1996

The global rate and efficiency of star formation in spiral galaxies as a function of morphology and environment

Judith S. Young

Lori Allen

Jeffrey D.P. Kenney

Amy Lesser

Brooks Rownd

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/astro_faculty_pubs

Part of the [Astrophysics and Astronomy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Young, Judith S.; Allen, Lori; Kenney, Jeffrey D.P.; Lesser, Amy; and Rownd, Brooks, "The global rate and efficiency of star formation in spiral galaxies as a function of morphology and environment" (1996). *Astronomical Journal*. 859.

Retrieved from https://scholarworks.umass.edu/astro_faculty_pubs/859

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Astronomy at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Astronomy Department Faculty Publication Series by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

THE GLOBAL RATE AND EFFICIENCY OF STAR FORMATION IN SPIRAL GALAXIES AS A FUNCTION OF MORPHOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENT

JUDITH S. YOUNG,¹ LORI ALLEN, JEFFREY D. P. KENNEY,² AMY LESSER,¹ AND BROOKS ROWND

Department of Physics and Astronomy and Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts 01003

Electronic mail: young@phast.umass.edu

Received 1996 January 24; revised 1996 June 24

ABSTRACT

CCD images of $H\alpha$ and R -band emission in 120 spiral galaxies were obtained using the now-retired No. 1–0.9 m telescope of Kitt Peak National Observatory. These images were used to derive the distribution and total flux of continuum-subtracted $H\alpha$ line emission, and therefore the $H\alpha$ surface brightnesses and high mass star formation rates in these galaxies. We find a small but significant variation in the mean $H\alpha$ surface brightness for spiral galaxies along the Hubble sequence; the Sd-Ir galaxies exhibit a mean $H\alpha$ surface brightness 1.4 times higher than the Sbc-Scd galaxies, and 2–3 times higher than the Sa-Sb galaxies. Estimates for the total formation rate for high mass stars have been compared with global molecular gas masses to determine the global efficiency of high mass star formation ($=L_*/\dot{M}_{\text{gas}}$) as a function of morphological type and environment. We find that the mean efficiency of high mass star formation in this sample of spiral galaxies shows little dependence on morphological type for galaxies of type Sa through Scd, although there is a wide range in star formation efficiencies within each type. Galaxies in disturbed environments (i.e., strongly interacting systems) are found to have a mean star formation efficiency ~ 4 times higher than in isolated spiral galaxies, uncorrected for extinction. This confirms previous findings (Young *et al.* 1986a,b; Sanders *et al.* 1986; Solomon & Sage 1988; Tinney *et al.* 1990), based on the far-infrared luminosity rather than the $H\alpha$ luminosity to trace the rate of high mass star formation, that the mean star formation efficiency among isolated galaxies is significantly lower than that among interacting systems. This result provides further confirmation that the rate of high mass star formation is reasonably well traced by both the $H\alpha$ and the IR luminosity in spiral galaxies. © 1996 American Astronomical Society.

1. INTRODUCTION

If star formation in external galaxies takes place in molecular clouds, as is observed in the Milky Way, then the evolution of those galaxies is largely driven by the rate at which molecular gas is converted into stars. Among spiral galaxies, it is now well known that molecular gas is found in all spiral types (cf. Young & Knezek 1989), and it is of great interest to determine whether the disks of galaxies of different Hubble types evolve at different rates. To this end, it is important to determine the mean rate of high mass star formation per unit mass of molecular gas, which we also call the star formation efficiency ($=L_*/\dot{M}_{\text{gas}}$), for disk galaxies along the Hubble sequence. This approach to the study of spiral galaxies is independent of bulge luminosity—which changes as a function of morphological type (Boroson 1981; Kent 1985)—since the molecular clouds and young stars are both located in the disk.

Previous studies of galaxies have addressed both the morphological type dependence and the environmental dependence of the high mass star formation efficiency through a

comparison of the far-infrared luminosity [$L(\text{IR})$] with the molecular gas mass [$\dot{M}(\text{H}_2)$]. For early- and late-type spirals, a number of investigators have found no global variations in the mean $L(\text{IR})/\dot{M}(\text{H}_2)$ with type (Rengarajan & Verma 1986; Young *et al.* 1989; Thronson *et al.* 1989; Wiklind & Henkel 1989; Devereux & Young 1991). Differences are found, however, in the mean $L(\text{IR})/\dot{M}(\text{H}_2)$ for interacting galaxies relative to isolated spirals (Young *et al.* 1986a, 1986b; Sanders *et al.* 1986; Solomon & Sage 1988; Tinney *et al.* 1990), indicating that the yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas in interacting galaxies is ~ 5 times higher than in isolated galaxies.

Using the luminosity in $H\alpha$ as opposed to that in the far-infrared, we have undertaken a program to determine the present rate of high mass star formation in disk galaxies, as well as the star formation rate per unit mass of molecular gas. The primary incentive for this program was originally to determine the distribution of high mass star formation within galaxies, since the *IRAS* resolution is insufficient to resolve most of the galaxies studied here. Because the flux in $H\alpha$ is proportional to the number of ionizing photons from OB stars, in the absence of absorption by dust, it directly traces the presence of recently formed high mass stars. Thus, the ratio of the $H\alpha$ luminosity to the mass of molecular gas is an

¹Visiting JCMT Fellow, Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI 96822.

²Department of Astronomy, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511.

independent indicator of the yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas, or the star formation efficiency.

In this paper, we present initial results from our CCD imaging program on the global high mass star formation rate and efficiency in a sample of 120 spiral galaxies. We include a comparison of interacting and isolated galaxies, as well as an examination of the high mass star formation efficiency in spiral galaxies of different morphological types. In a later paper, we will examine the radial variations in the rate of star formation and the yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas within these galaxies.

2. THE $H\alpha$ DATA

2.1 Galaxy Sample

We have obtained $H\alpha$ and red continuum CCD images for 120 of the 300 galaxies observed as part of the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory (FCRAO) *Extragalactic CO Survey* (Young *et al.* 1995). The galaxies included in the *Extragalactic CO Survey* cover a wide range in properties, including lenticulars, spirals, and irregulars; galaxies with optical diameters between 4 and 100 kpc; environments from pair to cluster, and from isolated to merging systems. The larger sample of galaxies is not complete in a flux-limited sense, nor it is volume limited, but rather the galaxies observed were selected to span a wide range of parameter space. The majority of the galaxies observed as part of the FCRAO *Extragalactic CO Survey* were selected from the RC2 (de Vaucouleurs *et al.* 1976) or the *IRAS* database based on their optical or infrared properties, such as the total blue magnitude, B_T^0 , the global 60 μm flux density, S_{60} , or the global 100 μm flux density, S_{100} . Most of the galaxies in the *CO Survey* are spiral or irregular galaxies which have declinations north of -25° and satisfy at least one of the following three criteria: (1) $B_T^0 < 13.0$, (2) $S_{60} > 5$ Jy, or (3) $S_{100} > 10$ Jy (from coadded *IRAS* data). The galaxies which are included in this CCD imaging program are listed in Table 1, along with their coordinates, morphological types, blue magnitudes, heliocentric velocities, distances, and optical diameters.

The 120 galaxies which were imaged in $H\alpha$ include 25 galaxies of type S0-Sab, 44 galaxies of type Sb-Sbc, 20 galaxies of type Sc, 18 galaxies of type Scd-Sdm, 8 Irregulars, and 2 Peculiars. The morphological type distribution of these galaxies is shown in Fig. 1. The galaxies included in this study are found in a wide range of environments. Among the spirals there are six close pairs in which the two galaxies are of roughly equal size, and nine systems which we refer to as merger remnants based on the presence of tidal tails or other morphological peculiarities which can result from galaxy collisions (Schweizer *et al.* 1983; Joseph & Wright 1985; Sanders *et al.* 1986). At the other extreme of environment, 10 galaxies are so isolated that there are no massive companions within 1 Mpc on the sky, 4 are in loose groups, and 43 are members of the Virgo Cluster. Figure 1 also illustrates the morphological type distribution for the Virgo Cluster members included in this imaging study.

2.2 Imaging Observations

Measurements of the $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ emission were made for 120 galaxies using the now-retired No. 1–0.9 m telescope of KPNO ($f7.5$) with either the T12, T13, RCA3, or TEK1 CCD direct imaging system on photometric nights between 1986 October and 1989 April. The CCDs were operated to produce a scale of either $0.77''$ or $0.86''$ per pixel, depending on the particular CCD. The area imaged was either $6'$ by $6'$ or $7'$ by $4'$, also depending on the particular CCD. A summary of the observations is given in Table 2, including the CCD used to image each galaxy, and the characteristics of each CCD.

The $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ emission line fluxes were obtained by observing each galaxy through two filters: a narrow ($\Delta\lambda = 76\text{--}86$ Å) interference filter centered on $H\alpha$ at the velocity of the target galaxy, and either a broad R -band filter ($\lambda = 6470$ Å, $\Delta\lambda = 1110$ Å) or a narrow R filter ($\lambda = 7024$ Å, $\Delta\lambda = 380$ Å) to determine the nearby continuum level. Integration times were typically 5–10 min in the R band and 1 h in $H\alpha$. Airmass corrections were made using the standard extinction curve for Kitt Peak given in the KPNO *IRS Standard Star Manual* (Barnes & Hayes 1984). The airmass of the observations, the specific $H\alpha$ interference filters used, the characteristics of these filters, and the $H\alpha$ and R -band integration times are given in Table 2.

Because of the range in redshifts for the galaxies in our sample, six different $H\alpha$ interference filters were needed for the imaging observations. For each filter, the transmission characteristics as a function of wavelength were made available to us by the staff at KPNO. Most of the $H\alpha$ interference filters were characterized by transmission which decreased by less than 5% for wavelengths within ± 20 Å of the peak, so that no transmission corrections were needed for most of the galaxies. In a few cases, the velocity of a galaxy was such that the redshifted $H\alpha$ line was inauspiciously located midway between the available filters where the transmission was reduced by $\sim 5\%$ – 10% , and in these cases a transmission correction was applied to the image.

The images were bias subtracted and flat fielded as described by Schoening (1987) in the “CCD Direct Imaging Camera for the #1–0.9 m Telescope.” After flat fielding, the next step in obtaining continuum-free $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ images was to subtract the sky emission from each image. Then, the continuum images were registered and scaled relative to the interference filter images using ~ 5 unsaturated foreground stars, and the scaled continuum images were subtracted from the interference filter images to yield continuum-free images of the $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ emission. Figure 2 (Plates 85–90) illustrates the R -band and continuum-free $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ emission in a subset of the galaxies in our sample covering a range in morphological type.

The continuum-subtracted $H\alpha$ + $[\text{N II}]$ images were flux calibrated using observations from the same night of one or more of the CCD photometric standards G191B2B, Feige 110, HZ 44, and BD +8°2015. Table 2 lists the calibration standard used for each galaxy; fluxes for these sources were determined from the KPNO *IRS Standard Star Manual* (Barnes & Hayes 1984). The final step in the data reduction procedure consisted of removing incompletely subtracted

TABLE 1. Galaxy properties.

