessible face compared to Cys6 thiolate.59 However, a recent result using a NiSOD mimic with methylation (as a proton substitute) at one or both thiolate ligands showed that only the mimic with Cys6 methylation is capable of binding nickel. The Cys6 methylated mimic also showed a sulfur K-edge spectrum reminiscent that of the Ni(II)M1-H at pH 7.4.66 This suggested that Cys6 is the probable protonation site.
1.4.2 The Role of the Imidazole Ligand

Histidine is a very common ligand in superoxide dismutases, where it is actively involved in redox tuning in Fe/MnSOD and as a proton donor/acceptor in CuZnSOD.\textsuperscript{23} The first evidence that revealed the importance of the His1 imidazole ligand in NiSOD came from the H1Q-NiSOD mutation.\textsuperscript{71} It was demonstrated that the mutant is predominantly in the reduced state and has a catalytic activity of two orders of magnitude lower than WT-NiSOD. In a recently published result, H1A-NiSOD showed a similar decrease in catalytic activity and displayed a unique spectral behavior (Figure 1.10), suggesting a transient species during catalysis that is not observed in WT-NiSOD.\textsuperscript{72} Kinetics employing pulse radiolytic generation of $\text{O}_2^-$ and reduced WT-NiSOD showed the rapid appearance of the absorption maximum at ~380 nm that has been assigned to $\text{S}_{\text{Cys}} \rightarrow \text{Ni(III)}$ charge transfer and its persistence during subsequent turnovers. In the H1A-
NiSOD mutant, however, the spectral behavior shows a rapid increase in absorbance at ~390 nm followed by a decay that is much slower than catalysis. This decay is independent of \( [\text{O}_2^-] \) and occurs in two steps, one with a rate constant \( k = 40 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and the other at \( k = 3 \text{ s}^{-1} \). A possible rationale for this observation is the possibility of an internal redox process where the oxidized H1A-NiSOD decays via reduction of Ni(III) by the thiolate ligands.

Most of our understanding about the role of His1 imidazole comes from the NiSOD metallopeptide-based mimic, \([\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{SOD}^{M2})]\), consisting of the NiSOD first seven N-terminal amino acids and its variant, \([\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{SOD}^{M2H(1)A})]\), where His1 is mutated to Ala (Figure 1.11). Thin-film voltammetry of \([\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{SOD}^{M2H(1)A})]\) showed an increased peak to peak separation as the scan rate is increased from 5 mV/s to 50 V/s. The voltammogram displayed quasireversible behavior and the peak separation, as a function of scan rate, has an exponential behavior. In contrast, thin-film voltammetry of \([\text{Ni}^{II}(\text{SOD}^{M2})]\) showed a far more complex behavior as a function of scan rate. At lower scan rates (<500 mV/s), the voltammogram displayed a large peak-to-peak separation of ~120 mV. At intermediate scan rates, the cathodic wave disappears into the baseline and reappears at faster scan rates (>10 V/s) with smaller peak separations of ~30 mV and with significant broadening relative to the lower scan rates. This was interpreted in terms of the His-on/His-off mechanism. At slower scan rates, His-on/His-off appears to be the main mechanism during the redox process. At very fast scan rates, however, the imidazole likely remained ligated throughout the redox process. Whereas, at the intermediate scan rates the two mechanisms are possibly occurring simultaneously resulting to a broad oxidation wave that blurred into the background.
Although the modeled electrochemical data using a square kinetics scheme\(^ {73,74} \) revealed oxidation and reduction rate constants \((k_o)\) that are nearly identical \((440 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ vs } 450 \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{Figure 1.11})\), the reduction half-reaction involving a five-coordinate complex occurs at a much lower potential at 0.45 V. This potential is closer to the optimal SOD potential compared to the potential of the oxidation half-reaction of the four-coordinate planar complex, which is at 0.59 V.\(^ {63} \) Also, comparison of the His-on/His-off rate constants, \(k_{on} \text{ vs } k_{off}\), and the equilibrium constants, \(K_{on} \text{ vs } K_{off}\), revealed a strong preference of the mimic towards His-on structure. These data implied that, at the diffusion limited catalytic rate, the His1 imidazole likely remained ligated during SOD catalysis.\(^ {63} \) This finding is in line with the DFT calculation done by Pelminschikov \textit{et al}. (Figure 1.7).\(^ {59} \) If His1 imidazole remained ligated throughout the catalysis, it appears that

\[\text{Figure 1.11.} \text{ Thin film voltammograms and redox mechanisms for } [\text{NiII(SOD}^M2\text{)}] \text{ and } [\text{NiII(SOD}^M2\text{H}(1)A)]. \text{(Adapted with permission from reference 63. Copyright (2007) American Chemical Society.)}\]
the redox active MO is the same for both oxidation and reduction and involves only the Ni(d_{z^2}) orbital. This would ensure a Ni-centered redox process.$^{63}$

Using $k_o$ from the electrochemical data described above, and DFT calculated values of the reorganization energy ($\lambda$), the rate of electron transfer ($k_{ET}$) during $O_2$ oxidation and reduction by the mimic can be calculated. From this, a plot of oxidation-reduction overpotential vs $k_{ET}$ for [Ni$^{II/III}$ (SOD)-His_{off}] as well as for [Ni$^{II/III}$ (SOD)-His_{on}] (in both high-spin and low-spin Ni$^{II}$) were obtained (Figure 1.12). At the overpotential required for $O_2$ generation (negative overpotential), the ET rate constants differ by no more than a factor of 4 with $k_{ET}$ for [Ni$^{II/III}$ (SOD)-His_{off}] being the fastest (green square, Figure 1.12). However, at the overpotential required for $H_2O_2$ generation (positive overpotential), the $k_{ET}$ for both low-spin and high-spin [Ni$^{II/III}$ (SOD)-His_{on}] are larger by at least an order of magnitude (purple and red circles vs green circle). These data suggested that imidazole coordination to the Ni ion is important in Ni active site redox tuning so that ET is optimized.

Taking all these observations together, it appears that His1 imidazole enhances SOD catalysis in a number of ways. First, by maintaining the coordination throughout the catalysis, the reorganization energy is minimized; thereby facilitating a faster ET process, which is in line with the diffusion limited SOD catalysis. Secondly, the imidazole coordination forces the redox active MO to be metal based ensuring Ni-centered oxidation. Finally, a five-coordinate Ni site has a potential shifted to a more negative value (relative to a four-coordinate Ni center) and is closer to the optimal redox potential for SOD catalysis.$^{63}$
1.4.3 The Role of Mixed Amine/Amide Ligand

A survey of redox active Ni-containing enzymes, with the exception of methyl coenzyme M reductase, reveals a possible role of Ni amidate ligands. Ni-enzymes featuring amidate ligands, such as acetyl-coenzyme synthase and Ni superoxide dismutase, are oxygen tolerant. Whereas, redox active Ni-enzymes that do not have any amidate ligation, such as NiFe-hydrogenase and carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, are oxygen-sensitive. The functional role of amidate ligation is experimentally challenging to investigate because of the fact that it is not accessible through point mutation. In NiSOD,
the functional role of the amidate ligand was investigated both by performing DFT calculations and by using enzyme mimics characterized primarily by sulfur K-edge XAS and electrochemistry.\textsuperscript{52,78-82} It was revealed in these studies that in going from bisamine, mixed amine/amidate, to bisamidate, the sulfur character in the HOMO and LUMO frontier orbitals decreases and the nickel character increases.\textsuperscript{52,78-82} This suggested that the amidate ligand is crucial in tuning the redox potential so that the redox reaction is Ni-centered rather than S-centered, thus protecting the thiolate ligands from being oxidized.

In line with the above observation, is the decreasing redox potential in going from bisamine to bisamidate, with the bisamine complexes generally having irreversible redox potentials compared to mixed amine/amidate and bisamidate complexes. A likely explanation for this is that a bisamine complex has a significant sulfur character in the HOMO making it less stable towards oxidation.\textsuperscript{78,79,81} It is also interesting to note that bisamidate complexes are significantly reactive towards oxygen giving rise to sulfur oxygenated products.\textsuperscript{79,80,83} This is due to the fact that an additional amidate anion further raises the energy of the Ni d orbitals, relative to bisamine and mixed amine/amidate, through filled/filled interaction (Figure 1.5), which results in an “activated” HOMO that is now more susceptible to oxidation by oxygen.\textsuperscript{79,80,83} From these observations, it appears that the mixed amine/amidate ligand combination is ideal so that the redox potential in NiSOD is at the optimum and the system is still stable to reaction with oxygen.