Galaxy	$\alpha(1950)$ h m s	$\delta(1950)$ ° ' "	Type	B_T^o	V_{sun} (km/sec)	Dist. (Mpc)	D_{25} (')	D_{25} (kpc)	Alt. Ref.*
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC 157	00 32 14.4	-08 40 18	SAB(rs)bc	10.67	1657	35.0	4.27	43.4	a
NGC 253	00 45 07.8	-25 33 42	SAB(s)c	7.40	249	3.3	25.12	24.1	a,e
NGC 278	00 49 14.8	+47 16 43	SAB(rs)b	10.96	642	17.7	2.19	11.3	
NGC 488	01 19 11.2	+04 59 36	SA(r)b	10.83	2180	45.8	5.25	70.0	
NGC 520	01 21 59.4	+03 32 13	Pec	11.55	2168	45.4	4.79	63.3	
NGC 628	01 34 00.7	+15 31 55	SA(s)c	9.48	655	15.9	10.23	47.2	
NGC 660	01 40 20.7	+13 23 32	SB(s)a pec	12.28	856	19.6	9.12	52.0	c,d
NGC 891	02 19 25.2	+42 07 18	SA(s)b sp	9.83	524	14.1	13.49	55.4	
NGC 925	02 24 16.6	+33 21 20	SAB(s)d	10.03	560	14.3	9.77	40.7	
NGC 972	02 31 16.6	+29 05 35	IO	11.53	1532	33.4	3.63	35.3	
<hr/>									
NGC 1055	02 39 10.7	+00 13 45	SBb sp	10.79	1050	21.5	7.59	47.6	
NGC 1068	02 40 06.5	-00 13 32	(R)SA(rs)b	9.17	1109	22.7	6.92	45.7	
NGC 1084	02 43 31.8	-07 47 06	SA(s)c	10.73	1413	28.1	2.88	23.6	a
NGC 1087	02 43 51.6	-00 42 19	SAB(rs)c	11.11	1824	36.9	3.47	37.2	
NGC 1569	04 26 05.8	+64 44 18	IBm	10.58	-87	4.7	2.88	3.9	e
NGC 1614	04 31 36.0	-08 40 54	SB(s)c pec	13.15	4745	92.9	1.32	35.7	a
NGC 1961	05 36 33.9	+69 21 16	SAB(rs)c	11.15	3890	81.1	4.27	100.8	
NGC 2146	06 10 40.1	+78 22 23	SB(s)ab pec	10.52	838	20.6	6.03	36.1	
NGC 2276	07 10 22.0	+85 50 58	SAB(rs)c	11.44	2369	51.6	2.63	39.5	
NGC 2336	07 18 28.0	+80 16 35	SAB(r)bc	10.49	2199	47.8	6.92	96.2	
<hr/>									
NGC 2339	07 05 25.1	+18 51 42	SAB(rs)bc	11.54	2423	46.7	2.75	37.3	
NGC 2683	08 49 34.8	+33 36 23	SA(rs)b	9.84	284	4.8	9.33	13.1	e
NGC 2775	09 07 41.0	+07 14 35	SA(r)ab	10.85	1135	19.3	4.47	25.1	
NGC 2798	09 14 09.5	+42 12 37	SB(s)a pec	12.43	1708	34.2	2.75	27.3	
NGC 2841	09 18 34.9	+51 11 19	SA(r)b	9.58	652	14.0	8.13	33.1	
NGC 2903	09 29 19.9	+21 43 19	SAB(rs)bc	9.05	569	9.3	12.59	34.2	
NGC 2976	09 43 10.0	+68 08 43	SAc pec	10.32	42	3.5	4.90	5.0	e
NGC 3034	09 51 45.3	+69 55 11	IO sp	8.72	246	3.3	11.22	10.8	e
NGC 3077	09 59 21.9	+68 58 33	IO pec	10.28	10	3.3	4.57	4.4	e
NGC 3079	09 58 35.4	+55 55 11	SB(s)c sp	10.43	1137	24.2	7.59	53.5	
<hr/>									
NGC 3147	10 12 39.3	+73 39 02	SA(rs)bc	11.07	2721	57.6	3.98	66.7	
NGC 3184	10 15 17.7	+41 40 28	SAB(rs)cd	10.18	589	11.9	6.92	23.9	
NGC 3310	10 35 40.3	+53 45 45	SAB(r)bc pec	10.90	994	21.3	3.63	22.4	
NGC 3351	10 41 19.6	+11 58 00	SB(r)b	10.18	807	13.5	7.41	29.0	
NGC 3504	11 00 28.1	+28 14 35	(R)SAB(s)ab	11.52	1529	29.6	2.69	23.1	
NGC 3521	11 03 15.1	+00 13 58	SAB(rs)bc	9.26	815	12.8	9.55	35.6	
NGC 3556	11 08 36.8	+55 56 33	SB(s)cd sp	10.00	685	15.4	8.32	37.4	
NGC 3593	11 11 59.2	+13 05 28	SA(s)0/a	11.24	660	10.9	5.75	18.2	
NGC 3623	11 16 18.6	+13 22 00	SAB(rs)a	9.59	780	6.7	10.00	19.5	e
NGC 3627	11 17 37.9	+13 16 08	SAB(s)b	9.26	697	6.7	8.71	17.0	e
<hr/>									
NGC 3631	11 18 13.2	+53 26 43	SA(s)c	10.76	1167	24.9	4.57	33.1	
NGC 3675	11 23 24.2	+43 51 36	SA(s)b	10.49	701	14.7	5.89	25.2	
NGC 3690	11 25 44.2	+58 50 23	IBm pec	11.90	2999	62.1	2.40	43.3	c
IC 694	11 25 41.8	+58 50 00	SBm pec	...	3115	62.1	1.17	21.1	
NGC 3718	11 29 50.7	+53 20 33	SB(s)a pec	10.80	1014	21.9	8.71	55.5	
NGC 3810	11 38 23.5	+11 44 55	SA(rs)c	10.94	973	17.2	4.27	21.4	
NGC 4038	11 59 19.2	-18 35 06	SB(s)m pec	10.88	1658	28.9	2.58	21.7	a
NGC 4041	11 59 38.7	+62 25 03	SA(rs)bc	11.43	1191	26.4	2.82	21.7	
NGC 4064	12 01 37.3	+18 43 16	SB(s)a pec	11.71	1026	20.0	4.47	26.0	f
NGC 4088	12 03 03.1	+50 49 13	SAB(rs)bc	10.60	742	16.4	5.75	27.5	
<hr/>									
NGC 4102	12 03 51.6	+52 59 23	SAB(s)b	11.91	896	19.7	3.16	18.1	
NGC 4157	12 08 34.6	+50 45 51	SAB(s)b sp	11.18	825	18.1	6.92	36.5	c
NGC 4178	12 10 13.1	+11 08 30	SB(rs)dm	11.38	297	20.0	5.01	29.1	f
NGC 4189	12 11 13.9	+13 42 17	SAB(rs)cd	12.26	2097	20.0	2.45	14.3	f
NGC 4192	12 11 15.4	+15 10 23	SAB(s)ab	10.29	-129	20.0	9.55	55.6	f
NGC 4194	12 11 41.7	+54 48 21	IBm pec	12.55	2528	52.6	2.45	37.5	
NGC 4206	12 12 43.7	+13 18 10	SA(s)bc	13.10	701	20.0	5.25	30.5	c,d,f
NGC 4212	12 13 06.4	+14 10 45	SABc	11.54	2027	20.0	3.02	17.6	f
NGC 4216	12 13 20.3	+13 25 38	SAB(s)b	10.26	15	20.0	8.32	48.4	f
NGC 4237	12 14 38.2	+15 36 08	SAB(rs)bc	11.98	945	20.0	2.29	13.3	c,d,f

TABLE 1. (continued)

Galaxy	$\alpha(1950)$ h m s	$\delta(1950)$ ° ' "	Type	B_T °	V_{sun} (km/sec)	Dist. (Mpc)	D_{25} (')	D_{25} (kpc)	Alt. Ref. *
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC 4254	12 16 16.9	+14 41 46	SA(s)c	10.18	2400	20.0	5.37	31.2	f
NGC 4293	12 18 41.1	+18 39 36	(R)SB(s)0/a	10.77	882	20.0	6.03	35.1	f
NGC 4294	12 18 44.8	+11 47 18	SB(s)cd	12.10	415	20.0	3.09	18.0	f
NGC 4298	12 19 00.4	+14 53 03	SA(rs)c	11.70	1116	20.0	3.16	18.4	f
NGC 4302	12 19 10.2	+14 52 43	Sc sp	12.67	1118	20.0	5.25	30.5	c,d,f
NGC 4299	12 18 08.0	+11 46 53	SAB(s)dm	12.66	212	20.0	1.74	10.1	f
NGC 4303	12 19 21.4	+04 44 58	SAB(rs)bc	9.97	1599	20.0	6.03	35.1	f
NGC 4321	12 20 23.2	+16 06 00	SAB(s)bc	9.86	1610	20.0	6.92	40.3	f
NGC 4351	12 21 29.5	+12 29 01	SB(rs)ab pec	12.47	2388	20.0	2.04	11.9	f
NGC 4380	12 22 49.6	+10 17 33	SA(rs)b	13.02	964	20.0	3.72	21.6	c,d,f
<hr/>									
NGC 4394	12 23 24.7	+18 29 30	(R)SB(r)b	11.51	772	20.0	3.89	22.6	f
NGC 4413	12 23 59.7	+12 53 11	(R)SB(rs)b	13.27	94	20.0	2.45	14.3	c,d,f
NGC 4419	12 24 25.1	+15 19 28	SB(s)a sp	11.07	-273	20.0	3.39	19.7	c,d,f
NGC 4424	12 24 39.0	+09 41 51	SB(s)a	11.84	450	20.0	3.72	21.6	f
NGC 4438	12 25 13.5	+13 17 11	SA(s)0/a pec	10.39	259	20.0	9.33	54.3	f
NGC 4450	12 25 58.0	+17 21 40	SA(s)ab	10.62	2048	20.0	4.79	27.9	f
NGC 4459	12 26 28.3	+14 15 20	SA(s)0+	11.07	1111	20.0	3.80	22.1	e
NGC 4498	12 29 08.8	+17 07 46	SAB(s)cd	12.42	1506	20.0	3.24	18.8	c,d,f
NGC 4501	12 29 28.1	+14 41 50	SA(rs)b	9.86	2057	20.0	6.92	40.3	f
NGC 4519	12 30 58.1	+08 55 48	SB(rs)d	12.30	1170	20.0	3.09	18.0	f
<hr/>									
NGC 4522	12 31 07.8	+09 27 02	SB(s)cd sp	12.98	2331	20.0	3.72	21.6	c,d,f
NGC 4526	12 31 30.4	+07 58 33	SAB(s)0	10.18	450	20.0	7.24	42.1	f
NGC 4527	12 31 35.5	+02 55 45	SAB(s)bc	10.73	1730	20.0	6.31	36.7	f
NGC 4532	12 31 46.7	+06 44 43	IBm	11.76	2159	20.0	2.88	16.8	f
NGC 4535	12 31 47.9	+08 28 25	SAB(s)c	10.35	1946	20.0	6.76	39.3	f
NGC 4536	12 31 53.5	+02 27 50	SAB(rs)bc	10.50	1927	20.0	7.41	43.1	f
NGC 4548	12 32 55.1	+14 46 20	SB(rs)b	10.71	468	20.0	5.37	31.2	f
NGC 4561	12 33 38.4	+19 35 56	SB(rs)dm	12.44	1474	20.0	1.51	8.8	c,d,f
NGC 4567	12 34 01.1	+11 32 01	SA(rs)bc	11.75	2199	20.0	2.95	17.2	f
NGC 4569	12 34 18.7	+13 26 18	SAB(rs)ab	9.80	-312	20.0	9.55	55.6	f
<hr/>									
NGC 4571	12 34 25.5	+14 29 33	SA(r)d	13.37	348	20.0	3.80	22.1	c,d,f
NGC 4579	12 35 12.6	+12 05 40	SAB(rs)b	10.33	1805	20.0	5.37	31.2	f
NGC 4639	12 40 21.7	+13 31 56	SAB(rs)bc	11.90	963	20.0	2.88	16.8	f
NGC 4647	12 41 01.1	+11 51 21	SAB(rs)c	11.64	1358	20.0	3.02	17.6	f
NGC 4651	12 41 12.5	+16 40 05	SA(rs)c	10.99	794	20.0	3.80	22.1	f
NGC 4654	12 41 25.7	+13 23 58	SAB(rs)cd	10.75	1036	20.0	4.68	27.2	f
NGC 4689	12 45 15.3	+14 02 13	SA(rs)bc	12.55	1776	20.0	3.98	23.2	c,d,f
NGC 4710	12 47 09.0	+15 26 15	SA(r)0+ sp	11.25	1129	20.0	5.13	29.8	f
NGC 4713	12 47 25.6	+05 34 58	SAB(rs)d	11.86	664	20.0	2.82	16.4	f
NGC 4736	12 48 32.4	+41 23 28	(R)SA(r)ab	8.58	269	6.0	10.96	21.0	e
<hr/>									
NGC 4808	12 53 17.0	+04 34 28	SA(s)cd	12.03	773	20.0	2.69	15.6	c,f
NGC 4826	12 54 16.9	+21 57 18	(R)SA(rs)ab	8.96	397	7.5	9.33	20.5	e
NGC 5005	13 08 37.6	+37 19 25	SAB(rs)bc	10.19	1015	21.4	5.37	33.4	
NGC 5194	13 27 46.9	+47 27 16	SA(s)bc pec	8.62	460	9.6	10.96	30.6	e
NGC 5248	13 35 02.4	+09 08 23	SAB(rs)bc	10.49	1146	22.0	6.46	41.4	
NGC 5631	14 25 00.0	+56 48 00	SA(s)0	12.22	2144	46.2	2.19	29.4	
NGC 5907	15 14 34.8	+56 30 33	SA(s)c sp	10.08	592	15.6	12.30	55.8	
NGC 6240	16 50 27.6	+02 29 06	I0 pec	13.80	7503	151.9	2.19	96.8	c
NGC 6643	18 21 13.3	+74 32 43	SA(rs)c	11.07	1482	34.7	3.89	39.3	
NGC 6946	20 33 48.8	+59 58 50	SAB(rs)cd	8.49	46	10.1	10.96	32.2	c,e
<hr/>									
NGC 6951	20 36 37.7	+65 55 48	SAB(rs)bc	11.25	1343	32.5	3.80	36.0	
NGC 7217	22 05 37.6	+31 06 53	(R)SA(r)ab	10.49	946	24.5	3.72	26.6	
NGC 7331	22 34 47.7	+34 09 35	SA(s)bc	9.51	826	22.1	10.72	68.9	
NGC 7479	23 02 26.8	+12 03 06	SB(s)c	11.33	2385	52.1	4.07	61.7	
NGC 7541	23 12 10.3	+04 15 43	SB(rs)bc pec	11.85	2672	57.2	3.47	57.7	
NGC 7625	23 18 00.6	+16 57 15	SA(rs)a pec	12.47	1637	37.3	1.78	19.3	
Arp 220	15 32 47.3	+23 40 06	Pec	14.02	5400	110.2	2.00	64.1	b,c,d,g
IC 342	03 41 58.6	+67 56 26	SAB(rs)cd	7.86	32	4.5	17.78	23.6	e
IC 356	04 02 34.5	+69 40 46	SA(s)ab pec	10.35	822	20.3	5.25	31.0	
Mrk 231	12 54 05.0	+57 08 41	SA(rs)c pec	13.86	12430	251.1	1.74	127.1	

Notes to Table 1

*See Young *et al.* (1995) for alternate references.

Col. (1) Galaxy name—NGC, IC, Mrk, or Arp designation.

Col. (2)–(3) Right Ascension and Declination (1950.0 coordinates) from Dressel and Condon (1976), unless alternate reference 'a' is noted in Column (10).

Col. (4) Morphological type from RC2, unless alternate reference 'b' is noted in Column (10).

Col. (5) Total blue magnitude, B_T^0 , corrected for galactic and internal absorption from RC2, unless alternate reference 'c' is noted in Column (10).

Col. (6) Heliocentric velocity from RC2, unless alternate reference 'd' is noted in Column (10).

Col. (7) Distance to the galaxy. For most galaxies, the distance was calculated from the Hubble Law after applying the solar motion correction to the velocity (RC2, column 29), and using $H_0 = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. The exceptions include a small number of nearby galaxies for which the alternate reference 'e' is noted in Column (10), and Virgo cluster members, assumed to be located at a distance of 20.0 Mpc [see alternate reference 'f' in Column (10)].

Col. (8) Optical angular diameter measured in arcminutes out to the 25 mag arcsec⁻² isophote from RC2, unless alternate reference 'g' is noted in Column (10).

Col. (9) Linear diameter in kiloparsecs, calculated using Columns (7) and (8).

Col. (10) Alternate References:

a=Coordinates from RC2, Lonsdale *et al.* (1985), Sanders *et al.* (1986), Tacconi and Young (1987), Bothun *et al.* (1989), Giovanelli and Haynes (1989), or Goldsmith and Young (1989).

b=Morphological type from UGC or Tully (1988).

c= B_T^0 from UGC and corrected for galactic and internal absorption as in RC2, or B_T^0 from de Vaucouleurs, de Vaucouleurs and Buta (1981) or Tacconi and Young (1987).

d=Heliocentric velocity from Huchtmeier *et al.* (1983), Tully (1988), Thronson *et al.* (1989), or Bothun *et al.* (1989).

e=For nearby galaxies, distances are not derived from the Hubble Law. These distances are from Rogstad and Shostak (1972), Sandage and Tammann (1975), Bosma *et al.* (1977), Hunter, Gallagher, and Rautenkranz (1982), Tacconi and Young (1985, 1987), Thronson *et al.* (1989), or Young *et al.* (1989).

f=Galaxies in the Virgo cluster are taken to be at a distance of 20.0 Mpc, following Kenney and Young (1988).

g= D_{25} from UGC, Tully (1988), Tacconi and Young (1987), or Bothun *et al.* (1989).

foreground stars and cosmic rays from the flux-calibrated images, using IRAF. Calibrated $H\alpha + [N II]$ emission line fluxes were determined over the area in which $H II$ regions were detected in each image. Table 2 lists the observed $H\alpha + [N II]$ fluxes for the program galaxies.