Mullins \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{80} argued that amidate ligation might not be the only factor responsible for the robustness of the NiSOD active site against thiolate oxidation. Their argument is based on the fact that NiN$_2$S$_2$ bisamine and bisamidate complexes readily
react with oxygen forming thiolate oxidized products, albeit at different rates with bisamine complexes having the slower rate.\textsuperscript{80} A structurally similar mixed amine/amidate complex will likely react similarly with oxygen at a rate that is more or less halfway between that of a bisamine and a bisamidate. They also argued that an oxidation of one of the thiolate ligands in Ni(bmmp-dmed) (Figure 1.13 A&D), a bisamine, led to a significant “deactivation” toward oxidation of the other thiolate ligand. They found that oxygenation of the thiolate led to a decrease in the negative potential in both thiolate ligands, which suggests that oxygenation in one of the thiolate ligands relieves both thiolates of their nucleophilicity.\textsuperscript{80} The same effect was found when complexes B and C (Figure 1.13) had H-bonding at one of their thiolate ligands (E and F). The molecular electrostatic potentials calculated for complexes E and F revealed that H-bonding removes electron density from both sulfur atoms nearly equally and with the nickel center having the highest electron density in both complexes compared to their parent complexes.\textsuperscript{80} This suggested that in addition to the mixed amine/amidate ligation, H-

\textbf{Figure 1.13.} Complexes A-F used in reference 80 (with kind permission from Springer Science and Business Media © 2006).
bonding or protonation is a possible mechanism in stabilizing the nickel active site against deactivation by thiolate oxidation. Inspection of the resting nickel active site shows Cys6 H-bonded to a water molecule (Figure 1.2D), and Cys2 is protonated during catalysis (section 1.4.1).

1.4.4 The Role of the Second Sphere Ligands

The protein environment in NiSOD serves at least three roles: (1) to tune the redox potential of the nickel active site, (2) to provide a source of protons for the formation of H₂O₂ and (3) to control the access of small anions to the nickel site. Tyr9 in NiSOD appears to fulfill the third role much in the same way as the “gateway” tyrosine found in MnSOD and FeSOD. Tyr9 is positioned close to the vacant site of the Ni ion (Figure 1.2D) with Ni-O(Tyr9) distance of approximately 5.47 Å and it is H-bonded to two ordered water molecules. In Tyr9→Phe-NiSOD mutant, as described previously, the loss of the phenol group led to the opening of the binding pocket (Figure 1.14) and allowed the replacement of one of the two ordered water molecules with a small anion (Cl⁻ and Br⁻). The Cl⁻ is positioned such that it could interact with the phenol group in WT-NiSOD which suggest that Tyr could be involved both in controlling the access of small anions to the active site as well as the release of H₂O₂.

Figure 1.14. Crystal structure for WT-NiSOD (A) and Y9F-NiSOD (B). (Reprinted with permission from 49. Copyright (2009) American Chemical Society.)
The proper positioning of Tyr9 in the active site appears to be modulated by Asp3. Mutation of Asp3 to alanine led to a perturbation in the Ni active site, most notably the Tyr9 residue moved ~1Å closer to the nickel site, which results in the displacement of one of the two ordered water molecules. Asp3 also appears to be involved in stabilizing the WT-NiSOD structure through ionic interaction with Lys89. In the D3A mutation, the loss of the salt bridge between Asp3 and Lys89 led the disruption of the salt bridge network across the interface between monomers in the hexamer. The movement of Lys89 due to the mutation caused the neighboring Glu49 to be displaced by ~2.0 Å (toward Lys89) which in turn weakens the Glu49 and His53 ionic interaction. Thus, the loss of Asp3 residue led to the loss of two monomer interface salt bridges (Asp3/Lys89 and Glu49/His53) which translates to 12-ion pairs per hexamer. This disruption is manifested in the decrease of the melting point of the mutant from 84.8 °C to 73.9 °C.

The other two second-sphere residues that are essential for proper folding and redox tuning of the nickel active site are Glu17 and Arg47. As mentioned earlier (section 1.3.1), the two second-sphere residues form a hydrogen-bonding network with the His1 imidazole. The His1 imidazole is hydrogen bonded to Glu17, which is likewise hydrogen-bonded to Arg47 (Figure 1.2D). The role of this hydrogen-bonding network was studied by altering this network using H1A, R47A, E17A/R47A, E17R/R47E mutants. Metal analysis using ICP-OES showed that these mutants are still capable of binding nickel; and EXAFS analysis showed that the Ni binds in the same site in these mutants as in WT-NiSOD. The mutants displayed decreased thermal stability, which is more pronounced for the ones with altered His1/Glu17 hydrogen bonding. The mutants that are EPR active (R47A and E17R/R47E) displayed similar hyperfine splitting at the $g_z$
peak indicating that the mutants retain the His-on structure. However, the EPR intensities in these two mutants are significantly decreased compared to WT-NiSOD with the intensities being ~15% for R47A and ~8% for E17R/R47E. The other two mutants (H1A and E17A/R47A) are EPR silent. This suggests that the hydrogen-bonding network is also important in redox tuning of the Ni active site.72

1.5 Summary

It has been over a decade since Ni-containing superoxide dismutase was first isolated. Since then, much has been uncovered about this enzyme after years of investigation which includes the phylogeny of this enzyme in archea and bacteria, its regulation in response to nickel availability, and how the protein scaffold folds and provides the essential ligands to prime its nickel active site for redox catalysis. Many aspects of the reaction mechanism still have to be elucidated with the outer-sphere vs. inner-sphere processes being the most controversial aspect. From the total amount of work dedicated to this enzyme, it is tempting to draw a picture of how the first- and second-sphere residues achieved the robustness of the Ni active site and how it achieved the optimum state at which it is able to sequester superoxide in the same efficiency as other SODs. Thiolate ligation deserves much of the credit for bringing the nickel redox potential down to a biologically relevant value. The mixed amine/amide ligation, along with hydrogen bonding and thiolate ligand protonation, stabilize the thiolate ligands against oxygen deactivation. The His1 imidazole, which appears to remain bound to the nickel site during catalysis, ensures that the redox active MO during turnovers is Ni-centered. A second-sphere residue, Tyr9, controls the access of small anions to the active
site rendering the enzyme ion selectivity. Its proper positioning, on the other hand, is
modulated by another second-sphere residue, Asp3, which also appears to be essential for
the inter-subunit stability. Finally, the His1-Glu17-Arg47 hydrogen-bonding network
appears to be essential for fine tuning the enzyme redox potential as well as the proper
folding of the active site and the overall protein stability.
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CHAPTER 2

SEMISYNTHETIC APPROACH FOR CONSTRUCTING H1*-NISOD

2.1 Introduction

Prior computational investigations of the NiSOD active site\textsuperscript{1} as well as experimental and DFT calculations on model complexes,\textsuperscript{2-6} predicted that the amide ligand in NiSOD plays a role in the electronic structure of the Ni(III) site by keeping the oxidation product Ni-centered rather than S-centered, in contrast to the oxidation products of planar Ni(II) complexes with amine and thiolate ligation.\textsuperscript{7-10} To study the functional role of the amide ligand, however, is challenging due to the fact that it is not accessible through site directed mutagenesis. To test the predictions mentioned above, herein we describe a semisynthetic strategy employing native chemical ligation (NCL) of a pentapeptide (HCDLP) to recombinant \textit{Streptomyces coelicolor} NiSOD that lacks these five N-terminal residues and features an N-terminal Cys residue (N\textDelta5-NiSOD) to produce a variant (H1*-NiSOD, Figure 2.1) wherein the backbone Cys2 amide N-donor ligand is altered to a secondary amine.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{The Ni site structures of WT-NiSOD (left) and H1*-NiSOD (right). (Reprinted with permission from reference 11. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)}
\end{figure}
2.2 Materials and Methods

2.2.1 Mutagenesis

The deletion mutant, NΔ5-NiSOD, was expressed using the pelB expression system. The pelB WT-NiSOD construct was designed by isolating the WT-NiSOD gene previously inserted in pET-30 Xa/LIC vector and re-inserting the gene into the pET22b(+) upstream of the pelB sequence (Novagen protocol). This construct was then used as a template to construct a plasmid containing the NΔ5-NiSOD deletion mutant using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). To delete the first five amino acids of the WT-NiSOD sequence (Figure 2.2) the following primers were used: 5′-TGCGGCGTGTACGACCCTGC-3′ (forward primer) and 5′-GGCCATCGCCGGCTGGGC-3′ (reverse primer) with melting temperatures of 74 and 77 °C, respectively. The PCR was performed using Q5 high fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA) and only 1 pg of the template DNA. The denaturation temperature was 98 °C, and the annealing and extension temperature was 72 °C (NEB protocol). The PCR product was ligated without further purification by first adjusting the buffer using 5 μL of the 10X T4PNK reaction buffer (NEB) for every 45 μL of the PCR product. The sample was then treated with T4 DNA kinase followed by T4 DNA ligase (NEB protocol). To isolate the NΔ5-NiSOD plasmid, the ligated PCR product was transformed in NovaBlue cells and selection was performed using an LB agar plate containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin. Single colonies were picked and each colony was grown in 5 mL LB media with ampicillin for 16 h at 37 °C. The cultures were harvested, and plasmid preparation was performed using a Qiagen (Valencia, CA) kit.
The sample plasmids were then submitted to Genewiz (South Plainfield, NJ) for sequencing. The plasmids with the correct sequence were stored at −20 °C for future use.

| MKYLLPTAAAAGLLLLAAQPAAMHCDLPCGVYDPA |
| QARIEAESVKAVQEKMAKNDDPHFQTRAVITEQK | AELAKHHVSVLWSDYFKPPFEKYPHELHQLVNDTL |
| KALSAAKGSKDPATGQKALDYIAQIDKIFWETKKA |

**Figure 2.2.** The pelB WT-NiSOD amino acid sequence. Highlighted in blue is the pelB sequence. Highlighted in red is the first five amino acids that are deleted to form the NΔ5-NiSOD. (Reprinted with permission from reference 11. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)

### 2.2.2 NΔ5-NiSOD Protein Expression and Purification

The pelB NΔ5-NiSOD plasmid was transformed into BL21 (DE3) and selected for ampicillin resistance on an LB agar plate supplemented with ampicillin. Single colonies were selected and grown in 5 mL LB media for 16 h at 37 °C @ 200 rpm and used to prepare frozen stocks by storing 1 mL aliquots in 50% glycerol at −80 °C. From a frozen stock, cultures were prepared in 150 mL LB medium supplemented with ampicillin and grown for 16 h at 37 °C @ 200 rpm. Aliquots (20 mL) from the culture were used to inoculate flasks containing 2 L of LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (total of six) and grown at 25 °C until 0.5 OD is reached. At this point, 200 μL of 1 M IPTG was added to each 2 L culture, and they were incubated for another 12 h at 25 °C. The culture was then harvested by centrifugation. To extract NΔ5-NiSOD, the cells were disrupted by osmotic shock. This was done by resuspending the cells in 30 mM Tris buffer at pH = 8.00 containing 20% sucrose (50 mL total volume of per 2 L cell culture). After 10 min, the cells were centrifuged, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in water (50 mL total volume per 2 L culture) at 4 °C for another 10
min. The cells were again centrifuged, the pellet was discarded, and 200 μL of 200 mM PMSF was added per 50 mL of the supernatant. After 30 min, cysteine was added to the supernatant to a final concentration of 100 mM, and the solution was stored at 4 °C overnight to allow the cysteine to form a disulfide bond with the cysteine N-terminus of the NΔ5-NiSOD, thereby protecting the N-terminal cysteine from oxidation. The NΔ5-NiSOD was purified using Q-sepharose resin packed in XK 16 column with resin height of 41 cm. The buffer used was 50 mM Tris at pH 8.50 and the NaCl concentration was linearly increased from 0 to 200 mM over 1500 at 3 mL/min. PAGE was performed to determine the fractions that contain pure NΔ5-NiSOD. The purified protein was characterized by mass spectroscopy (Figure 2.3), which showed the presence of NΔ5-NiSOD (12634 Da) and impurities that correspond to N-terminally modified forms of NΔ5-NiSOD, principally the thiazolidine modification resulting from reaction with acetaldehyde (12661 Da).

### 2.2.3 NΔ5-NiSOD Chemical Rescue

Almost half of the NΔ5-NiSOD isolated as described above has the cysteine N-terminus reacted with endogenous acetaldehyde forming a thiazolidine ring structure.\(^\text{14}\)
To rescue the modified N-terminal cysteine, the protein was incubated at 37 °C at a final concentration of 1 mM in a solution containing 100 mM semicarbazide in 6 M guanidine HCl with 30 mM TCEP unbuffered at pH 4.00 for 1−2 h. This procedure reverses the thiazolidine cyclization. The sample was then added to an equivalent volume of 1 M cysteine in 50 mM Tris buffer with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride at pH 7.50 and left for 4 h to overnight to allow the cysteine to replace the bound aldehyde via nucleophilic substitution. This procedure was done twice to remove most of the aldehyde. Prior to the native chemical ligation, the cysteine bound at the N-terminus was removed by buffer exchanging the sample with 50 mM Tris pH 7.50 containing 30 mM TCEP at room temperature. The sample buffer was then exchanged with the ligation buffer (section 2.2.6) and stored at −20 °C for future use. The molecular weight of the product was determined by ESI-mass spectrometry: Found: 12634 Da. Calculated for NΔ5-NiSOD: 12635 Da (Figure 2.4). Yield: 50% of the protein from section 2.2.2 is recovered.
2.2.4 His-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-Nbz (Protected Unmodified HCDLP)

2.2.4.1 Synthesis of Alloc-Cys(o-NBn)-OH. Cys(o-NBn)-OH

Cys(o-NBn)-OH was first synthesized by dissolving 15 mmol cysteine in deaerated aqueous solution of 10 mmol potassium carbonate in 20 mL water /10 mL dioxane and treated with 10 mmol o-nitrobenzyl chloride. Formation of a crystalline product was observed after 30 min, and after 4 h the pH of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 5.9 with acetic acid. The product was collected by filtration and washed with water, isopropanol, and ether. The solids were dried under vacuum and a yield of 2.06 g (79%) of the product was obtained at 99% purity.

Alloc-Cys(o-NBn)-OH was then synthesized as previously described.16 About 100 mmol of cysteine was dissolved in 2 mL of 4 N NaOH. The solution was cooled in an ice-bath and treated with 10.6 mL of allyl chloroformate and 25 mL of 4 N sodium hydroxide, added in eight equal portions with vigorous shaking for a few minutes after each addition and intermittent cooling. The reaction mixture was kept alkaline to phenolphthalein throughout. After the last addition, the mixture was shaken vigorously, allowed to stand for 15 min at room temperature, extracted with ether, and then acidified to congo red with concentrated hydrochloric acid. After cooling for several hours, the product that crystallized was collected, dried, and recrystallized.

2.2.4.2 His-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-Nbz SPPS Assembly

The pentapeptide His-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-Nbz was assembled by Fmoc SPPS using 0.2 mmol of the commercially available Dawson Dbz AM resin. The C-terminal Pro was loaded onto the resin via two 1-h couplings using 1.2 mmol of Fmoc
Pro with activation via 1.2 mmol HATU and 1.8 mmol DIEA in DMF. Subsequent residues, Leu, Asp(OtBu) and Alloc-Cys(o NBn) were coupled similarly using HBTU in place of HATU. The peptidorein was protected from light after incorporation of the Alloc-Cys(o-NBn) residue. The Alloc protective group was removed by adding (PPh₃)₄Pd(0) with 1,3-dimethylbarbituric acid (scavenger) to the peptide under neutral conditions. The final residue was incorporated using Boc-His(Trt)-OH.

After chain assembly, the resin was gently agitated with 2 mmol of p-nitrophenylchloroformate in DCM for 1 h to convert the resin to the N acyl-benzimidazolinone (Nbz) form, which was then washed with DCM. The resin was agitated with 0.5 M DIEA in DMF for two 30 min treatments, then rinsed with the same solution until no further yellow coloration (from p-nitrophenol release) was observed. The peptidorein was washed with DMF, MeOH, DCM then dried overnight in vacuo. The peptide was cleaved from the resin with trifluoroacetic acid/water/triisopropylsilane (90:5:5, 25 mL, 3 h) then precipitated in ice-cold ether. The crude product was purified by reverse phase HPLC to yield the final product (120 mg).