2.3 Sources of Uncertainty in $H\alpha$ Fluxes

Possible sources of error in the $H\alpha$ fluxes include (1) contamination by $[N II]$ emission, since the $H\alpha$ interference

filters are $\sim 80 \text{ \AA}$ wide and therefore include the satellite $[N II]$ lines at $\lambda\lambda 6548, 6584$, (2) determination of the continuum level to subtract from the observed $H\alpha$ interference filter image, (3) absolute calibration uncertainties, and (4) extinction, both Galactic and internal to the galaxies themselves. Additionally, some $H\alpha$ emission could arise from an active nucleus, as opposed to on-going star formation. Each of these possibilities will be discussed in turn in this section.

2.3.1 $[N II]$ emission

The $[N II]/H\alpha$ ratio is likely to be high in the nuclei of spiral galaxies where $H\alpha$ absorption is strongest and $[N II]$ is in emission (Rubin & Ford 1986). However, the galaxies in our sample are not as nearby as M31 and M33, and Rubin & Ford (1986) show that the nuclear $H\alpha$ absorption is overshadowed by disk $H\alpha$ emission in more distant galaxies observed with a fixed aperture. Indeed, Kennicutt & Kent (1983) find that nuclear $[N II]$ emission rarely dominates the integrated photometry of spiral galaxies. They also find, from a compilation of published $H II$ region spectrophotometry for 14 spiral and 7 irregular galaxies, that global $[N II]/H\alpha$ variations introduce only a small dispersion in the observed equivalent widths ($\pm 5\%$ to 20% in the extremes). Thus, the $[N II]$ lines in these galaxies generally contribute a small fraction of the observed $H\alpha + [N II]$ emission line flux. We have made no correction for this emission, and hereafter we will refer to the $H\alpha + [N II]$ measurements as $H\alpha$ fluxes.

One effect which may tend to compensate for the contribution of the $[N II]$ lines to the observed flux is related to the fact that the broad R -band filter, which was used for most of the continuum observations, covers a wavelength range which includes the $H\alpha$ and $[N II]$ lines. This $1110 \text{ \AA}$ wide continuum filter has a bandwidth which is ~ 14 times larger than the bandwidth of the $\sim 80 \text{ \AA}$ wide interference filters,

FIG. 1. The distribution of morphological types for the 120 galaxies in the $H\alpha$ imaging survey (grey histogram), and for the 43 of these galaxies in the Virgo Cluster (black histogram). Morphological types were taken from the RC2 (see Table 1). The dashed histogram indicates the type distribution for the 300 galaxies in the FCRAO Extragalactic CO Survey, from which the galaxies in this imaging study were chosen.

TABLE 2. Observational parameters.

Galaxy	Obs. Date	CCD	R Airmass	R Image (sec)	H α Airmass	H α Image (sec)	H α Filter	Calibrator	log F(H α) (erg/cm ² /s)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC 157	12/09/87	TI3	1.35	500	1.38	4000	2	Feige 110	-11.11
NGC 253	12/03/88	RCA3	1.86	200	1.90	3600	1	Feige 110	-10.19
NGC 278	12/11/87	TI3	1.07	170	1.07	1600	1	Feige 110	-11.17
NGC 488	12/12/87	TI3	1.17	200	1.15	2000	2	Feige 110	-12.29
NGC 520	10/25/86	TI2	1.14	2000 *	1.18	4000	2	G191B2B	-12.40
NGC 628	12/03/88	RCA3	1.05	450	1.08	3000	1	Feige 110	-10.91
NGC 660	10/26/86	TI2	1.07	1100 *	1.05	4500	2	G191B2B	-11.64
NGC 891	12/10/87	TI3	1.05	600	1.04	2500	1	Feige 110	-11.68
NGC 925	12/01/88	RCA3	1.01	300	1.04	3000	1	G191B2B	-11.10
NGC 972	02/25/89	TEK1	1.85	300	2.25	2700	2	G191B2B	-11.51
NGC 1055	12/10/87	TI3	1.27	500	1.25	5000	1	Feige 110	-12.03
NGC 1068	10/25/86	TI2	1.20	40 *	1.20	80	2	G191B2B	-10.34
NGC 1084	12/01/88	RCA3	1.32	300	1.31	1800	2	G191B2B	-11.14
NGC 1087	12/03/88	RCA3	1.20	400	1.24	3600	2	Feige 110	-11.36
NGC 1569	12/11/87	TI3	1.19	125	1.21	2500	1	Feige 110	-10.64
NGC 1614	12/09/87	TI3	1.34	100	1.32	1000	3	Feige 110	-11.84
NGC 1961	02/26/89	TEK1	1.36	300	1.37	3000	3	G191B2B	-11.52
NGC 2146	10/25/86	TI2	1.46	900	1.45	3600	1	G191B2B	-11.27
NGC 2276	12/01/88	RCA3	1.71	300	1.70	2700	2	G191B2B	-11.26
NGC 2336	12/03/88	RCA3	1.58	300	1.55	3000	2	Feige 110	-11.02
NGC 2339	02/27/89	TEK1	1.08	300	1.05	3000	2	G191B2B	-11.65
NGC 2683	12/12/87	TI3	1.08	300	1.12	4500	1	G191B2B	-11.23
NGC 2775	12/03/88	RCA3	1.43	300	1.35	3600	2	Feige 110	-11.63
NGC 2798/9	12/03/88	RCA3	1.06	200	1.04	3000	2	Feige 110	-11.67
NGC 2841	12/02/88	RCA3	1.06	120	1.06	1800	1	G191B2B	-11.42
NGC 2903	12/02/88	RCA3	1.08	300	1.07	2500	1	G191B2B	-10.67
NGC 2976	12/01/88	RCA3	1.24	300	1.24	1900	1	G191B2B	-11.04
NGC 3034	12/01/88	RCA3	1.42	100	1.39	1200	1	G191B2B	-10.04
NGC 3077	03/23/87	TI2	1.31	1300 *	1.38	1200	1	BD+82015	-11.22
NGC 3079	10/26/86	TI2	1.58	1500 *	1.46	3600	2	G191B2B	-11.47
NGC 3147	12/08/87	TI3	1.40	300	1.35	4100	2	Feige 110	-11.81
NGC 3184	12/11/87	TI3	1.44	450	1.39	4500	1	Feige 110	-11.12
NGC 3310	12/08/87	TI3	1.08	200	1.08	2000	1	Feige 110	-10.81
NGC 3351	03/26/88	TI2	1.40	200	1.34	2500	1	G191B2B	-11.09
NGC 3504	12/03/88	RCA3	1.12	150	1.11	750	2	Feige 110	-11.40
NGC 3521	12/11/87	TI3	1.19	100	1.20	300	1	Feige 110	-10.81
NGC 3556	12/09/87	TI3	1.61	750	1.41	5000	1	Feige 110	-11.21
NGC 3593	02/27/89	TEK1	1.35	450	1.23	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.73
NGC 3623	12/11/87	TI3	1.25	70	1.28	1400	1	Feige 110	-11.43
NGC 3627	12/10/87	TI3	1.20	180	1.12	1800	1	Feige 110	-10.75
NGC 3631	12/02/88	RCA3	1.12	300	1.10	3000	2	G191B2B	-11.13
NGC 3675	02/27/89	TEK1	1.61	200	1.46	3000	1	G191B2B	-11.21
NGC 3690/ IC 694	12/11/87	TI3	1.38	150	1.29	1500	3	Feige 110	-11.09
NGC 3718	12/03/88	RCA3	1.12	300	1.15	3000	2	Feige 110	-12.54
NGC 3810	12/09/87	TI3	1.35	450	1.35	3600	1	Feige 110	-11.15
NGC 4038/9	12/12/87	TI3	1.68	300	1.61	600	2	Feige 110	-10.79
NGC 4041	02/27/89	TEK1	1.24	400	1.21	3000	2	G191B2B	-11.25
NGC 4064	04/01/89	TEK1	1.38	420	1.27	4000	1	G191B2B	-12.11
NGC 4088	12/10/87	TI3	1.14	300	1.08	3300	1	Feige 110	-11.17
NGC 4102	12/12/87	TI3	1.38	80	1.25	600	1	Feige 110	-11.72
NGC 4157	12/12/87	TI3	1.25	300	1.22	4500	1	Feige 110	-11.59
NGC 4178	03/23/87	TI2	1.60	3300 *	1.28	3900	1	BD+82015	-11.59
NGC 4189	03/26/88	TI2	1.49	450	1.30	4500	2	G191B2B	-11.90
NGC 4192	03/31/89	TEK1	1.64	420	1.44	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.43
NGC 4194	12/11/87	TI3	1.26	125	1.20	1250	3	Feige 110	-11.70
NGC 4206	04/02/89	TEK1	1.88	420	1.59	4000	1	G191B2B	-12.04
NGC 4212	03/22/87	TI2	1.05	3000 **	1.09	3000	1	BD+82015	-11.73
NGC 4216	04/01/89	TEK1	1.14	250	1.10	2500	1	G191B2B	-11.52
NGC 4237	03/30/89	TEK1	1.77	420	1.52	4000	1	G191B2B	-12.15

TABLE 2. (continued)

Galaxy	Obs. Date	CCD	R Airmass	R Image (sec)	H α Airmass	H α Image (sec)	H α Filter	Calibrator	log F(H α) (erg/cm ² /s)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
NGC 4254	03/24/87	TI2	1.31	1000 *	1.21	3000	2	BD+82015	-10.89
NGC 4293	03/25/88	TI2	1.17	300	1.32	3600	1	G191B2B	-11.92
NGC 4294	03/31/89	TEK1	1.26	420	1.17	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.71
NGC 4298/ NGC 4302	03/31/89	TEK1	1.07	420	1.06	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.74
NGC 4299	03/30/89	TEK1	1.30	420	1.17	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.62
NGC 4303	03/29/88	TI2	1.25	200	1.16	2000	2	G191B2B	-10.66
NGC 4321	03/24/87	TI2	1.84	900 *	1.59	2000	2	BD+82015	-11.09
NGC 4351	03/31/89	TEK1	1.08	420	1.13	4000	2	G191B2B	-12.43
NGC 4380	04/01/89	TEK1	1.10	420	1.09	4000	1	G191B2B	-12.28
NGC 4394	03/30/89	TEK1	1.05	420	1.05	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.97
NGC 4413	03/26/88	TI2	1.07	900	1.08	4500	1	G191B2B	-12.16
NGC 4419	03/26/87	TI2	1.83	600 *	1.61	1200	1	HZ44	-11.81
NGC 4424	04/02/89	TEK1	1.40	420	1.28	4000	1	G191B2B	-12.10
NGC 4438	04/02/89	TEK1	1.06	420	1.50	3000	1	G191B2B	-11.63
NGC 4450	04/01/89	TEK1	1.06	300	1.11	3000	2	G191B2B	-12.18
NGC 4459	03/30/89	TEK1	1.06	250	1.11	3000	2	G191B2B	-12.39
NGC 4498	04/01/89	TEK1	1.25	420	1.43	4000	2	G191B2B	-12.02
NGC 4501	03/29/88	TI2	1.89	250	1.70	2000	2	G191B2B	-11.14
NGC 4519	03/26/88	TI2	1.13	600	1.15	3600	2	G191B2B	-11.74
NGC 4522	04/02/89	TEK1	1.16	420	1.12	4000	2	G191B2B	-12.29
NGC 4526	03/25/88	TI2	1.16	120	1.17	2000	1	G191B2B	-11.23
NGC 4527	03/25/87	TI2	1.23	450 *	1.22	2500	2	BD+82015	-11.28
NGC 4532	03/26/88	TI2	1.23	900	1.15	3600	2	G191B2B	-11.35
NGC 4535	03/29/88	TI2	1.67	300	1.46	2500	2	G191B2B	-11.26
NGC 4536	03/30/89	TEK1	1.29	400	1.45	4000	2	G191B2B	-11.34
NGC 4548	03/29/88	TI2	1.05	300	1.06	2700	1	G191B2B	-12.07
NGC 4561	03/26/87	TI2	1.03	500	1.31	6600	2	HZ44	-11.98
NGC 4567/8	03/31/89	TEK1	1.23	420	1.40	4000	2	G191B2B	-11.38
NGC 4569	03/25/88	TI2	1.15	150	1.10	3000	1	G191B2B	-11.24
NGC 4571	03/23/87	TI2	1.09	2500 *	1.05	4500	1	BD+82015	-11.91
NGC 4579	03/29/88	TI2	1.07	250	1.06	1500	2	G191B2B	-11.49
NGC 4639	03/31/89	TEK1	1.66	420	2.26	4000	1	G191B2B	-11.79
NGC 4647	03/30/89	TEK1	1.51	350	1.87	4000	2	G191B2B	-11.67
NGC 4651	03/23/87	TI2	2.01	900 *	1.68	2500	1	BD+82015	-11.62
NGC 4654	03/26/87	TI2	2.14	500	1.74	4000	2	HZ44	-11.50
NGC 4689	03/25/87	TI2	1.06	2500 *	1.29	4000	2	BD+82015	-11.84
NGC 4710	03/26/87	TI2	1.36	300	1.11	1850	2	HZ44	-11.39
NGC 4713	03/26/87	TI2	1.18	800	1.12	3800	1	HZ44	-11.52
NGC 4736	03/30/88	TI2	1.25	90	1.22	1500	1	G191B2B	-10.27
NGC 4808	03/23/87	TI2	1.20	1500 *	1.27	4000	1	BD+82015	-11.63
NGC 4826	02/27/89	TEK1	1.10	120	1.07	1500	1	G191B2B	-11.08
NGC 5005	02/27/89	TEK1	1.01	120	1.02	1500	2	G191B2B	-11.38
NGC 5194	02/26/89	TEK1	1.50	300	1.38	3000	1	G191B2B	-10.33
NGC 5248	02/27/89	TEK1	1.17	300	1.16	3600	2	G191B2B	-11.15
NGC 5631	04/02/89	TEK1	1.27	300	1.31	1500	2	G191B2B	<-17.00
NGC 5907	03/30/88	TI2	1.10	1000	1.11	5900	1	G191B2B	-11.48
NGC 6240	03/29/88	TI2	1.44	400	1.36	1500	5	G191B2B	-11.64
NGC 6643	03/30/88	TI2	1.39	450	1.38	1800	2	G191B2B	-11.41
NGC 6946	12/02/88	RCA3	1.26	300	1.31	1500	1	G191B2B	-10.47
NGC 6951	12/03/88	RCA3	1.33	300	1.39	3000	2	Feige 110	-11.67
NGC 7217	12/01/88	RCA3	1.07	150	1.12	1800	2	Feige 110	-11.66
NGC 7331	12/10/87	TI3	1.03	100	1.06	600	1	Feige 110	-11.10
NGC 7479	10/25/86	TI2	1.08	1200	1.10	3600	2	G191B2B	-11.57
NGC 7541	10/26/86	TI2	1.18	2000 *	1.14	4500	2	G191B2B	-11.60
NGC 7625	12/01/88	RCA3	1.11	300	1.17	2500	2	Feige 110	-11.76
Arp 220	03/25/88	TI2	1.05	900	1.06	4200	4	G191B2B	-12.70
IC 342	12/02/88	RCA3	1.27	100	1.29	800	1	G191B2B	-10.93
IC 356	12/08/87	TI3	1.28	300	1.29	5400	1	Feige 110	-11.83
Mrk 231	03/30/88	TI2	1.20	150	1.19	300	6	G191B2B	-11.73

Notes to Table 2

Col. (1) Galaxy name—NGC, IC, Mrk, or Arp designation.

Col. (2) Date of the imaging observations.

Col. (3) CCD used for the observations on the now-retired #1–0.9 m telescope at KPNO. The TI CCDs were operated in a 2×2 pixel-summed mode. The CCD characteristics are as follows:

CCD	Number of Pixels	Pixel Scale	Field
TI2	396×396	0.86"/pixel	5.7'×2.7'
TI3	396×396	0.86"/pixel	5.7'×5.7'
RCA3	508×320	0.86"/pixel	7.3'(E-W)×4.6'(N-S)
TEK1	512×512	0.77"/pixel	6.6'×6.6'

Col. (4) The airmass of the observations made using the R-band filter. The airmass correction used as part of the flux calibration of the R-band image is given by $10^{0.4K_{\lambda}x}$, where x is the airmass and K_{λ} is the extinction at wavelength λ given by the standard extinction curve for Kitt Peak (Barnes and Hayes 1984). For the broad R-band filter used for most of the continuum observations, K_{λ} has a value of 0.101. For galaxies in which a narrow R-band filter was used to image the continuum, K_{λ} has a value of 0.073; galaxies for which this is the case have longer R-band integration times and are indicated with an asterisk in column 5.

Col. (5) Integration time, in seconds, for the R-band continuum image. The broad R-band filter has a central wavelength of 6470 Å and a width of 1110 Å. Longer integrations were made using a narrow R-band filter for some galaxies, indicated by an asterisk following the time. The narrow R-band filter has a central wavelength of 7024 Å and a width of 380 Å. For NGC 4212, indicated by a double asterisk (**), the continuum was observed through the filter indicated as H α -6 in the note to Column (8).

Col. (6) The airmass of the observations made using the H α interference filter. The airmass correction used as part of the flux calibration of the H α image is given by $10^{0.4K_{\lambda}x}$, where x is the airmass and K_{λ} is the extinction at wavelength λ given by the standard extinction curve for Kitt Peak (Barnes and Hayes 1984). For the H α interference filters used for the imaging observations, K_{λ} has a value ranging between 0.095 and 0.082 depending on the central wavelength of the filter. Individual values of K_{λ} are given for each H α filter in the note to column (8).

Col. (7) Integration time, in seconds, for the H α interference filter image.

Col. (8) The H α filters used for the imaging observations depend on the velocity of the galaxy, and include the following:

H α filter	Central Wavelength (Å)	Filter Width (Å)	Velocity Range (km/sec)	Extinction Coefficient K_{λ}
1	6563	80	0±1600	0.095
2	6608	76	1965±1590	0.093
3	6649	70	3880±1580	0.091
4	6693	81	5942±1850	0.089
5	6744	86	8274±1965	0.087
6	6826	80	12,022±1828	0.082

Col. (9) Standard star used for flux calibration of the H α and R-band continuum emission. Fluxes were determined from the KPNO IRS Standard Star Manual of Barnes and Hayes (1984).

Col. (10) Logarithm of the observed H α + [NII] flux in units of $\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. No corrections for extinction have been made. The uncertainty in $F(\text{H}\alpha)$ is estimated to be ~20%. For the galaxy pairs—NGC 2798/2799, Arp 299 (NGC 3690/IC 694), NGC 4038/4039, NGC 4298/4302, NGC 4567/4568—the flux listed is that for both galaxies. For the galaxies with the largest angular sizes (i.e., NGC 253 and IC 342), the fluxes listed are for the inner 6' region only.

and a transmissivity at H α which is similar to that of the interference filters. Thus, the continuum filter detects not only the red continuum, but also ~7% of the H α line emission which is detected in the interference filter images. As a result, when the continuum image is subtracted from the interference filter image, there is a slight oversubtraction of the line emission. However, this oversubtraction of the line emission will tend to balance the presence of the [N II] lines in the first place, so no correction for this effect was made. A larger source of uncertainty is the actual determination of the continuum level, which can lead to a $\pm 20\%$ uncertainty in the global H α flux, as discussed below.

2.3.2 Determination of the continuum level

Determination of the continuum level in the H α interference filter image can introduce an error in the H α flux, because a single scale factor is applied to the continuum image.

(While this is not the ideal situation, the only way to improve upon it is to obtain a spectrum at every location and determine the continuum level at every pixel.) In general, we find that there is a $\pm 5\%$ error in the determination of the continuum level, which can lead to an uncertainty of up to $\pm 20\%$ in the global H α flux for low surface brightness extended emission.

2.3.3 Absolute calibration

The absolute calibration of the emission line images depends on the repeatability of individual standard star measurements and the airmass correction based on the Kitt Peak standard extinction curve. From observations of the same standard stars at different airmasses and on different nights, we find that the calibration measurements are repeatable with high accuracy, and that the uncertainty in the absolute calibration is $\pm 5\%$. All of the observations presented here were taken on photometric nights.

2.3.4 Extinction

The $H\alpha$ fluxes listed in Table 2 have not been corrected for Galactic extinction, but that correction has been applied to the $H\alpha$ luminosities listed in Table 3 (see Sec. 3.1). Although no correction for extinction within the galaxies has been made for the entire sample, Kennicutt & Kent (1983) suggest that the extinction within the galaxies in their sample is typically ~ 1 mag. This indicates that the $H\alpha$ fluxes and luminosities we measure are lower limits to the intrinsic $H\alpha$ fluxes and luminosities, and therefore lower limits to the derived rates of high mass star formation.

The extinction of the $H\alpha$ emission in a galaxy will tend to be higher for galaxies which are more highly inclined to the line of sight. In Fig. 3, we show the distribution of galaxy inclinations as a function of morphological type for the galaxies we have imaged in $H\alpha$. This figure illustrates that most of the galaxies in our study have inclinations less than 70° , although a wide range of inclinations was included.

We have derived internal extinction corrections for five of the most heavily reddened galaxies in the present sample: NGC 520, NGC 660, NGC 1068, NGC 2146, and M82. These corrections are based on near-IR imaging of $[S\ III]$ $\lambda 9532$, which suffers less extinction than does the $H\alpha$ (Waller *et al.* 1988; Young *et al.* 1988). The amount of extinction was derived from the $[S\ III]/H\alpha$ ratio, conservatively assuming an intrinsic (unreddened) ratio of 0.5; the intrinsic $[S\ III]/H\alpha$ ratio is 0.4 in Orion (Lester *et al.* 1979) and 0.33 in a sample of 8 compact H II regions (Dennefeld & Stasinska 1985). Thus, the adopted value of 0.5 for the unreddened $[S\ III]/H\alpha$ ratio may result in underestimating the extinction. Extinction maps derived in this way for the heavily obscured central regions of these five galaxies lead to global extinction corrections which range from a factor of 1.7 in NGC 1068 to a factor of 26 in NGC 520, consistent with independent measurements of the extinction in the central regions (Benvenuti *et al.* 1975).

Calculations by Rubin (1988) indicate that the unreddened $[S\ III]/H\alpha$ ratio is approximately constant over a range of a factor of ~ 2 in temperature and density and ~ 10 in metallicity. Waller *et al.* (1992) find that the unreddened ratio depends on metal abundance (varying by a factor of ~ 3 from Galactic to Magellanic metallicity), with a dispersion of only 25% for a given metal abundance. The results presented here do not include the extinction corrections for NGC 520, 660, 1068, 2146, and M82 except where noted.

2.4 Comparison with $H\alpha$ Fluxes in the Literature

There are several published surveys of $H\alpha$ emission in galaxies (Cohen 1976; Bagnuolo 1976; Huchra 1977; Bushouse 1987; Pogge & Eskridge 1987), the largest of which are by Kennicutt & Kent (1983; hereafter KK) and Kennicutt *et al.* (1987; hereafter K87). Figure 4 shows a comparison of the $H\alpha$ fluxes measured by us with those of KK and K87 for the 45 galaxies in common between these samples. The KK measurements were made using large aperture ($1'$ to $7'$) photometry, while the K87 fluxes were obtained from images

taken with either a video camera or a CCD. All of the measurements were made with filters which also include the $[N\ II]$ lines.

The mean ratio of the flux we measure to the flux in the other studies is 1.2 ± 0.2 . This indicates that the data sets are consistent at a level which is within the uncertainties in the individual fluxes.

3. GALAXY LUMINOSITIES AND MASSES

3.1 $H\alpha$ Luminosities

The $H\alpha$ fluxes derived from the CCD imaging have been used to derive $H\alpha$ luminosities for the program galaxies. The $H\alpha$ luminosity is given by

$$L(H\alpha) = 4\pi D^2 f(H\alpha) C, \quad (1)$$

where D is the distance to the galaxy, $f(H\alpha)$ is the observed $H\alpha$ flux listed in Table 2, and C is the correction for extinction in our Galaxy. This extinction, in magnitudes, is assumed to be of the form $A(H\alpha) = 0.08 [\csc |b| - 1]$, where b is the Galactic latitude of the galaxy; the parameter C in Eq. (1) is given by $C = 10^{[0.4A(H\alpha)]}$. Thus, the $H\alpha$ luminosity expressed in solar luminosities is given by

$$L(H\alpha) = 3.13 \times 10^{16} D^2 f(H\alpha) C, \quad (2)$$

where D is in units of Mpc, $f(H\alpha)$ is in units of $\text{ergs cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The resulting $H\alpha$ luminosities are listed in Table 3.

3.2 CO Fluxes and Molecular Gas Masses

Observations of the $^{12}\text{CO } J=1 \rightarrow 0$ emission were made as part of the *FCRAO Extragalactic CO Survey* using the 14 m telescope of the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory between 1980 November and 1992 November. At the frequency of the CO line (115.271203 GHz), the telescope has a half-power beam width of $45''$. The data are presented elsewhere (Young *et al.* 1995), but a brief description of the relevant details is included here. The CO observations were made along the major axis of each galaxy at $45''$ spacing out to the radius at which the emission fell below $I_{\text{CO}} = 1 K(T_A^*) \text{ km s}^{-1}$, or if no emission was detected, out to $1/2$ of the optical radius. Global CO fluxes were derived by modeling the radial dependence of the CO emission in each galaxy, and determining the flux of the model which best fit the observations when convolved with a $45''$ Gaussian beam. The global flux derived in this way is not very sensitive to the particular CO distribution assumed.

The uncertainties in the global CO fluxes are estimated to be $\pm 30\%$, based on a comparison of major axis maps with fully sampled CO observations of galaxies (cf. Kenney & Young 1988). An independent determination of the global CO flux uncertainties, derived from a comparison of the measurements from the *FCRAO Extragalactic CO Survey* with measurements for the same galaxies from other studies published in the literature, indicates that the global CO fluxes are accurate to $\pm 40\%$ (Young *et al.* 1995). The CO fluxes for the galaxies we have imaged in $H\alpha$ are listed in Table 3.

The H_2 masses were derived from the CO fluxes using the conversion factor $N(\text{H}_2)/I_{\text{CO}} = 2.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ H}_2 \text{ cm}^{-2} [K(T_R)]$

TABLE 3. Global galaxy fluxes, luminosities, and masses.