### 2.2.5 His-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-SBn Synthesis (Modified H1*-CDLP)

#### 2.2.5.1 Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-H Synthesis

Protected histidinal, Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-H, was prepared by first synthesizing Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-OMe. This was accomplished by reacting 2-adamantyloxyethyl chloride with Boc-His(Nπ-Boc)-OMe as described by Okada et al.¹⁷ The product (Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-OMe) was then converted to Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-ol by first dissolving 10 mmol Boc-His(Nπ-Adom)-OMe in 100 mL THF containing 60 mmol sodium
borohydride. Methanol (16 mL) was then added dropwise followed by heating the solution at 40 °C. The progress of the reaction was monitored by HPLC on a C18 column. When the reaction was completed, excess sodium borohydride was removed by the addition of an aqueous solution of citric acid. The resulting solution was concentrated until most of the organic solvent had been evaporated. The pH was then adjusted to 9 using potassium carbonate and the product (Boc-His(π-Adom)-ol) was extracted using dichloromethane. The product was obtained as white foam (3.4 g) with 98% purity (HPLC).

The alcohol (Boc-His(π-Adom)-ol) was then converted to the aldehyde, Boc-His(π-Adom)-H. This was done by dissolving 3.6 mmol Boc-His(π-Adom)-ol in 100 mL dichloromethane followed by dropwise addition of 1.7 eq Dess-Martin reagent. The progress of the reaction was monitored by HPLC and when the reaction was completed, the solution was treated with 10 mmol thiosulfate in 25 mL 10% bicarbonate buffer. The solution was concentrated until most of the organic solvent was removed and the material was used without any further purification.

2.2.5.2 His-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-SBn SPPS Assembly

The pentapeptide benzylthioester, His-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp-Leu-Pro-SBn, was assembled by first synthesizing Alloc-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro by standard SPPS on 2-chlorotrityl resin. The cysteine N-terminal allyl chloroformate (Alloc) protective group was then removed as before (section 2.2.4.1). The resulting peptide, Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro, was then cleaved from the resin using acetic acid/trifluoroethanol-dichloromethane (1:2:7, v/v). Reductive alkylation was then
performed in solution to synthesize Boc-His(N\textsuperscript{\pi}-Adom)-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro-OH. This was done by dissolving 1.7 mmol Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro and 1.6 equiv of Boc-His(N\textsuperscript{\pi}-Adom)-H in 30 mL methanol containing 0.3 mL acetic acid. The resulting solution was then treated with 4 eq sodium cyanoborohydride in portions. The reaction went to 90% completion (HPLC) after which methanol and acetic acid were then removed by evaporation under vacuum. The product, \textit{(Boc-His(N\textsuperscript{\pi}-Adom)-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro-OH)}, was then suspended in citric acid solution and extracted with dichloromethane, and then concentrated without further purification.

To the crude 1.68 mmol Boc-His(N\textsuperscript{\pi}-Adom)-ψ-Cys(o-NBn)-Asp(OtBu)-Leu-Pro-OH solution, 9 mmol benzyl mercaptan was added together with 0.2 mmol DMAP hydrochloride and 0.26 eq DMAP in 30 mL dichloromethane. The resulting solution was treated with 2.14 mmol EDC for 1 h, after which the reaction was quenched with phosphate buffer at pH 6. The solution was then concentrated and the excess benzyl mercaptan was removed by washing the residue with heptane. The remaining material was then dissolved in dichloromethane and washed with phosphate buffer. The crude peptide was further purified using FC silica gel yielding 0.94 g material with ~80% purity. Treatment of the product with TFA/water (95:5) for an hour cleanly removed acid sensitive protecting groups, Boc, tBu, and Adom. The target peptide was finally purified on reverse phase column in TFA-containing gradient of water and acetonitrile and then lyophilized.
2.2.6 Native Chemical Ligation (NCL) and Product Purification

The native chemical ligation was performed by combining 6 mM pentapeptide and 3 mM NΔ5-NiSOD in 100 mM phosphate buffer with 6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 15 mM TCEP and 250 mM 4-mercaptophenylacetic acid (MPAA) at pH = 7.00 for 24 h at room temperature in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratories Inc., Grass Lake, MI). The ligation product is a mixture of properly ligated H1*-NiSOD as well as NΔ5-NiSOD oxidized at the N-terminal Cys residue, and NΔ5-NiSOD that had been desulfurized by reaction with TCEP18 (Figure 2.5A). The properly ligated protein was purified from the oxidized and desulfurized NΔ5-NiSOD, which both lack a thiol group, by using activated thiol sepharose affinity column chromatography. First, the NCL buffer was exchanged with a binding buffer consisting of 100 mM Tris, 8 M guanidine hydrochloride, 500 mM NaCl, and 1 mM EDTA at pH = 7.50. This step also removes the excess MPAA that might interfere with the purification process. Next, the protein was loaded on to a column

![Figure 2.5](image-url)
containing 5 mL of activated thiol sepharose resin and incubated at room temperature for at least 2h. The column was then washed with 30 mL of the binding buffer to remove unbound proteins. The bound protein was then eluted with 10 mM Tris containing 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol at pH = 7.50 (Figure 2.5B).

2.2.7 Cys2 Protective Group Removal, Folding and Metalation

To remove the 2-nitrobenzyl protecting group, 50 μM protein in a buffer consisting of 100 mM sodium acetate, 6 M guanidine HCl, 20 mM TCEP, and 10 mM semicarbazide at pH 5.80 was placed in an ice bath and irradiated with UV light at 365 nm. The extent of the reaction was monitored by mass spectrometry, and the reaction is complete after 4 h of irradiation. The sample was then dialyzed at 4 °C against 20 mM Tris buffer containing 5 mM TCEP and 5 mM NiCl₂ at pH 8.00 overnight. The sample was then dialyzed at 4 °C against 20 mM Tris at pH 8.00 for 12 h, twice. Finally, the sample was treated with Chelex to remove any unbound nickel by adding a small amount of chelex resin and incubated at room temperature for 30 min and agitated occasionally. The Ni:protein ratio was determined by analyzing the nickel and sulfur content of the sample by ICP-OES. The ICP-OES determination of the enzyme concentration was done by first making a standard curve using 2, 5, 10, 20, and 50 ppm sulfur standards prepared from a 1000 ppm sulfur ICP standard (Sigma-Aldrich). The concentration of sulfur in each sample was then determined using the standard curve (machine automated determination). The protein concentration was calculated by dividing the sulfur concentration by 3 (since NiSOD has two cysteines and one methionine). Yield: 600 μg protein from 10 mg prior to native chemical ligation.
2.3 Results and Discussion

A semisynthetic approach (Figure 2.6) was used to produce a variant of NiSOD in which the backbone amidate ligand provided by Cys2 is altered to a secondary amine. The semisynthesis of WT-NiSOD was carried out as a control using NCL to couple a pentapeptide comprising the five N-terminal amino acids to a truncated NiSOD with an N-terminal Cys residue, NΔ5-NiSOD, which was produced recombinantly. A key feature of the approach is the use of a photolabile thiol protecting group (2-nitrobenzyl) that was...
used to protect Cys2 in order to keep it protected during NCL after acid deprotection of other residues, and also distinguish it from the N-terminal Cys of NΔ5-NiSOD. Following NCL and purification of the product, the 2-nitrobenzyl protecting group was removed photochemically by exposing the sample with UV light at 365 nm. The production of the semisynthetic WT-NiSOD protein was confirmed by ESI-MS performed under denaturing conditions (Figure 2.7A). The sample was then folded and nickelated. Nickel and protein (sulfur) content were determined by ICP-OES and gave a nickel loading of 84%. The resulting enzyme was found to be a fully active catalyst (vide infra) and was hexameric according to size exclusion chromatography (Figure 2.8).

The synthesis of the H1*-NiSOD variant was accomplished in an analogous manner to the semisynthesis of WT-NiSOD. The pentapeptide benzylthioester was prepared using SPPS and Alloc-S-o-nitrobenzyl cysteine, as before. The desired amide →

**Figure 2.7.** ESI-mass spectra of the semisynthetic WT-NiSOD (A) and H1*-NiSOD (B) after the removal of the 2-nitrobenzyl Cys2 protective group by UV irradiation. Peaks under the red dots in figure B correspond to a modified NΔ5-NiSOD that does not contain a high-affinity nickel binding site. (Reprinted with permission from reference 11. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)
amine modification was introduced in solution after cleavage of the tetrapeptide (CDLP) from the resin by using the aldehyde, histidinal, instead of the natural amino acid histidine to produce an imine linkage that was then converted to secondary amine by reduction with Na[\((CN)BH_3\)] (Figure 2.6C). The synthesis of δ-N-adamantyl-O- and Boc-protected histidinal from the corresponding protected histidine was achieved by reduction of the amino acid to the alcohol followed by Dess-Martin oxidation (Figure 2.6A). The synthesis of high purity histidinal in significant quantities and in a form suitable for peptide synthesis is reported here for the first time and was a significant component of the research. It was achieved by protecting the imidazole amine with an adamantyl group prior to reduction of the amino acid and oxidation of the alcohol. Following the removal of the acid-labile protecting groups, NCL was performed as for the semisynthetic WT-NiSOD, except starting with the benzylthioester of the modified pentapeptide. The use of the poorer SBz leaving group compared with NBz used for the WT-NiSOD, led to extensive oxidation or desulfurization of the NΔ5-NiSOD N-terminal cysteine when large excesses of TCEP were used (Figure 2.5A), necessitating separation of the desired protein from the impurities that do not contain a thiol by chromatography on activated thiol sepharose. The resulting H1*-NiSOD is ~70% pure by mass spectral deconvolution. The only remaining impurity is an N-terminally modified NΔ5-NiSOD that does not undergo NCL (Figure 2.5B). This species does not affect the characterization of the enzyme because it lacks the high-affinity nickel binding site.

Following photochemical deprotection of Cys2, the resulting product was analyzed by ESI-MS under denaturing conditions, which confirmed the expected MW for the protein (Figure 2.7B). The sample was then folded, metalated, and analyzed for Ni
content, as described for semisynthetic WT-NiSOD. The sample after chelex treatment was found to be 62% nickel loaded, after taking into account the sample purity. Like the WT enzyme, H1*-NiSOD was also found to be hexameric by size exclusion chromatography (Figure 2.8).

**Figure 2.8.** Size exclusion chromatography on the NiSOD variants. The apparent MW determined for all variants is approximately 73 kDa (Calculated: 79 kDa). (Reprinted with permission from reference 11. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)

The synthetic strategy detailed above provides a method to alter any backbone amide to a secondary amine employing aldehydes derived from amino acids that can be adapted to solid phase peptide synthesis. This approach differs from previous backbone alterations reported in the literature in that it preserves the backbone N atom in the protein, while altering its chemical properties including deprotonation (charge) and ability to engage in π-bonding. Of the strategies for modifying backbone amides that have
been employed to make proteins (rather than small peptides), this strategy is novel in that it preserves the N-donor ligand as opposed to replacement with an ester O donor. The latter can be achieved in several ways including the use of preactivated (L)-lactic acid or (S) hydroxymethylbutyric acid in solid phase peptide synthesis or the use of α-hydroxyacids in tRNA suppression strategies.\textsuperscript{22-29} These amide → ester modifications enabled investigations probing the effect of altering hydrogen bonding interactions involving the amide, but are less applicable to amides that are metal ligands in metalloproteins, since ester O atoms are poor metal ligands. Although rare, metalloenzymes featuring active site metal amidate ligands include nitrile hydratase\textsuperscript{30} and thiocyanate hydrolase,\textsuperscript{31} in addition to NiSOD studied here. The use of histidinal to modify the peptide bond is specifically applicable to proteins that feature the amino terminal Cu(II)- and Ni(II)-binding (ATCUN) motif,\textsuperscript{32} which binds in a tetralentate planar fashion utilizing the N-terminal amine, a histidine residue in position 3, and two intervening amide N atoms. The ATCUN motif is a common feature of albumins, and 95% of serum Ni(II) is bound to albumin.\textsuperscript{30} The ATCUN motif is also found in histatins, neuromedins C and K, and human sperm protamine P2a, and is also a component of proteins engineered for DNA cleavage.\textsuperscript{30,33-35} N-terminal ATCUN-like binding sites are also features of a number of proteins involved in Ni trafficking, including NmtR,\textsuperscript{36} RenR,\textsuperscript{37} and HypA,\textsuperscript{38} where the His residue is second residue and the resulting Ni(II) complexes are all six-coordinate.

2.4 References


CHAPTER 3
REACTION KINETICS AND PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF H1*-NISOD

3.1 Introduction

This chapter is dedicated to the kinetic and spectroscopic characterization of H1*-NiSOD to understand the effects of the modification and draw conclusions regarding the functional role of the amidate ligand in NiSOD. The characterization of the mutant includes: kinetic studies using pulse radiolysis to produce O$_2^-$ that were used to determine the catalytic rate; UV-Vis spectroscopy, to determine the electronic absorption characteristics; X-ray absorption spectroscopy, to determine structural features of the local environment of the Ni ion; and EPR spectroscopy, which is a useful probe of electronic structure and redox activity particularly in comparison with WT-NiSOD.

3.2 Experimental

3.2.1 X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy

A 50-μL sample containing 3 mM enzyme (per nickel basis) in 20 mM Tris buffer at pH = 8.00 was treated with 10 μL of 180 mM dithionite for 1-2 min in a coy chamber (Coy Laboratory Products Inc., Grass Lake, MI). The sample was then added with 10 μL of glycerol (~15% final concentration) and loaded into a polycarbonate XAS holder wrapped in Kapton tape and slowly frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ni K-edge XAS data were collected as previously described\(^1\) at 10 K using a liquid helium cryostat (Oxford Instruments) on beamline 7-3 at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL). The data were collected at ~10 K using a liquid helium cryostat (Oxford Instruments)
under ring conditions of 3 GeV and 495-500 mA. Beamline optics consisted of a Si (220) double-crystal monochromator and a flat rhodium-coated mirror before the monochromator for harmonic rejection and vertical collimation. X-ray fluorescence was collected using a 30-element germanium detector (Canberra). Scattering was minimized by placing a set of Soller slits with a Z-1 element filter between the sample chamber and the detector. Internal energy calibration was performed by collecting spectra simultaneously in transition mode on nickel foil to determine the first inflection point on the edge, which was set to 8331.6 eV.

Sixpack software was used to remove signals from bad detectors, calibrate the edge energy of Ni foil, and finally to average the data. Athena software was used for data reduction and normalization using the Autobk algorithm. Data normalization was performed by setting the pre-edge range from -200 to -30 eV (WT: -200 to -50 eV) relative to E₀, and the post-edge was set from 200-896 eV (WT: 200-905 eV) relative to E₀. Background removal was done by setting E₀ = 8340 eV, R_{bkg} to 1.0 and a spline range from k = 0 Å to k = 16.166 Å (WT: k = 0 to k = 16 Å).

Extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) data were analyzed using Artemis software as previously described. The $k^3$-weighted data for the dithionite-reduced WT-NiSOD was fitted using the X-ray reduced WT-NiSOD crystal structure (PDB: 1Q0G) as a model for calculating theoretical phases and amplitude parameters using FEFF 6.0, including multiple-scattering pathways for second coordination sphere C atoms from the five-membered chelate rings formed by His1 and Cys2. The FT window was set to 2.0-12.5 Å and fitting was performed over the range $r = 1.0 - 4.0$ Å in $r$-space with an $S_0$ value set to 0.9. To assess the goodness of the fit, the fit parameters $\chi^2, \chi_v^2$, and
$R$ factor were minimized. The $R$ factor generally improves with increasing number of adjustable parameters; whereas, $\chi^2_v$ may go through a minimum then increase, which indicates that the data is overfitted. The statistical parameters are defined as follows:

$$\chi^2 = \frac{N_{idp}}{N_{pts}\varepsilon^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \left[ \text{Re} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) - \chi_{theory} (R_i) \right) \right]^2 + \left[ \text{Im} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) - \chi_{theory} (R_i) \right) \right]^2 \right\},$$

and

$$\chi^2_v = \frac{\chi^2}{(N_{idp} - N_{var})},$$

where $N_{idp}$ is the number of independent data points defined as $N_{idp} = (2\Delta r\Delta k)/\pi$, $\Delta r$ is the fitting range in $r$-space, $\Delta k$ the fitting range in $k$-space, $N_{pts}$ is the number of points in the fitting range, $N_{var}$ is the number of variables floating during the fit, $\varepsilon$ is the measurement uncertainty, $\text{Re}()$ is the real part of the EXAFS Fourier-transformed data and theory functions, $\text{Im}()$ is the imaginary part of the EXAFS Fourier-transformed data and theory functions, $\chi(R_i)$ is the Fourier-transformed data or theory function” (Martin-Diaconescu 2012, p. 2) and

$$\sum_{R=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[ \text{Re} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) - \chi_{theory} (R_i) \right) \right]^2 + \left[ \text{Im} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) - \chi_{theory} (R_i) \right) \right]^2 \right\}$$

$$\sum_{R=1}^{N} \left[ \text{Re} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) \right) \right]^2 + \left[ \text{Im} \left( \chi_{data} (R_i) \right) \right]^2$$