Galaxy	S(CO)	S(HI)	S(60)	S(100)	log L_B	log $L(H\alpha)$	log $M(H_2)$	log $M(HI)$	log $L(IR)$	log M_{Dust}
(1)	(Jy km/s)	(Jy km/s)	(Jy)	(Jy)	($L_\odot$)	($L_\odot$)	($M_\odot$)	($M_\odot$)	($L_\odot$)	($M_\odot$)
NGC 157	500	63	17.6	42.0	11.01	8.48	9.83	10.26	10.76	7.30
NGC 253	20160	1796	1178	1862	10.27	7.34	9.40	9.66	10.43	6.62
NGC 278	480	30	25.3	44.5	10.30	7.91	9.22	9.34	10.24	6.53
NGC 488	540	24	2.2	10.6	11.18	7.53	10.10	10.08	10.39	7.40
NGC 520	1260	25	31.0	46.4	10.89	7.42 *	10.46	10.09	11.12	7.26
NGC 628	2160	425	24.6	65.6	10.80	8.00	9.78	10.40	10.26	6.88
NGC 660	2840	227	79.4	104.9	9.86	7.45 *	10.08	10.31	10.78	6.80
NGC 891	4570	170	72.1	198.6	10.56	7.19	10.00	9.90	10.64	7.29
NGC 925	< 960	259	9.0	26.7	10.49	7.75	< 9.34	10.10	9.78	6.47
NGC 972	680	22	33.2	59.8	10.63	8.07	9.92	9.77	10.92	7.23
NGC 1055	2800	131	23.2	62.6	10.54	7.14	10.15	10.16	10.50	7.14
NGC 1068	5160	29	198.1	250.3	11.24	8.88 *	10.47	9.55	11.29	7.27
NGC 1084	920	60	28.3	57.0	10.80	8.26	9.90	10.05	10.73	7.13
NGC 1087	400	28	12.3	28.6	10.88	8.28	9.78	9.95	10.65	7.17
NGC 1569	60	74	53.4	49.9	9.30	7.33	7.18	8.59	9.36	4.99
NGC 1614	290	3.0	31.6	33.3	10.87	8.62	10.44	9.79	11.72	7.49
NGC 1961	830	76	7.4	24.6	11.55	8.86	10.78	11.07	11.24	8.02
NGC 2146	2840	123	144.7	194.8	10.61	7.90 *	10.12	10.09	11.08	7.12
NGC 2276	800	26	13.4	30.1	11.04	8.70	10.37	10.22	10.96	7.45
NGC 2336	720	84	3.4	12.4	11.35	8.87	10.26	10.66	10.49	7.33
NGC 2339	800	32	19.2	33.2	10.91	8.31	10.29	10.22	10.96	7.24
NGC 2683	360	96	9.8	34.0	9.62	6.65	7.96	8.72	8.93	5.73
NGC 2775	550	6.2	2.5	10.9	10.41	7.46	9.35	8.74	9.64	6.58
NGC 2798/99	500	11	20.5	28.8	10.40	7.91	9.81	9.48	10.68	6.76
NGC 2841	1870	146	5.2	24.2	10.65	7.38	9.61	9.83	9.72	6.71
NGC 2903	2740	235	61.8	147.4	10.51	7.78	9.42	9.68	10.16	6.70
NGC 2976	610	64	12.9	34.1	9.15	6.56	7.92	8.26	8.66	5.28
NGC 3034	18240	254	1500	1351	9.74	7.51 *	9.34	8.82	10.50	6.09
NGC 3077	100	402	15.5	26.6	9.12	6.33	7.09	9.01	8.57	4.84
NGC 3079	2280	109	50.3	102.5	10.79	7.80	10.17	10.18	10.85	7.27
NGC 3147	1360	..	8.4	30.4	11.28	8.22	10.70	..	11.04	7.87
NGC 3184	1120	118	8.9	28.9	10.27	7.53	9.24	9.60	9.65	6.41
NGC 3310	140	59	34.8	45.8	10.49	8.35	8.86	9.80	10.49	6.51
NGC 3351	700	60	19.9	39.3	10.38	7.67	9.15	9.42	9.93	6.32
NGC 3504	410	3.2	22.2	34.0	10.53	8.04	9.60	8.82	10.61	6.77
NGC 3521	4920	246	51.9	124.8	10.70	7.91	9.95	9.98	10.36	6.91
NGC 3556	850	173	38.0	80.8	10.57	7.67	9.35	9.99	10.35	6.80
NGC 3593	910	12	18.9	36.7	9.77	6.84	9.07	8.51	9.72	6.09
NGC 3623	< 880	15	3.5	15.3	10.01	6.72	< 8.64	8.19	8.87	5.82
NGC 3627	4660	43	66.4	145.0	10.14	7.40	9.36	8.66	9.88	6.35
NGC 3631	1330	51	10.2	28.8	10.68	8.16	9.96	9.87	10.29	6.96
NGC 3675	1440	47	10.7	36.7	10.33	7.62	9.53	9.38	9.93	6.73
NGC 3690/ IC 694	900	6.5	118.3	118.8	11.15	9.00	10.58	9.77	11.95	7.66
NGC 3718	< 210	115	0.9	2.5	10.55	6.64	< 9.04	10.12	9.12	5.78
NGC 3810	1040	40	14.0	35.2	10.29	7.82	9.53	9.44	10.06	6.64
NGC 4038/39	2070	34	41.5	79.6	10.76	8.64	10.28	9.83	10.91	7.27
NGC 4041	730	45	14.6	32.7	10.46	8.10	9.75	9.87	10.42	6.91
NGC 4064	90	1.2	3.7	7.7	10.11	6.99	8.61	8.05	9.56	6.00
NGC 4088	1130	128	26.7	59.7	10.38	7.76	9.52	9.91	10.27	6.76
NGC 4102	660	10	48.5	71.0	10.02	7.42	9.45	8.97	10.58	6.70
NGC 4157	1360	86	17.3	49.8	10.24	7.42	9.69	9.82	10.25	6.93
NGC 4178	60	95	4.2	9.8	10.24	7.51	8.42	9.95	9.65	6.16
NGC 4189	250	13	3.6	9.5	9.89	7.20	9.03	9.08	9.62	6.24
NGC 4192	940	80	8.5	23.2	10.68	7.67	9.62	9.88	10.01	6.65
NGC 4194	180	5.9	24.2	24.5	10.61	8.24	9.73	9.59	11.11	6.83
NGC 4206	< 110	39	1.1	2.4	9.55	7.06	< 8.68	9.57	9.04	5.53
NGC 4212	510	< 3.3	7.2	17.6	10.18	7.37	9.35	< 8.49	9.90	6.45
NGC 4216	620	28	2.7	12.8	10.69	7.58	9.44	9.43	9.75	6.76
NGC 4237	80	4.5	3.0	9.9	10.00	6.95	8.54	8.63	9.63	6.41

TABLE 3. (continued)

Galaxy	S(CO)	S(HI)	S(60)	S(100)	log L_B	log $L(H\alpha)$	log $M(H_2)$	log $M(HI)$	log $L(IR)$	log M_{Dust}
(1)	(Jy km/s) (2)	(Jy km/s) (3)	(Jy) (4)	(Jy) (5)	($L_\odot$) (6)	($L_\odot$) (7)	($M_\odot$) (8)	($M_\odot$) (9)	($L_\odot$) (10)	($M_\odot$) (11)
NGC 4254	3000	77	36.5	90.2	10.72	8.21	10.12	9.86	10.60	7.17
NGC 4293	270	< 8.1	5.2	11.5	10.49	7.18	9.07	< 8.88	9.72	6.21
NGC 4294	< 35	23	3.0	6.0	9.95	7.39	< 8.19	9.33	9.46	5.84
NGC 4298/ NGC 4302	1280	39	5.7	23.7	10.26	7.36	9.75	9.56	10.01	6.93
NGC 4299	< 35	12	2.7	4.9	9.73	7.48	< 8.19	9.06	9.39	5.71
NGC 4303	2280	76	37.9	78.6	10.81	8.44	10.00	9.85	10.57	7.00
NGC 4321	3340	50	25.6	69.2	10.85	8.01	10.17	9.67	10.49	7.11
NGC 4351	< 70	3.4	0.79	2.4	9.81	6.67	< 8.48	8.51	9.02	5.73
NGC 4380	< 120	2.5	0.89	3.1	9.59	6.82	< 8.72	8.37	9.13	5.94
NGC 4394	280	7	0.98	4.7	10.19	7.13	9.09	8.84	9.32	6.33
NGC 4413	< 30	3	1.2	3.4	9.49	6.94	< 8.12	8.39	9.18	5.86
NGC 4419	920	2.0	8.0	15.8	10.37	7.29	9.61	8.28	9.88	6.27
NGC 4424	60	3	3.2	5.9	10.06	7.00	8.39	8.44	9.47	5.79
NGC 4438	210	11	5.0	12.0	10.64	7.47	8.97	9.02	9.74	6.27
NGC 4450	450	4	1.8	8.4	10.55	6.92	9.30	8.62	9.57	6.58
NGC 4459	< 160	< 3.3	1.9	5.4	10.37	6.71	< 8.85	< 8.49	9.38	6.03
NGC 4498	< 120	12	1.3	4.2	9.83	7.08	< 8.72	9.05	9.26	6.01
NGC 4501	2220	30	20.4	63.0	10.85	7.96	9.99	9.45	10.44	7.17
NGC 4519	< 120	41	4.0	7.1	9.98	7.36	< 8.74	9.59	9.55	5.85
NGC 4522	< 130	7.0	1.5	4.2	9.60	6.81	< 8.76	8.82	9.27	5.91
NGC 4526	< 90	31	5.8	15.5	10.72	7.87	< 8.60	9.47	9.84	6.46
NGC 4527	1800	105	33.7	66.2	10.50	7.82	9.90	10.00	10.50	6.89
NGC 4532	< 60	42	9.6	15.9	10.09	7.75	< 8.42	9.60	9.92	6.15
NGC 4535	1570	73	12.2	33.1	10.65	7.84	9.84	9.84	10.16	6.80
NGC 4536	740	75	31.6	45.8	10.59	7.76	9.51	9.85	10.41	6.52
NGC 4548	540	13	2.6	12.4	10.51	7.03	9.38	9.08	9.74	6.75
NGC 4561	< 120	25	1.3	3.0	9.82	7.12	< 8.72	9.37	9.13	5.65
NGC 4567/ NGC 4568	1550	21	21.2	56.6	10.52	7.72	9.83	9.31	10.40	7.03
NGC 4569	1500	7.0	10.8	27.3	10.87	7.86	9.82	8.82	10.08	6.67
NGC 4571	380	12	1.6	6.4	9.45	7.19	9.22	9.05	9.44	6.37
NGC 4579	910	8.1	6.0	21.1	10.66	7.61	9.60	8.88	9.96	6.77
NGC 4639	< 70	13	1.8	5.1	10.03	7.31	< 8.49	9.10	9.35	6.01
NGC 4647	600	6.6	5.9	16.6	10.14	7.43	9.42	8.79	9.86	6.53
NGC 4651	350	50	6.2	16.8	10.40	7.48	9.19	9.67	9.87	6.51
NGC 4654	730	47	14.0	38.2	10.49	7.60	9.51	9.65	10.23	6.86
NGC 4689	710	7.0	3.0	10.1	9.77	7.26	9.49	8.82	9.64	6.43
NGC 4710	200	< 2.1	6.2	13.0	10.29	7.71	8.94	< 8.30	9.79	6.23
NGC 4713	< 70	41	5.1	11.1	10.05	7.58	< 8.49	9.59	9.71	6.17
NGC 4736	2560	89	73.6	135.3	10.40	7.87	9.09	8.96	9.86	6.19
NGC 4808	< 100	85	7.0	16.4	9.98	7.47	< 8.64	9.91	9.87	6.40
NGC 4826	2170	42	40.0	77.4	10.36	7.17	9.13	8.74	9.72	6.09
NGC 5005	1260	19	22.1	63.9	10.78	7.78	9.80	9.32	10.51	7.18
NGC 5194	9450	244	128	292	10.71	8.13	9.98	9.72	10.49	6.99
NGC 5248	1190	94	21.2	49.3	10.68	8.03	9.80	10.03	10.43	6.96
NGC 5631	..	7.6	..	..	10.63	<	..	9.58	..	..
NGC 5907	1230	220	10.4	45.8	10.55	7.41	9.52	10.10	10.08	7.05
NGC 6240	300	..	22.4	26.9	11.04	9.26	10.88	..	12.00	7.92
NGC 6643	420	39	11.5	32.9	10.84	8.20	9.75	10.04	10.64	7.31
NGC 6946	12370	872	161.3	344.4	10.80	8.16	10.14	10.32	10.61	7.06
NGC 6951	1440	35	16.4	43.6	10.72	7.94	10.22	9.94	10.71	7.33
NGC 7217	440	16	5.8	20.4	10.77	7.68	9.46	9.35	10.12	6.93
NGC 7331	4160	177	41.6	10.7	11.08	8.14	10.35	10.31	10.79	7.44
NGC 7479	1050	32	14.4	25.8	11.09	8.37	10.50	10.32	10.94	7.25
NGC 7541	650	42	20.2	41.8	10.97	8.42	10.37	10.51	11.21	7.64
NGC 7625	270	18	9.1	17.7	10.35	7.90	9.62	9.78	10.47	6.85
Arp 220	570	..	106.6	117.8	10.67	7.89 *	10.88	..	12.40	8.22
IC 342	29220	3557	302.0	661.7	10.35	7.01	9.81	10.23	10.19	6.66
IC 356	1200	104	6.8	28.8	10.67	7.39	9.74	10.00	10.11	7.04
Mrk 231	100	< 1.5	33.3	30.8	11.45	9.57	10.85	< 10.35	12.61	8.22

Notes to Table 3

Col. (1) Galaxy name—NGC, IC, Mrk, or Arp designation.

Col. (2) Global CO flux, in units of Jy km/sec, from the *FCRAO Extragalactic CO Survey* (Young *et al.* 1995). The CO flux is that of the model distribution which best matches the observed distribution of CO integrated intensities when sampled with a 45" Gaussian beam. The models are truncated at the radius of the outer edge of the telescope beam for the outermost CO observation. Corrections for source-beam coupling are applied. Uncertainties arising from incomplete sampling of the disk are typically ~20%. The overall 1 σ uncertainty in the global CO flux is ~30%. Upper limits are 2 σ .

Col. (3) Global HI flux, in units of Jy km/sec, from the compilation of Huchtmeier *et al.* (1983). Upper limits are 2 σ .

Col. (4) IRAS flux density at 60 μ m. For the galaxies smaller than 8', the flux densities listed are those derived from one-dimensional coaddition of the IRAS data, or Addscans, using the post-November 1988 calibration. (The November 1988 calibration corrected for a systematic error between the point source and Addscan flux densities, thereby placing the Addscan flux densities on the Point Source Catalogue scale.) For the galaxies larger than 8', the flux densities listed are based on the IRAS Survey two-dimensional coadded flux densities of Rice *et al.* (1988). An additional calibration factor of 1.18 has been applied to the 60 μ m data of Rice *et al.*, since the one-dimensional Addscan flux densities are 1.18 times higher than the two-dimensional Survey coadded flux densities for galaxies between 5' and 8' in size (see Devereux and Young 1990, Appendix). The 1 σ uncertainty in the flux densities is ~10%.

Col. (5) IRAS flux density at 100 μ m. For the galaxies smaller than 8', the flux densities listed are those derived from one-dimensional coaddition of the IRAS data, or Addscans, using the post-November 1988 calibration. For the galaxies larger than 8', the flux densities listed are based on the IRAS Survey two-dimensional coadded flux densities of Rice *et al.* (1988), with no additional calibration factor since the one- and two-dimensional coadded flux densities agree at 100 μ m for galaxies between 5' and 8' in size (see Devereux and Young 1990, Appendix). To 1 σ uncertainty in the flux densities is ~10%.

Col. (6) Logarithm of the blue luminosity in units of $L_{\odot}$, derived from the blue magnitude corrected for Galactic and internal absorption, as listed in Table I, and assuming $M_B(\text{Sun}) = +5.48$.

Col. (7) Logarithm of the $H\alpha$ luminosity in units of $L_{\odot}$, corrected for foreground Galactic extinction. The luminosity is derived from the distance D and the extinction-corrected $H\alpha$ flux $[f(H\alpha)]$, where $L(H\alpha) = 4\pi D^2 f(H\alpha)$. The Galactic extinction correction in magnitudes is of the form $A(\text{mag}) = 0.08(\text{csc } b| - 1)$, where b is the Galactic latitude of each galaxy. The values listed include no corrections for extinction *internal* to the galaxies in question. Galaxies indicated by an asterisk are those for which internal extinction corrections have been determined from [SII] imaging (Young, Kleinmann, and Allen 1988). The corrected $H\alpha$ luminosities for these galaxies, in the same units as the values in column 7 of this Table, are: NGC 520 (8.83), NGC 660 (9.32), NGC 1068 (9.12), NGC 2146 (8.72), NGC 3034 (8.12), and Arp 220 (9.68).

Col. (8) Logarithm of the global molecular gas mass in units of $M_{\odot}$, computed from the global CO flux $[S(\text{CO})]$ from Col. (2), and assuming a CO $\rightarrow$ H₂ conversion constant of $N(\text{H}_2)/I(\text{CO}) = 2.8 \times 10^{20} \text{ H}_2/\text{cm}^2 / [\text{K}(\text{T}_R) \text{ km/sec}]$ (Bloemen *et al.* 1986). For this value of the conversion factor, the H₂ masses are given by $M(\text{H}_2) = 1.1 \times 10^4 D^2 S(\text{CO})$, where D is the distance in Mpc. Upper limits are 2 σ .

Col. (9) Logarithm of the atomic gas mass in units of $M_{\odot}$, computed from the global HI flux in Col. (3). The HI mass is given by $M(\text{HI}) = 2.36 \times 10^5 D^2 S(\text{HI})$, where $S(\text{HI})$ is the HI flux in Jy km/sec, and D is the distance in Mpc. Upper limits are 2 σ .

Col. (10) Logarithm of the far-infrared luminosity from ~1 to 500 μ m in units of $L_{\odot}$, computed from the IRAS 60 and 100 μ m flux densities. The IR luminosity is given by $L(\text{IR}) = 3.75 \times 10^5 D^2 C [2.58 S(60) + S(100)]$, where D is the distance in Mpc, $S(60)$ and $S(100)$ are the IRAS flux densities listed in Columns (4) and (5) in Jy. The constant C corrects for the flux missed shortward of 40 μ m and longward of 120 μ m, and is a function of the $S(60)/S(100)$ ratio; the values of C are given in Table B.1 of *Catalogued Galaxies in the IRAS Survey* (1985). The 1 σ uncertainty in the luminosities is ~10%.

Col. (11) Logarithm of the warm dust mass in units of $M_{\odot}$, computed from the 100 μ m flux density, the dust temperature (T_{dust}) implied by the ratio of 60 to 100 μ m flux densities, and the grain properties (size, density, and emissivity) given by Hildebrand (1983). The mass of warm dust emitting at 100 μ m is given by $M_{\text{dust}} = 4.78 S(100) D^2 [\exp(143.88/T_{\text{dust}}) - 1]$, where T_{dust} is in $^{\circ}\text{K}$ and D is in Mpc.

$\text{km s}^{-1}]^{-1}$ (Bloemen *et al.* 1986). Kenney & Young (1989) show that this value of the conversion factor leads to H₂ masses in solar units given by

$$M(\text{H}_2) = 1.1 \times 10^4 D^2 S(\text{CO}), \quad (3)$$

where D is the distance in Mpc and $S(\text{CO})$ is the CO flux in Jy km s^{-1} .

There has been much discussion in the literature of the accuracy of molecular gas masses for galaxies. Of interest here are the global H₂ masses in *luminous* spiral galaxies.

FIG. 3. Galaxy inclinations, plotted as a function of morphological type, for the 120 galaxies in the $H\alpha$ imaging survey. Solid symbols indicate the galaxies classified as peculiar. The inclinations, listed in Table 4, were derived following Roberts (1969)—also see note to column 4 in Table 4.

FIG. 4. Comparison of $H\alpha$ fluxes for 45 galaxies in the present study which overlap with the galaxies studied by Kennicutt & Kent (1983) and Kennicutt *et al.* (1987). Galaxies are coded by morphological type as indicated.

With regard to the absolute accuracy of the Milky Way CO→H₂ conversion factor when applied to luminous spiral galaxies, Young & Scoville (1991; cf. Fig. 1) have shown that the molecular clouds which have been spatially resolved in M31 (Vogel *et al.* 1987) and M33 (Wilson & Scoville 1990) have similar ratios of CO luminosity to virial mass as clouds in the inner and outer Milky Way (Scoville *et al.* 1987; Solomon *et al.* 1987). This implies that the CO→H₂ conversion factor for the Milky Way also applies to the molecular clouds in the Sb galaxy M31 and the Scd galaxy M33.

In this paper, we adopt $\pm 30\%$ as the uncertainty in the global H₂ masses for luminous spiral galaxies. The molecular gas masses for the low metallicity irregular galaxies may be considerably more uncertain (cf. Maloney & Black 1988). The global molecular gas masses for the galaxies in this sample are listed in Table 3.

3.3 H I Fluxes and Atomic Gas Masses

H I fluxes for the galaxies in our sample have been taken from the compilation of Huchtmeier *et al.* (1983, 1988), provided that the measurement refers to the global H I flux of the galaxy. The specific references for the H I measurements are listed elsewhere (Young *et al.*, in preparation). The mass of atomic hydrogen in solar masses is given by

$$M(\text{H I}) = 2.36 \times 10^5 D^2 S(\text{H I}), \quad (4)$$

where D is the distance in Mpc and $S(\text{H I})$ is the H I flux in Jy km s⁻¹. The global H I fluxes and atomic gas masses are listed in Table 3. The uncertainties in these values are of order 30% (cf. Huchtmeier *et al.* 1983, 1988), similar to the uncertainties in the molecular gas masses.

3.4 IRAS Flux Densities, Far-IR Luminosities, and Warm Dust Masses

The infrared luminosities used here are based on coadded IRAS flux densities at 60 and 100 μm using the post-November 1988 calibration. For galaxies smaller than 8' in diameter, we have used the one-dimensional coadded IRAS data, or addscans to determine the flux densities. For galaxies 8' in diameter and larger, we have used the two-dimensional coadded IRAS Survey flux densities reported by Rice *et al.* (1988). An additional calibration factor of 1.18 has been applied to the 60 μm data of Rice *et al.*, since one-dimensional Addscan flux densities are 1.18 times higher than the two-dimensional Survey coadded flux densities for galaxies between 5' and 8' in diameter (see Young *et al.* 1989; Devereux & Young 1990b, Appendix). The IRAS 60 and 100 μm flux densities for the galaxies in the present sample are given in Table 3.

The far-IR luminosities from ~ 1 to 500 μm have been derived using the flux densities determined from the IRAS data. The far-IR luminosity is given by Lonsdale *et al.* (1985) as

$$L(\text{IR}) = 4\pi D^2 [1.26C\{2.58 \times 10^{-14} S(60) + 1.0 \times 10^{-14} S(100)\}], \quad (5)$$

where D is the distance, the factor 1.26 corrects for the gap between the 60 and 100 μm bandpasses and the filter response, and the constant C is a correction factor for the flux longward of 120 μm and shortward of 40 μm . The values of C are a function of the $S(60)/S(100)$ ratio; they are taken from Table B.1 of *Catalogued Galaxies in the IRAS Survey* (1985). In solar units, Eq. (5) becomes

$$L(\text{IR}) = 3.75 \times 10^5 D^2 C [2.58 S(60) + S(100)], \quad (6)$$

where D is in Mpc, $S(60)$ and $S(100)$ are in Jy as listed in Table 3. The far-IR luminosities are presented in Table 3.

We have also used the IRAS flux densities to estimate the mass of warm dust in each galaxy. Following the analysis of Hildebrand (1983) and assuming a single temperature component, the mass of warm dust is given by

$$M_{\text{Dust}} = (4/3) a \rho Q_{100} [S_{100} D^2 / B(100, T)], \quad (7)$$

where a is the weighted grain size, ρ is the grain density, Q_{100} is the 100 μm grain emissivity, S_{100} is the flux density at 100 μm , and $B(100, T)$ is the intensity of the blackbody of temperature T at 100 μm . Using the grain size, density, and emissivity given by Hildebrand (1983), $(4/3) a \rho Q_{100} = 0.04 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$. The mass of 100 μm emitting dust then becomes

$$M_{\text{Dust}} = 4.78 S(100) D^2 [\exp(143.88/T_{\text{dust}})^{-1}], \quad (8)$$

where the dust mass is in solar masses, $S(100)$ is in Jy, D is the distance in Mpc, and T_{dust} is the warm dust temperature in K. As discussed in Young *et al.* (1986b, 1989) and Devereux & Young (1990b), IRAS is sensitive to warm dust with temperatures ≥ 25 K but not to colder dust with temperatures ≤ 20 K emitting predominantly at wavelengths beyond 100 μm . Thus, the dust mass we derive will be referred to as the "warm dust mass." These dust masses are listed in Table 3.

4. STAR FORMATION IN GALAXIES

4.1 Global H α Luminosities and Surface Brightnesses

The H α luminosities we derive span more than three orders of magnitude, as do the optical areas of the galaxies. If all galaxies produced the same H α luminosity per unit surface area, the H α luminosities would scale linearly with optical area, in the absence of extinction. Figure 5(a) shows the H α luminosities for the galaxies in our sample plotted versus the face-on optical areas. There is considerable scatter in the H α luminosities of galaxies of a given surface area, although in general the larger galaxies are more luminous. The solid triangles represent the 22 galaxies whose type classifications include the designation of peculiar (see Table 1). The dashed line represents the mean H α surface brightness for all of the galaxies in the sample. The data in Fig. 5 indicate that peculiar galaxies exhibit the full range of H α surface brightness found among the more normal galaxies.

The H α luminosities versus optical areas are also shown in Fig. 5(b), this time with the highly inclined galaxies indicated. In this figure, the dashed line is a fit to the galaxies with inclinations less than 70°, while the dotted line represents a fit to the galaxies with inclinations greater than 70°. The difference in these two fits indicates that the more face-on galaxies have H α surface brightnesses ~ 3 times

FIG. 5. $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities for the galaxies in the imaging survey, plotted versus the face-on area of the galaxy. Galaxy areas were derived from D_{25} given in Table 1 (from RC2). The solid symbols represent galaxies which are classified as peculiar (see Table 1). The dashed line represents the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness for all of the galaxies in the sample. (b) Same as (a), where the solid symbols represent galaxies with inclinations greater than 70° , while open symbols represent the more face-on galaxies. The dotted line represents the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness of the highly inclined galaxies, while the dashed line represents the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness of the less inclined galaxies. The more face-on galaxies have a mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness which is a factor of 3 times higher than that of the highly inclined galaxies. The highly inclined galaxy (filled triangle) with the highest $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness represents the edge-on galaxy M82.

greater in the mean than the highly inclined galaxies. Therefore, some of the scatter in Fig. 5 is related to extinction, some is related to measurement uncertainties, and some is related to real variations in the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses among galaxies.

In order to determine the approximate galaxy inclination above which the extinction causes a significant reduction in the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity, we show in Fig. 6 the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity per unit face-on surface area, or the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness, as a function of the galaxy inclination. Although there is a large scatter in the observed $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses of galaxies at each inclination, there is a trend of decreasing $\text{H}\alpha$ surface

FIG. 6. $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses plotted as a function of the galaxy inclination. The inclinations, listed in Table 4, were derived following Roberts (1969). Galaxies are coded by morphological type as indicated. Note the trend of decreasing $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness with increasing inclination.

brightness for the highly inclined galaxies, with the most pronounced effect for galaxies with inclinations greater than 70° . In the discussion which follows, galaxies with inclinations greater than 70° are referred to as the “highly inclined” galaxies.

In order to investigate the intrinsic variations in the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses among galaxies, it is necessary to exclude the highly inclined galaxies. In Fig. 7(a), we show the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities versus the face-on optical areas of the 96 systems with inclinations less than 70° . The dashed line in the figure represents the fit to the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses of these galaxies; the galaxies classified as peculiar are indicated with filled symbols. We find no significant difference in the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses of the peculiar galaxies when compared with the more normal galaxies in the sample.

In order to investigate the morphological type dependence of the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses, in Fig. 7(b) we show the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities versus face-on optical areas as in Fig. 7(a), where the data are now coded by galaxy type. Each panel of Fig. 7(b) displays the data for the 96 galaxies with $i < 70^\circ$; the dotted line represents the fit for all 96 galaxies, the large symbols represent the type indicated, and the small dots represent the remaining galaxies in the sample. Although there is considerable scatter in the observed $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses within each type, it is clear that the early-type spiral galaxies tend to have lower than average $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses, while the latest-type spirals and the interacting systems have higher than average $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses. In the mean, the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity per unit face-on surface area is a factor of 2.6 times lower among the early-type spiral galaxies in our sample relative to the later-type spirals and interacting systems.

We have compared the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses along the Hubble sequence with the UV surface brightnesses found by Deharveng *et al.* (1994), and both studies agree that the Sd-Ir

FIG. 7. (a) $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities for the 96 galaxies in the imaging survey with inclinations $< 70^\circ$, plotted vs the face-on area of the galaxy. Galaxy areas were derived from D_{25} given in Table 1 (from RC2). Galaxies are coded as peculiar according to Table 1. The dashed line represents the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness for these 96 less inclined galaxies. (b) $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities versus the face-on areas of the galaxies with inclinations $< 70^\circ$ for four distinct morphological categories. The large symbols in each panel represent the type indicated, while the small dots represent the remainder of the galaxies with $i < 70^\circ$. The dotted line in each panel represents the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness for the 96 galaxies with $i < 70^\circ$, as in 7(a). (c) $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses for galaxies with inclinations $< 70^\circ$ for four distinct morphological categories. The dotted histogram represents the distribution of surface brightnesses for all of the galaxies with inclinations $< 70^\circ$, the hatched histogram represents the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses for the type indicated, and the solid dot represents the mean value for that type. Several galaxies with extreme values are indicated.

galaxies have $\text{H}\alpha$ and UV surface brightnesses about 1.3–1.4 times higher than for Sbc–Scd galaxies, and about 3 times higher than for Sa–Sb galaxies, respectively. These mean values are sample dependent, as Deharveng *et al.* noted; when we exclude the peculiar galaxies in our sample, the difference in the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness between the Sd–Ir and Sa–Sb types decreases from a factor of 3 to a factor of 2.5. In this work, the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness variation with morphology is significant at about the 3–4 σ level. Thus, there is a small difference in the mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness as a function of type for spiral galaxies along the Hubble sequence, such that the late-type galaxies have a mean surface brightness about a factor of 2–3 times higher than the early types.

Histograms of the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses as a function of type are shown in Fig. 7(c). Each panel illustrates the $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses for the entire sample (dotted line) along with the surface brightnesses within each type category (hatched area) and the mean value for that type (solid dot). From this figure, it is clear that each type exhibits a broad range of surface brightnesses, and that the change in the

mean $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness with type arises primarily from some early-type spiral galaxies with very low surface brightnesses.

This difference in $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightness as a function of type has led to the understanding that early-type spiral galaxies have less star formation than the later types (cf. Kennicutt 1983; Sandage 1986; Deharveng *et al.* 1994). We note, however, that the early-type spiral galaxies are by no means lacking in star formation. In fact, there are numerous Sa galaxies with higher $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities than Sc galaxies of the same size. One reason this $\text{H}\alpha$ emission may have been overlooked in the past is that the highest $\text{H}\alpha$ surface brightnesses are often found in the central region where the overall light distribution is dominated by the nuclear bulge (as in NGC 660, 2146, 3351, 3504, and 4826, for example). Whereas photographic studies may have missed the star formation in the central regions of galaxies, the advent of CCD imaging systems has now made the separation of the disk and bulge feasible, revealing the H II regions which were previously hidden from view.