Similarly, for dithionite-reduced H1*-NiSOD, fits of first-coordination sphere scattering atoms were obtained using an FT window = 2.0 - 12.5 Å, $S_0 = 0.9$, and $r = 1.0$ to 2.3 Å with the following combinations of first-shell scattering atoms: 4N; 3N, 1S; 2N, 2S; 1N, 3S; and 4S. The 2N, 2S combination afforded the best fit with the 2N-scattering and 2S-scattering atoms each averaged in single shells (Appendix, Table A.3). When it became apparent that the H1*-NiSOD active site is structurally similar to WT-NiSOD, judged by the minimum values of $R$ factor and $\chi^2_v$, second shell carbon atoms were added.
to the fit using the X-ray reduced WT-NiSOD crystal structure (PDB: 1Q0G) as before, and the fitting range was extended to \( r = 1.25 - 4.5 \) Å.

X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) analysis was performed over the energy range from 8325 to 8340 eV using an error function centered at 8340 eV to fit a portion of the edge background, and Gaussian functions centered at 8331 eV to fit the \( 1s \rightarrow 3d \) transition and at 8336 eV to fit the \( 1s \rightarrow 4p_z \) transition (Appendix, Figure A.1 and Table A.4).

### 3.2.2 UV-Vis Spectroscopy

UV–Vis absorption spectra of the recombinant WT-NiSOD, dithionite-reduced WT-NiSOD, semisynthetic WT-NiSOD, and H1*-NiSOD were obtained using a NanoDrop 2000c spectrophotometer. The absorption coefficients were calculated using the enzyme concentration determined based on the sulfur content of the sample as determined by ICP-OES (Chapter 2, section 2.2.7).

### 3.2.3 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

The EPR spectra were obtained using a Bruker Elexsys E500 cw-X-band EPR spectrometer. About 100 μL of a 200-1000 μM solution of each sample was loaded in EPR tubes and frozen using liquid nitrogen. The magnetic field is centered at 3200 G with a sweep width set to 1500 G. The modulation frequency was set to 100 kHz, while the modulation amplitude was set to 2 G. The receiver gain of 60 dB and attenuation of 10 db were applied and a time constant and conversion time of 0.64 and 655 ms were used, respectively. Spectral smoothing and baseline correction were performed using SpinCount software (M. Hendrich). Spin integration of the EPR signal from H1*-NiSOD
was performed by comparing the double integration of the first derivative signal vs a Cu(II) standard (1.0 mM CuSO₄ in 2 M NaClO₄ and 10 mM HCl), where the Cu content was determined by ICP-OES.

3.2.4 Pulse Radiolysis

The catalytic activities of the semisynthetic WT-NiSOD and H1*-NiSOD were determined by kinetics studies that employed pulse radiolysis using a 2.0 MeV van de Graaff accelerator at Brookhaven National Laboratory, as previously described.³ Micromolar concentrations of the semisynthetic enzymes were exposed to short pulses (100-600 ns) of highly accelerated electrons producing 2-10 μM of superoxide radical. The catalytic activity was measured by monitoring the rate of disappearance of superoxide radical absorption at 260 nm in a buffered solution containing 2 μM protein (per nickel basis), 10 mM phosphate, 30 mM formate, and 5 μM EDTA at pH 7.50. Rates of reaction are reported on a per Ni basis and assumed that all of the Ni in the sample is catalytically active. All quoted rates represent the average of at least three individual measurements and the system error is approximately 10%.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 Structural Characterization of the Ni Site in H1*-NiSOD

XAS analysis was used to characterize the structure of the Ni site in H1*-NiSOD and WT-NiSOD (Figure 3.1). For H1*-NiSOD, the XANES spectrum shows a resolved maximum near 8336 eV that is associated with a 1s → 4pz electronic transition that is diagnostic for four coordinate planar coordination, and is also observed for dithionite-
reduced WT-NiSOD. In contrast to WT-NiSOD, the expected peak associated with a 1s → 3d transition for H1*-NiSOD was not observed; however, it has very low intensity in many 4-coordinate planar complexes, including some where it is not detected. The H1*-NiSOD EXAFS arising from first-coordination sphere scattering atoms was best fitted with 2 N-donor atoms @ 1.970(7) Å and 2 S-donor atoms @ 2.17(2) Å (Table 3.1). The N-donor atoms in H1*-NiSOD were not resolved by the data (resolution = $\frac{\pi}{2k} = 0.15$ Å), which is distinct from the results for WT-NSOD, where the two shells are resolved (1.88, 2.09 Å) (Table 3.2). This may reflect the fact that in WT-NiSOD, one N-donor atom is an amidate and the other is an amine, whereas in H1*-NiSOD, both N-donors are amines. The N and S distances in both H1*- and WT-NiSOD are similar to the distances found in planar NiN₂S₂ complexes (Ni-N = 1.84–1.99 Å; Ni-S = 2.14–2.20 Å).

Figure 3.1. Ni K-edge XANES spectra for dithionite-reduced WT-NiSOD and dithionite reduced H1*-NiSOD. Figure insets: (A) Fourier-transformed (k = 2-12.5 Å⁻¹) EXAFS (blue) and fit (red). (B) $k^3$-weighted EXAFS data (blue) and fit (red). (Reprinted with permission from reference 9. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)
Table 3.1. H1*-NiSOD first- and second-coordination sphere EXAFS fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, $E_0$; Debye-Waller factor, $\sigma^2$; and statistical parameters. (Reprinted with permission from reference 9. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shells</th>
<th>$r$(Å)</th>
<th>$E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (x10$^{-3}$ Å$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^v$</th>
<th>% R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td>1.970(7)</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>0.2(0.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S</td>
<td>2.17(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>2.43(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>2.69(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2.90(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2. WT-NiSOD first- and second-coordination sphere EXAFS fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, $E_0$; Debye-Waller factor, $\sigma^2$; and statistical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shells</th>
<th>$r$(Å)</th>
<th>$E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (x10$^{-3}$ Å$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^v$</th>
<th>% R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1N</td>
<td>1.88(1)</td>
<td>-2.81</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N</td>
<td>2.09(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S</td>
<td>2.157(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1C</td>
<td>2.61(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>2.87(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 UV-Vis Spectroscopy

UV-Vis absorption spectra of the H1*-NiSOD and semisynthetic WT-NiSOD are compared to spectra obtained for recombinant WT-NiSOD (Figure 3.2). The semisynthetic WT-NiSOD spectrum closely matches that of the recombinant WT-NiSOD and features the transition near 380 nm that has been assigned to CysS → Ni(III) ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT).$^{10}$ This LMCT transition is absent in the spectrum for H1*-NiSOD, which resembles the spectrum obtained for reduced recombinant WT-NiSOD, consistent with the sample being mostly reduced, i.e., Ni(II). Spin integration of the EPR spectra obtained on an as-isolated sample of H1*-NiSOD (section 3.3.3) accounts for ~11% of the nickel in the sample. Thus, the resting sample in air contains
both Ni(II) and Ni(III) centers, but at a lower Ni(III)/Ni(II) ratio than the recombinant WT enzyme where 50% of the Ni in the aerobic resting enzyme is Ni(III).³

3.3.3 Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

The EPR for H1*-NiSOD is consistent with a low spin $d_{z}^{2}$ ground state Ni(III) species in a five-coordinate pyramidal geometry. The signal has $g_{x} = 2.27$, $g_{y} = 2.22$, and $g_{z} = 2.02$, with hyperfine attributed to the apical His1 imidazole N-donor ligand resolved on $g_{z}$, $A_{zz} = 24.2$ G (Figure 3.3). This signal is more axial compared to the rhombic spectrum observed for recombinant WT-NiSOD and semisynthetic WT-NiSOD (g-values $= 2.30, 2.23, 2.01$; $A_{zz} = 24.9$ G).³

![Figure 3.2. UV-Vis absorption spectra of the NiSOD variants. (Adapted with permission from reference 9. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)](image)
3.3.4 Redox Catalysis

In contrast to the relatively small structural and electronic perturbations, the effect of substitution of the backbone amidate ligand by a secondary amine on redox catalysis is dramatic. Using pulse-radiolytically generated superoxide, the catalytic rate constant determined by monitoring the disappearance of the superoxide radical at 260 nm was only ~1% of that observed for the recombinant WT-NiSOD. The semisynthetic WT-NiSOD has a catalytic rate constant $k_{\text{cat}} = 9.4 \pm 1.6 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH = 7.5 and a pH dependence that is experimentally indistinguishable from the catalytic rate constant.