4.2 Global High-Mass Star Formation Rates from $H\alpha$ Luminosities

The use of integrated Balmer line luminosities as an indicator of the current star forming activity in galaxies has been discussed extensively in the literature. The underlying assumption which is necessary in order to relate the $H\alpha$ luminosity to the rate of formation of high mass stars is the form of the initial mass function (IMF). Kennicutt (1983) shows that for an adopted IMF where $\psi(m)$ is proportional to $m^{-1.4}$ for $0.1 \leq m \leq 1 M_{\odot}$ and $m^{-2.5}$ for $1 < m \leq 100 M_{\odot}$, the relationship between the star formation rate (SFR) and the $H\alpha$ luminosity reduces to a simple expression. The SFR for stars over $10 M_{\odot}$, and the total SFR, respectively, are then given by

$$\text{SFR}(\geq 10 M_{\odot}) = 5.45 \times 10^{-9} L(H\alpha) [M_{\odot}/\text{yr}], \quad (9a)$$

$$\text{SFR}(\text{total}) = 3.42 \times 10^{-8} L(H\alpha) [M_{\odot}/\text{yr}], \quad (9b)$$

for $L(H\alpha)$ in units of solar luminosities. For the $H\alpha$ luminosities of the galaxies in this study, which range from 10^6 to $10^{10} L_{\odot}$, the SFR for stars greater than $10 M_{\odot}$ is between 5×10^{-3} and $50 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, while the total SFR for stars with masses from 0.1 to $100 M_{\odot}$ is estimated to be between 0.03 and $300 M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

4.3 Comparison of $H\alpha$ and IR Luminosities

There is little question that the observed $H\alpha$ luminosity in spiral galaxies is a consequence of the ionization of the interstellar medium by the UV radiation which is produced by the young high mass stars, and is an indication of the high mass star formation rate. While the initial purpose of our study was to determine the distribution of star formation within spiral galaxies, one important use of the $H\alpha$ luminosities we derive is to test the extent to which the IR luminosity for the same galaxies also traces the rate of high mass star formation.

There has been much discussion recently of the far-infrared luminosity as a tracer of the rate of high mass star formation in galaxies. Numerous authors have argued that high mass ionizing stars provide the energy which heats the dust in galaxies (Telesco & Harper 1980; Lonsdale *et al.* 1985; Young *et al.* 1984, 1986, 1989; Sanders & Mirabel 1985; Helou *et al.* 1985; De Jong *et al.* 1985; Gavazzi *et al.* 1986; Sanders *et al.* 1986, 1987; Solomon & Sage 1988; Tinney *et al.* 1990; Devereux & Young 1990a), while others have argued that low mass nonionizing stars are also important for heating the dust in galaxies (De Jong 1984; Lonsdale & Helou 1987; Bothun *et al.* 1989; Rand, *et al.* 1992; Smith *et al.* 1994). The critical question is, of course, whether the IR luminosities measured by *IRAS* can be used to trace the high mass star formation rates for thousands of galaxies.

In Fig. 8, we compare the $H\alpha$ luminosity with the IR luminosity for the 120 galaxies in our sample, with the galaxies coded by morphological type. The diagonal lines in Fig. 8 represent the ratios of ionizing flux to bolometric luminosity expected for ionizing stars ranging in spectral type from O5 to B5. For this sample of galaxies, the $H\alpha$ and IR luminosities are consistent with the values of $L(H\alpha)/L(\text{IR})$ which would be produced by OB stars (Panagia 1973; De-

FIG. 8. Comparison of the $H\alpha$ and far-infrared luminosities for the galaxies in the present study, with all inclinations included. Galaxies are coded by type as indicated. The diagonal dashed lines represent the expected relation between the $H\alpha$ and bolometric luminosity for O5 ($40 M_{\odot}$), O9 ($20 M_{\odot}$), B0 ($16 M_{\odot}$), and B5 ($6 M_{\odot}$) stars, following Panagia (1973), as presented by Devereux & Young (1990a). The lowest values of the ratio of $H\alpha$ luminosity to far-IR luminosity are found in the systems which suffer the most severe extinction—the edge-on galaxy NGC 891, and the galaxies with obvious dust lanes near the nucleus, NGC 520 and Arp 220.

vereux & Young 1990a) assuming that photons shortward of the Lyman limit ionize the hydrogen while the bolometric luminosity of the star is radiated in the infrared (Wynn-Williams & Becklin 1974).

One important result illustrated in Fig. 8 is that there is no significant difference in the regions where the early- and late-type spiral galaxies are located. This same point has been made by Devereux & Young (1990a) from a comparison of the $H\alpha$ and IR luminosities for the spiral galaxies in the study of Kennicutt & Kent (1983). If the low mass, non-ionizing stars were primarily responsible for heating the dust which is radiating at 60 and $100 \mu\text{m}$, then one would expect the galaxies with large bulges (and large numbers of low-mass stars) to have higher IR luminosities for a given amount of disk star formation than the galaxies without bulges. This is not observed to be the case.

What is perhaps most striking from Fig. 8 is that the observed ratios of $L(H\alpha)/L(\text{IR})$ are remarkably similar from galaxy to galaxy, in spite of the wide range of properties and diversity of environments among the galaxies included in our study. This is further illustrated in Fig. 9, which is a histogram of the ratio of $H\alpha$ to far-infrared luminosity for all of the galaxies in the sample. The solid portion of the histogram represents the galaxies with the greatest reddening—those with inclinations greater than 70° , and four merger candidates with obscured nuclei (NGC 520, NGC 660, NGC 2146, and Arp 220). The dashed lines near the top of Fig. 9 represent the ratios of $H\alpha$ to far-IR luminosity for stars of the stellar spectral types indicated. It appears that the peak of the histogram of $L(H\alpha)/L(\text{IR})$ values corresponds to stars of spectral type O9-B0, or masses between 16 and $20 M_{\odot}$.

FIG. 9. Histogram of the ratio of $H\alpha$ to far-infrared luminosity for all of the galaxies in this study (grey area), and for the galaxies with inclinations greater than 70° (black area). Also included in the solid histogram are four peculiar galaxies which suffer severe extinction near the nuclei—NGC 520, 660, 2146, and Arp 220. The luminosity ratios expected for high mass stars are indicated by the dashed lines near the top.

Figures 10(a) and 10(b) show the ratio $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ as a function of morphological type for the entire sample. It is clear that there is little difference among spiral galaxies of types Sab–Scd in the observed ratio of $H\alpha$ to far-IR luminosity. The morphological categories which seem to be characterized by slightly elevated values of $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ include types Sd–Im; conversely, the categories with slightly lower values of $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ include types SO–Sa.

There are several reasons why one might observe a difference in the $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ ratio between SO–Sa galaxies and Sd–Ir galaxies. Some of this tendency could be due to increased $H\alpha$ absorption in the early-type galaxies by the underlying stellar population. Additional effects may be the result of metallicity variations along the Hubble sequence. As described by Devereux & Young (1990a), the ratio $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ is extremely sensitive to the mass of the highest mass stars which form, since the $H\alpha$ to far-IR luminosity ratio spans more than a factor of 1000 for O5 through B5 stars. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that the $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ ratio will also be sensitive to metallicity, since higher mass stars may form in regions of lower metallicity, according to the Jean's criterion. It is well known that Sd, Sdm, and Im galaxies are metal poor (cf. Pagel & Edmunds 1981), so that stars of higher mass are likely to be forming in these systems. Another possibility is that the stars which form in the low metallicity systems have higher effective temperatures without an increase in the effective mass (cf. Garcia-Vargas 1995). Lastly, in regions of lower metallicity there is less dust present to absorb the bolometric luminosity, and this could lead to lower far-IR luminosities per unit $H\alpha$ luminosity. Any of these possibilities could account for the slightly higher $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ ratio observed in the Sd–Irr galaxies relative to the SO–Sa galaxies indicated in Fig. 10.

Figure 10 also indicates that the $L(H\alpha)/L(IR)$ ratio in the pairs and mergers is slightly lower than for the spirals. There are several possible explanations for this effect. First, the $H\alpha$

FIG. 10. (a) The ratio of $H\alpha$ to far-infrared luminosity plotted as a function of morphological type. The galaxies with inclinations greater than 70° are plotted as filled symbols. The ratios expected for high mass stars are indicated. Note that galaxies of types Sa through Scd exhibit similar ranges of observed values for the $H\alpha$ to far-IR luminosity ratio. (b) Histograms of the ratio of $H\alpha$ to far-infrared luminosity as a function of morphological type. The hatched histograms represent galaxies with inclinations $< 70^\circ$, while the open histograms below represent the highly inclined and obscured galaxies.

luminosity may be reduced because of extinction and geometry. Even though the highly inclined galaxies are indicated separately, there could be considerable extinction even in systems with smaller inclinations, partly because these galaxies have unusual morphologies and it is difficult to determine a meaningful inclination, and partly because there may be unusually high gas concentrations (and extinction) in the inner regions of these galaxies. Another trend which could be contributing to the lower $L(\text{H}\alpha)/L(\text{IR})$ ratios in the pairs and mergers is that these galaxies may have enhanced IR luminosities due to the presence of a nonthermal source in the nucleus.

5. THE GLOBAL STAR FORMATION EFFICIENCY IN GALAXIES

5.1 Definition of the Star Formation Efficiency

The star formation efficiency (SFE) is a measure of the yield of young high mass stars per unit mass of gas available to form those stars. In the following analysis, we investigate the global star formation efficiency measured in two different ways—first, using the $\text{H}\alpha$ line luminosity to trace the yield of young stars, and second, using the far-infrared luminosity—both normalized by the global mass of molecular gas. We examine the trends in the global star formation efficiency as a function of morphology along the Hubble sequence and as a function of galaxy environment for both of the above measures of the SFE. One consequence of the comparisons we show is the illustration that the FIR luminosity is an equally good tracer of the high mass star formation rate as the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity.

Table 4 presents the global star formation efficiencies for the galaxies in this study sorted by morphological type. The information in this table includes the galaxy type, inclination, and environment, as well as the global ratios $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$, $L(\text{IR})/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$, and $S(60)/S(100)$. We remind the reader that the global molecular gas masses were derived from major axis CO observations (see Sec. 3.2), while the global $\text{H}\alpha$ and IR luminosities are derived by integrating the flux of the entire galaxy. In a later paper, we will present the comparison of $\text{H}\alpha$ and CO fluxes in the same 45" apertures within and among these galaxies.

Figure 11 shows a comparison of two different measures of the global star formation efficiency. This plot of $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ vs $L(\text{IR})/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ is presented with the galaxies coded by morphological type. There is a clear correlation between these two quantities for all morphologies, in that galaxies with elevated values of $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ also have elevated values of $L(\text{IR})/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$. Since the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity in spiral galaxies is from H II regions and associated with high mass star formation, the correlation of $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ with $L(\text{IR})/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ strongly supports the suggestion that the IR luminosity also traces high mass star formation.

5.2 Dependence of the SFE on Morphological Type

Figure 12 shows histograms of the global $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ ratio as a function of morphological type for the 96 galaxies in our sample with inclinations less than 70° . This figure illustrates that, for the galaxies in our sample, the mean rate of high mass star formation per unit mass of molecular gas

shows little variation with morphological type for types Sa through Sc. For comparison, we also show the distributions of $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ for the same galaxies as a function of morphological type. These distributions also indicate a similar mean value of the star formation rate per unit mass of molecular gas from type to type for S0 through Scd galaxies. We conclude that Hubble type plays little role, if any, in determining the mean yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas in these galaxies.

Table 5 summarizes the mean values of the SFE for the galaxies in our sample.

Among the latest type spiral galaxies (Sd-Sm) and among the irregular galaxies, the mean ratios of both $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ and $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ are higher than for any of the other morphological categories. These galaxies tend to be characterized by low luminosities, small sizes, and low metallicities. It is possible that the molecular gas masses for these galaxies have been underestimated, leading to an overestimate of the mean ratios of both $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ and $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$. However, independent of the H_2 mass estimates, we note that the ratio of $L(\text{H}\alpha)/L(\text{IR})$ is elevated in the irregular galaxies [cf. Sec. 4.3 above and Figs. 10(a) and 10(b)]. Thus, the results of the comparison of the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosities, IR luminosities, and molecular gas masses indicates that the late type spirals (Sd-Sm) and the irregulars differ, in fact, when compared with the majority of the spiral galaxies.

One notable difference between the $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ and the $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ distributions is that more extreme values in the ratio are found for $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ within each morphological type than for $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$. Extinction within these galaxies will certainly introduce some additional dispersion in $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ relative to $L_{\text{IR}}/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$, especially since the more inclined galaxies in the sample (i.e., the Sb galaxy NGC 1055 with $i=69^\circ$) can suffer severe extinction. Thus, some of the observed scatter in $L(\text{H}\alpha)/\mathcal{M}(\text{H}_2)$ is a result of extinction, some of the scatter is a result of measurement uncertainties, and some reflects real differences in the star formation efficiency from galaxy to galaxy.

5.3 Effects of Environment

Galaxy environment has long been known to play an important role in the evolution of galaxies (Arp 1966; Toomre & Toomre 1972; Larson & Tinsley 1978). Recent studies of the effects of galaxy-galaxy interactions on the rate of star formation (Bushouse 1987; Kennicutt *et al.* 1987) and its efficiency in galaxies (Young *et al.* 1986a, 1986b; Sanders *et al.* 1986; Solomon & Sage 1988; Tinney *et al.* 1990) show that both the rates and efficiencies of high mass star formation are elevated in interacting systems.

The sample of galaxies which we have imaged in $\text{H}\alpha$ includes galaxies in a wide range of environments: mergers, close pairs, Virgo spirals, group members, field spirals, and isolated galaxies. Nine systems are classified as mergers, based on the presence of tidal tails or other morphological peculiarities (Schweizer *et al.* 1983; Joseph & Wright 1985; Sanders *et al.* 1987), and an additional six close pairs are included (pairs in which the angular separation between members is $<3'$, so both galaxies appear in the same CCD field). The ten isolated spiral galaxies in our study were cho-

TABLE 4. Global galaxy properties as a function of morphological type.