![EPR spectra of recombinant WT-NiSOD, semisynthetic WT-NiSOD and H1*-NiSOD.](image)

**Figure 3.3.** EPR spectra of recombinant WT-NiSOD, semisynthetic WT-NiSOD and H1*-NiSOD. (Adapted with permission from reference 9. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)
observed for recombinant WT-NiSOD (Figure 3.4).\textsuperscript{3,11} In contrast, H1*-NiSOD has a catalytic rate constant $k_{\text{cat}} = 5.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH = 7.5. Nonetheless, this residual activity is due to enzyme catalysis, and not the uncatalyzed bimolecular disproportionation reaction, since doubling the concentration of H1*-NiSOD doubled the rate of reaction (Appendix, Table A.1).

3.4 Discussion

The Ni-dependent superoxide dismutases are a large class of superoxide dismutases that are encoded by the sodN gene. The sodN gene encodes a highly conserved (HCXXPCXXY) N-terminal “nickel hook” motif that binds Ni using the N-terminal amine and the side chain imidazole of His1, the amide N atom and side chain thiolate of Cys2, and the side chain of Cys6 (Figure 3.5). The N-terminal location of the

![Figure 3.4](image-url)
binding site made synthesis of model peptides an approach that has been extensively exploited for studying the NiSOD active site,\textsuperscript{12-15} and the role of the Cys6 residue as the only ligand not derived from His1 or Cys2 makes semisynthesis of the protein employing NCL very attractive, particularly for a study modifying the backbone amidate ligand, for which no point mutation is possible. Using the synthetic strategy detailed in Chapter 2, histidinal was incorporated into a synthetic pentapeptide in order to produce a variant nickel hook motif, where the amidate ligand of the nickel center in WT-NiSOD was altered to a secondary amine. NCL was then employed to ligate the peptide to the remainder of the enzyme that was produced recombinantly and featured an N-terminal Cys residue.

Taken together, the spectroscopic characterization of H1*-NiSOD suggests that the nickel site in the variant is structurally very similar to those in WT-NiSOD. The Ni(II) sites are four coordinate and planar with a $\text{N}_2\text{S}_2$ ligand donor-atom set, and the Ni(III) sites are five coordinate and pyramidal with the addition of an apical imidazole ligand from His1. Even the electronic structure of the site seems little perturbed in the H1*-NiSOD variant. The EPR spectrum of H1*-NiSOD ($g_x = 2.27$, $g_y = 2.22$ and $g_z = 2.02$, $A_{zz} = 24.2$ G, Figure 3.3) is consistent with a low-spin $d^7$ electronic structure with the unpaired electron residing in a $d_{x^2}$ orbital, similar to WT-NiSOD, but has a more axial signal than the rhombic signal observed for WT-enzyme. This arises mostly from a shift of $g_x$ from 2.30 to 2.27 in the variant. This can be rationalized in terms of the interaction of the N-donors with $\pi$-symmetry orbitals on the Ni(III) center. An amidate N-donor is expected to raise the energy of a $\pi$-symmetry Ni 3d orbital through a filled $\pi-\pi$ interaction that is not present for an amine N-donor (Figure 3.5).\textsuperscript{10} Thus, asymmetric
amidate-amine ligation would affect interactions with $d_{xz}$ and $d_{yz}$ differently, and is reflected in a rhombic EPR signal.

\[ \text{Figure 3.5. NiSOD active site diagrams illustrating the different interactions between the N-donor ligands and a Ni } \pi \text{-symmetry 3d orbital in WT-NiSOD with a Cys2 amidate ligand (left), and in H1*-NiSOD with a Cys2 } 2^\circ \text{ amine (right). (Reprinted with permission from reference 9. Copyright (2015) American Chemical Society.)} \]

Despite the minor structural and electronic perturbations, the alteration of the Cys2 amidate ligand to a secondary amine in H1*-NiSOD has a dramatic effect on the redox catalytic properties of the active site. Alteration of the amidate ligand found in WT-NiSOD to a secondary amine apparently results in raising the redox potential of the nickel site to a value that is less accessible to air oxidation, resulting in lower amounts of Ni(III) in as-isolated samples of H1*-NiSOD (~11%) relative to recombinant WT-NiSOD (50%) and is accompanied by lower catalytic activity. The higher redox potential for H1*-NiSOD would be expected to greatly decrease the catalytic activity, in line with the observed activity of 1% of WT-NiSOD. In addition, the trend for increased redox potentials in amine complexes relative to complexes with amidate ligands has been noted.\textsuperscript{16,17} The increased redox potential makes Ni(III) harder to access and thus inhibits
the reduction of $\text{O}_2^-$ to $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Thus, the single amidate ligand present in the WT-NiSOD plays a critical role in the redox tuning of the catalytic site.

### 3.5 Future Direction

The most important contribution from this dissertation is the synthesis of H1*-NiSOD, where the amidate ligand in WT-NiSOD was converted to amine. From this amide $\rightarrow$ amine modification, we learned that the amidate ligation present in WT-NiSOD is crucial in tuning the redox potential of the nickel active site. This work will provide foundation for future studies to characterize the molecular and electronic structural perturbation arising from this modification. We also hope to learn about the spin distribution of oxidized H1*-NiSOD and compare it to the spin distribution of oxidized WT-NiSOD and validate the prior DFT calculation which suggest that oxidation of mixed amine/amide complexes are Ni-centered whereas bisamine complexes are S-centered.

A crystal structure of H1*-NiSOD will be obtained to establish the minor structural perturbations caused by the amide $\rightarrow$ amine modification while MCD and DFT calculation of the nickel active site will be done to provide a detailed picture of the electronic structure of the oxidized H1*-NiSOD. DFT calculation can be used to determine the spin distribution among the Ni ion and the ligands and ENDOR spectroscopy will be done to experimentally validate the DFT prediction. Since the naturally occurring sulfur and nickel isotopes are NMR-silent, for ENDOR experiments $^{33}\text{S}$ and $^{61}\text{Ni}$ will be used. In case a $^{14}\text{N}$ Larmor frequency is detected, the N-donor ligands can be sequentially labeled with $^{15}\text{N}$ to pinpoint the origin of that frequency. Solvent exchangeable protons hyperfine-coupled to a nucleus of interest can be labeled
with $^2$H by H/D exchange. Overall these future studies will confirm what has already been established in NiN$_2$S$_2$ complexes (i.e., the presence of an amidate ligation tunes the redox potential of the complex to be Ni-centered) in enzymatic system.

3.6 References


APPENDIX

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table A.1. Rates of reactions and [SOD] dependence for H1*-NiSOD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>H1*-NiSOD (2 μM)</th>
<th>O₂− total dose (μM)</th>
<th>rate reaction (M/s)</th>
<th>O₂− total dose (μM)</th>
<th>rate reaction (M/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>23.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>11.10</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>20.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>12.70</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>19.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>18.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>22.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.88</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>19.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>18.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>20.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>18.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2. pH dependent activity assay of semisynthetic WT-NiSOD at 2 μM concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH 6.50</th>
<th>pH 7.50</th>
<th>pH 8.50</th>
<th>pH 9.50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O₂− total dose (μM)</td>
<td>rate reaction (M/s)</td>
<td>O₂− total dose (μM)</td>
<td>rate reaction (M/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>2060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1620</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>2210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>1710</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>1610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>1540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A.3. EXAFS first shell fit results showing Ni-N, -S and -C distances, r; threshold energy shift, $E_0$; Debye-Waller factor, $\sigma^2$, and statistical parameters. Fit highlighted in yellow is the best fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shells</th>
<th>r(Å)</th>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (x10^{-3} Å^{-2})$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^v_2$</th>
<th>% R</th>
<th>Shells</th>
<th>r(Å)</th>
<th>$E_0$</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ (x10^{-3} Å^{-2})$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^v_2$</th>
<th>% R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.98(6)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6(5)</td>
<td>12382.0</td>
<td>3314.90</td>
<td>24.16</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3N(@2.0)</td>
<td>2.00(2)</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>971.64</td>
<td>559.91</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.98(2)</td>
<td>3.668</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>1542.02</td>
<td>563.74</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.43(3)</td>
<td>2.18(5)</td>
<td>0(1)</td>
<td>1221.6</td>
<td>4614.30</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>12855.7</td>
<td>4699.9</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3N (@2.0)</td>
<td>1.99(5)</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-5(5)</td>
<td>8884.50</td>
<td>5119.70</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>2(3)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6(85)</td>
<td>12855.7</td>
<td>4699.9</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.9(3)</td>
<td>18(35)</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>2.33(5)</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1(3)</td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>140.07</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.0)</td>
<td>2.14(3)</td>
<td>2(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.23(2)</td>
<td>0(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.9(3)</td>
<td>-3(4)</td>
<td>98.98</td>
<td>989.81</td>
<td>989.81</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td>103.00</td>
<td>140.07</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.2(1)</td>
<td>-1(34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.33(4)</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.21(9)</td>
<td>-2(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.23(2)</td>
<td>0(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.94(3)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>-6(3)</td>
<td>75.72</td>
<td>757.21</td>
<td>21.33</td>
<td>2.34(5)</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>2.5(9)</td>
<td>119.65</td>
<td>162.70</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.12(7)</td>
<td>21(74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
<td>1(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.19(3)</td>
<td>-2(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.23(2)</td>
<td>0(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.01(8)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6(11)</td>
<td>1938.33</td>
<td>1116.96</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.14(4)</td>
<td>1(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3N(@2.0)</td>
<td>2.00(7)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5(8)</td>
<td>1455.81</td>
<td>838.92</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.14(6)</td>
<td>2(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.96(3)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>-3(4)</td>
<td>105.99</td>
<td>1059.90</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.96(1)</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td>108.64</td>
<td>147.74</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.3(2)</td>
<td>-1(35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.33(5)</td>
<td>-2.7(8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.2(2)</td>
<td>0(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.23(2)</td>
<td>0(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.94(3)</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>-6(3)</td>
<td>75.23</td>
<td>752.30</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.3(3)</td>
<td>-0.305</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td>125.17</td>
<td>170.21</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.1(2)</td>
<td>20(67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.96(2)</td>
<td>-2(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.19(3)</td>
<td>-2(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.22(1)</td>
<td>1(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.01(9)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>6(11)</td>
<td>1921.07</td>
<td>1107.02</td>
<td>5.19%</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.14(9)</td>
<td>1(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.93(8)</td>
<td>-3.11</td>
<td>-6(21)</td>
<td>317.48</td>
<td>3174.77</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.93(2)</td>
<td>-3.091</td>
<td>-6(4)</td>
<td>317.65</td>
<td>431.97</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.1(2)</td>
<td>-6(26)</td>
<td>2.07(4)</td>
<td>2.13(2)</td>
<td>7(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.07(8)</td>
<td>6(28)</td>
<td>2.13(2)</td>
<td>7(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.99(2)</td>
<td>-4.72</td>
<td>3(6)</td>
<td>645.43</td>
<td>371.93</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.14(2)</td>
<td>6(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.9(1)</td>
<td>-5.39</td>
<td>-4(12)</td>
<td>183.52</td>
<td>1835.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.8(2)</td>
<td>-11.07</td>
<td>41(18)</td>
<td>120.10</td>
<td>163.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.0(2)</td>
<td>5(66)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>-4.6(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.19(7)</td>
<td>-3(11)</td>
<td>2.05(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.94(9)</td>
<td>-5.64</td>
<td>-4(11)</td>
<td>156.00</td>
<td>1559.96</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.8(3)</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
<td>50(23)</td>
<td>130.05</td>
<td>176.86</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.0(1)</td>
<td>5(49)</td>
<td>2.06(2)</td>
<td>-4(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.19(5)</td>
<td>-3(10)</td>
<td>2.19(2)</td>
<td>-4(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.98(2)</td>
<td>-4.76</td>
<td>2(5)</td>
<td>635.54</td>
<td>366.23</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.14(2)</td>
<td>6(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.9(2)</td>
<td>-9.99</td>
<td>-1(11)</td>
<td>405.24</td>
<td>4052.42</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.93(2)</td>
<td>-3.245</td>
<td>-6(4)</td>
<td>351.48</td>
<td>477.98</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.6(4)</td>
<td>-1(11)</td>
<td>2.07(4)</td>
<td>-6(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.14(4)</td>
<td>3(7)</td>
<td>2.14(2)</td>
<td>7(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.99(2)</td>
<td>-4.75</td>
<td>2(6)</td>
<td>666.13</td>
<td>383.86</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.13(2)</td>
<td>6(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.93(7)</td>
<td>-3.11</td>
<td>-6(12)</td>
<td>355.21</td>
<td>3552.13</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.2)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.1(1)</td>
<td>-6(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2(18)</td>
<td>6(810)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2(18)</td>
<td>6(810)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.11(2)</td>
<td>-13.41</td>
<td>9(2)</td>
<td>2273.87</td>
<td>608.74</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.12(2)</td>
<td>-11.26</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>714.26</td>
<td>411.59</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.13(3)</td>
<td>-13.97</td>
<td>7(10)</td>
<td>2241.21</td>
<td>819.35</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.4(2)</td>
<td>32(61)</td>
<td>2.0(2)</td>
<td>7(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.1(4)</td>
<td>-12.81</td>
<td>28(19)</td>
<td>1139.11</td>
<td>656.41</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>-13.63</td>
<td>8(93)</td>
<td>2250.26</td>
<td>822.66</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.11(2)</td>
<td>3(2)</td>
<td>2(2)</td>
<td>8(93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.9(2)</td>
<td>-14.08</td>
<td>37(84)</td>
<td>698.11</td>
<td>402.29</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.2(7)</td>
<td>-13.67</td>
<td>8(20)</td>
<td>2269.72</td>
<td>829.77</td>
<td>5.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.11(2)</td>
<td>5(1)</td>
<td>2.10(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.11(2)</td>
<td>-13.82</td>
<td>8(2)</td>
<td>2538.87</td>
<td>679.69</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.12(2)</td>
<td>-9.77</td>
<td>7(4)</td>
<td>687.22</td>
<td>396.01</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.98(6)</td>
<td>1(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.2)</td>
<td>2.18(2)</td>
<td>-3.88</td>
<td>4(1)</td>
<td>22.65</td>
<td>226.47</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.14(2)</td>
<td>-11.54</td>
<td>-8(2)</td>
<td>231.56</td>
<td>314.90</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.51(1)</td>
<td>11(10)</td>
<td>1.94(2)</td>
<td>-1(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1N(@2.0)</td>
<td>1.94(2)</td>
<td>-3(2)</td>
<td>1.91(3)</td>
<td>-1(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1S(@2.2)</td>
<td>1.9(1)</td>
<td>-11.42</td>
<td>2(31)</td>
<td>208.10</td>
<td>2081.01</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.2(2)</td>
<td>-9.999</td>
<td>2(41)</td>
<td>655.58</td>
<td>891.52</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S(@2.3)</td>
<td>2.15(5)</td>
<td>0(8)</td>
<td>2.1(3)</td>
<td>2(41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A.4. XANES analysis for H1*-NiSOD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>line shape</th>
<th>$E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>area ($\times 10^{-2}$ eV)</th>
<th>sigma</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>$\chi^2_v$</th>
<th>% R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian(1s$\rightarrow$3d)</td>
<td>8331.00</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>1.7(3)</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td>0.0000141</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian(1s$\rightarrow$4p)</td>
<td>8335.78</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.17(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>error function</td>
<td>8340.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td>0.0000141</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A.1. XANES spectrum fitted with an error function and two gaussian functions.


Brawn, K.; Fridovich, I. *Superoxide radical and superoxide dismutases: threat and defense*; Springer: 1980;.


Hayaishi, O. *Molecular mechanisms of oxygen activation*; Elsevier: 2012; .


Herbst, R. W.; Perovic, I.; Martin-Diaconescu, V.; O'Brien, K.; Chivers, P. T.; Pochapsky, S. S.; Pochapsky, T. C.; Maroney, M. J. Communication between the zinc


Martin-Diaconescu, V.; Bellucci, M.; Musiani, F.; Ciurli, S.; Maroney, M. J. Unraveling the Helicobacter pylori UreG zinc binding site using X-ray absorption spectroscopy