Morphology	Galaxy	Type	Inc. ($^{\circ}$)	Env.	log $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$ $(L_{\odot}/M_{\odot})$	log $L(\text{IR})/M(\text{H}_2)$ $(L_{\odot}/M_{\odot})$	S(60) S(100)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
S0 & S0/a	NGC 3593	SA(s)0/a	67	Field	-2.23	0.65	0.52
	NGC 4293	(R)SB(s)0/a	76	Virgo	-1.90	0.65	0.45
	NGC 4438	SA(s)0/a pec	68	Virgo	-1.50	0.77	0.42
	NGC 4459	SA(s)0+	43	Virgo	> -2.14	> 0.53	0.36
	NGC 4526	SAB(S)0	75	Virgo	> -0.73	> 1.24	0.38
	NGC 4710	SA(r)0+ sp	79	Virgo	-1.24	0.84	0.47
Sa	NGC 3623	SAB(rs)a	71	Group	> -1.92	> 0.23	0.23
	NGC 3718	SB(s)a pec	61	Field	> -2.40	> 0.08	0.36
	NGC 4064	SB(s)a pec	67	Virgo	-1.62	0.95	0.48
	NGC 4419	SB(s)a sp	67	Virgo	-2.32	0.27	0.50
	NGC 4424	SB(s)a	61	Virgo	-1.39	1.08	0.55
	NGC 7625	SA(rs)a pec	22	Field	-1.72	0.85	0.51
Sab	NGC 2775	SA(r)ab	45	Isolated	-1.89	0.29	0.23
	NGC 3504	(R)SAB(s)ab	36	Field	-1.56	1.01	0.65
	NGC 4192	SAB(s)ab	74	Virgo	-1.95	0.39	0.37
	NGC 4351	SB(rs)ab pec	46	Virgo	> -1.81	> 0.54	0.33
	NGC 4450	SA(s)ab	45	Virgo	-2.38	0.27	0.21
	NGC 4569	SAB(rs)ab	63	Virgo	-1.96	0.27	0.40
	NGC 4736	(R)SA(r)ab	35	Field	-1.22	0.77	0.54
	NGC 4826	(R)SA(rs)ab	57	Field	-1.96	0.59	0.52
	NGC 7217	(R)SA(r)ab	32	Isolated	-1.78	0.66	0.29
	IC 356	SA(s)ab pec	40	Field	-2.35	0.37	0.24
Sb	NGC 278	SAB(rs)b	12	Field	-1.31	1.03	0.57
	NGC 488	SA(r)b	40	Field	-2.56	0.29	0.21
	NGC 891	SA(s)b sp	86	Field	-2.81	0.64	0.36
	NGC 1055	SBb sp	69	Field	-3.01	0.35	0.37
	NGC 1068	(R)SA(rs)b	40	Field	-1.59 *	0.83	0.79
	NGC 2683	SA(rs)b	80	Field	-1.31	0.97	0.29
	NGC 2841	SA(r)b	64	Field	-2.22	0.11	0.21
	NGC 3351	SB(r)b	48	Field	-1.47	0.78	0.51
	NGC 3627	SAB(s)b	60	Group	-1.96	0.52	0.46
	NGC 3675	SA(s)b	59	Field	-1.91	0.40	0.29
	NGC 4102	SAB(s)b	54	Field	-2.03	1.13	0.68
	NGC 4157	SAB(s)b sp	82	Field	-2.27	0.56	0.35
	NGC 4216	SAB(s)b	80	Virgo	-1.86	0.31	0.21
	NGC 4380	SA(rs)b	55	Virgo	> -1.90	> 0.41	0.29
	NGC 4394	(R)SB(r)b	25	Virgo	-1.96	0.23	0.21
	NGC 4413	(R)SB(rs)b	49	Virgo	> -1.18	> 1.06	0.35
	NGC 4501	SA(rs)b	58	Virgo	-2.03	0.45	0.32
	NGC 4548	SB(rs)b	37	Virgo	-2.35	0.36	0.21
	NGC 4579	SAB(rs)b	37	Virgo	-1.99	0.36	0.29
Sbc	NGC 157	SAB(rs)bc	49	Isolated	-1.35	0.93	0.42
	NGC 2336	SAB(r)bc	56	Field	-1.39	0.23	0.27
	NGC 2339	SAB(rs)bc	40	Field	-1.98	0.68	0.58
	NGC 2903	SAB(rs)bc	60	Isolated	-1.64	0.74	0.42
	NGC 3147	SA(rs)bc	28	Isolated	-2.47	0.34	0.28
	NGC 3521	SAB(rs)bc	60	Isolated	-2.04	0.41	0.42
	NGC 4041	SA(rs)bc	18	Field	-1.65	0.67	0.45
	NGC 4088	SAB(rs)bc	67	Field	-1.77	0.74	0.45
	NGC 4206	SA(s)bc	83	Virgo	> -1.63	> 0.35	0.45
	NGC 4212	SAbc	47	Virgo	-1.98	0.55	0.41
	NGC 4237	SAB(rs)bc	47	Virgo	-1.59	1.09	0.30
	NGC 4303	SAB(rs)bc	25	Virgo	-1.56	0.57	0.48
	NGC 4321	SAB(s)bc	28	Virgo	-2.16	0.32	0.37
	NGC 4527	SAB(s)bc	72	Virgo	-2.08	0.60	0.51
	NGC 4536	SAB(rs)bc	67	Virgo	-1.75	0.90	0.69
	NGC 4639	SAB(rs)bc	45	Virgo	> -1.18	> 0.86	0.36

TABLE 4. (continued)

Morphology	Galaxy	Type	Inc. ($^{\circ}$)	Env.	$\log$ $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$ ($L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$)	$\log$ $L(\text{IR})/M(\text{H}_2)$ ($L_{\odot}/M_{\odot}$)	$S(60)$ $S(100)$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Sb continued	NGC 4689	SA(rs)bc	30	Virgo	-2.24	0.14	0.30
	NGC 5005	SAB(rs)bc	62	Field	-2.02	0.71	0.35
	NGC 5248	SAB(rs)bc	42	Field	-1.77	0.63	0.43
	NGC 6951	SAB(rs)bc	30	Isolated	-2.28	0.48	0.38
	NGC 7331	SA(s)bc	71	Field	-2.21	0.44	0.36
	NGC 7541	SB(rs)bc pec	70	Field	-1.95	0.84	0.48
Sc	NGC 253	SAB(s)c	78	Field	-2.06	1.03	0.63
	NGC 628	SA(s)c	22	Field	-1.78	0.49	0.37
	NGC 1084	SA(s)c	59	Field	-1.64	0.83	0.50
	NGC 1087	SAB(rs)c	49	Field	-1.50	0.87	0.43
	NGC 1961	SAB(rs)c	46	Field	-1.92	0.46	0.30
	NGC 2276	SAB(rs)c	18	Field	-1.67	0.59	0.45
	NGC 2976	SAc pec	61	Field	-1.36	0.75	0.38
	NGC 3079	SB(s)c sp	77	Field	-2.36	0.68	0.49
	NGC 3631	SA(s)c	28	Field	-1.80	0.33	0.35
	NGC 3810	SA(rs)c	45	Field	-1.71	0.53	0.40
	NGC 4254	SA(s)c	28	Virgo	-1.91	0.48	0.40
	NGC 4535	SAB(s)c	43	Virgo	-2.00	0.33	0.37
	NGC 4647	SAB(rs)c	36	Virgo	-1.99	0.44	0.35
	NGC 4651	SA(rs)c	46	Virgo	-1.71	0.68	0.37
	NGC 5907	SA(s)c sp	89	Field	-2.11	0.57	0.23
	NGC 6643	SA(rs)c	59	Field	-1.55	0.89	0.35
	NGC 7479	SB(s)c	38	Isolated	-2.12	0.45	0.56
Scd	NGC 3184	SAB(rs)cd	12	Field	-1.71	0.41	0.31
	NGC 3556	SB(s)cd sp	77	Isolated	-1.68	1.00	0.47
	NGC 4189	SAB(rs)cd	30	Virgo	-1.84	0.59	0.38
	NGC 4294	SB(s)cd	67	Virgo	> -0.80	> 1.27	0.51
	NGC 4498	SAB(s)cd	56	Virgo	> -1.64	> 0.54	0.31
	NGC 4522	SB(s)cd sp	76	Virgo	> -1.95	> 0.51	0.36
	NGC 4654	SAB(rs)cd	52	Virgo	-1.91	0.72	0.37
	NGC 4808	SA(s)cd	67	Virgo	> -1.17	> 1.23	0.43
	NGC 6946	SAB(rs)cd	30	Isolated	-1.98	0.47	0.47
	IC 342	SAB(rs)cd	25	Field	-2.80	0.38	0.46
Sd	NGC 925	SAB(s)d	53	Field	> -1.59	> 0.44	0.34
	NGC 4519	SB(rs)d	45	Virgo	> -1.38	> 0.82	0.56
	NGC 4571	SA(r)d	38	Virgo	-2.03	0.22	0.24
	NGC 4713	SAB(rs)d	49	Virgo	> -0.91	> 1.22	0.46
Sdm & Sm	NGC 4178	SB(rs)dm	69	Virgo	-0.91	1.22	0.43
	NGC 4299	SAB(s)dm	22	Virgo	> -0.71	> 1.20	0.55
	NGC 4561	SB(rs)dm	34	Virgo	> -1.60	> 0.41	0.43
I0	NGC 972	I0	59	Field	-1.86	0.99	0.55
	NGC 3034	I0 sp	80	Group	-1.83 *	1.16	1.11
	NGC 3077	I0 pec	38	Group	-0.76	1.48	0.58
Im	NGC 1569	IBm	61	Field	+0.15	2.18	1.07
	NGC 4532	IBm	67	Virgo	> -0.67	> 1.49	0.60
Isolated Galaxies	NGC 157	SAB(rs)bc	49	Isolated	-1.35	0.93	0.42
	NGC 2775	SA(r)ab	45	Isolated	-1.89	0.29	0.23
	NGC 2903	SAB(rs)bc	60	Isolated	-1.64	0.74	0.42
	NGC 3147	SA(rs)bc	28	Isolated	-2.47	0.34	0.28
	NGC 3521	SAB(rs)bc	60	Isolated	-2.04	0.41	0.42
	NGC 3556	SB(s)cd sp	77	Isolated	-1.68	1.00	0.47
	NGC 6946	SAB(rs)cd	30	Isolated	-1.98	0.47	0.47
	NGC 6951	SAB(rs)bc	30	Isolated	-2.28	0.48	0.38

TABLE 4. (continued)

Category	Galaxy	Type	Inc.	Env.	log $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$	log $L(\text{IR})/M(\text{H}_2)$	S(60)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(°)	(5)	(L_0/M_0) (6)	(L_0/M_0) (7)	S(100) (8)
Isolated Galaxies	NGC 7217	(R)SA(r)ab	32	Isolated	-1.78	0.66	0.29
	NGC 7479	SB(s)c	38	Isolated	-2.12	0.45	0.56
Pairs	NGC 2798/99	SB(s)a pec	68	Pair	-1.90	0.87	0.71
	NGC 3690/IC 694	IBm pec	34	Pair	-1.58	1.37	1.00
	NGC 4038/39	SB(s)m pec	50	Pair	-1.64	0.63	0.52
	NGC 4298/4302	SA(rs)c	67	Pair	-2.39	0.26	0.24
	NGC 4567/4568	SA(rs)bc	46	Pair	-2.11	0.56	0.37
	NGC 5194	SA(s)bc pec	20	Pair	-1.85	0.51	0.44
Mergers	NGC 520	Pec	66	Merger	-3.04 *	0.66	0.67
	NGC 660	SB(s)a pec	66	Merger ?	-2.63 *	0.70	0.76
	NGC 1614	SB(s)c pec	30	Merger	-1.83	1.28	0.95
	NGC 2146	SB(s)ab pec	52	Merger ?	-2.22 *	0.96	0.74
	NGC 3310	SAB(r)bc pec	36	Merger	-0.51	1.63	0.76
	NGC 4194	IBm pec	49	Merger	-1.48	1.39	0.99
	NGC 6240	IO pec	60	Merger	-1.62	1.12	0.83
	Arp 220	Pec	..	Merger	-2.99 *	1.52	0.91
	Mrk 231	SA(rs)c pec	49	Merger ?	-1.28	1.76	1.08

Notes to Table 4

- Col. (1) Overall morphological category.
 Col. (2) Galaxy name—NGC, IC, Mrk, or Arp designation.
 Col. (3) Morphological type from Table 1.
 Col. (4) Galaxy inclination in degrees, derived from the ratio of major to minor axes listed in the RC2 [$\log R(25)$] or UGC, and computed following Roberts (1969). The inclination is given by: $\cos^2 i = 1.042[10^{\log R(25)}]^{-2} - 0.042$. This formulation accounts for the finite thickness of a galaxy even when oriented edge-on.
 Col. (5) Galaxy environment. Galaxies listed as **Isolated** have no neighbors within 500 km/sec and 1 Mpc projected on the sky. Galaxies listed as **Group** members are found in the M81 Group or the Leo Triplet. Galaxies listed as **Virgo** are members of the Virgo Cluster (cf. Kenney and Young 1988). Galaxies listed as **Field** are not in any of the other categories. Galaxies listed as **Pairs** refer to two galaxies in contact, or within $\sim 3'$ of each other on the sky. The **Mergers** are either listed in Joseph and Wright (1985) or possibly have polar rings, as in the case of NGC 660 and NGC 2146 (Schweizer *et al.* 1983).
 Col. (6) Logarithm of the ratio of the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity of the molecular gas mass, or the yield of young stars per unit mass of available gas, in units of L_0/M_0 . Values have an overall uncertainty of $\pm 35\%$. Values indicated by an asterisk are the galaxies for which we have determined extinction corrections from images of the [SIII] emission (Young, Kleinmann, and Allen 1988). The extinction corrected values of $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$, in the same units as those listed in column 6 above, are: NGC 520 (-1.63), NGC 660 (-0.76), NGC 1068 (-1.35), NGC 2146 (-1.40), NGC 3034 (-1.21), and Arp 220 (-1.20).
 Col. (7) Logarithm of the ratio of the far-infrared luminosity to the molecular gas mass, in units of L_0/M_0 . Values have an overall uncertainty of $\pm 35\%$.
 Col. (8) Ratio of the IRAS 60 to 100 μm flux densities from Table 3.

sen such that the nearest galaxy on the sky (within ± 500 km s $^{-1}$) is at least 1.2 Mpc distant. The angular separations to the nearest neighbors on the sky were determined using the *Nearby Galaxies Atlas* (Tully 1989), with velocities taken from the RC2.

Table 5 lists the mean high mass star formation efficiencies for the different environmental groups described above. While previous studies have reported that the ratio $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$ is enhanced in merging systems (Young *et al.* 1986a, 1986b; Sanders *et al.* 1986; Solomon & Sage 1988; Tinney *et al.* 1990), this is the first study which explores the star formation efficiency as a function of environment where the $\text{H}\alpha$ luminosity is used to trace the high mass star formation. We find that both $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$ and $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$ are enhanced in the mergers. Interestingly, the mean SFE for the isolated galaxies is similar to that for the field galaxies, galaxy pairs, and Virgo early-type galaxies. Only the late-type spiral galaxies and the irregulars have mean ratios of both $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$ and $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$ that are comparable to the values for the mergers. The mean high mass star formation efficiency of the mergers is 4–8 times higher than that of the

isolated galaxy sample, as measured by both $L(\text{H}\alpha)/M(\text{H}_2)$ and $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$. The $\text{H}\alpha$ data therefore support the earlier results, based on the mean $L_{\text{IR}}/M(\text{H}_2)$ in several environmentally-selected samples of galaxies, that the high mass star formation efficiency is enhanced by a significant amount in strongly interacting systems. Thus, environment appears to have a substantial effect on the global yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas in spiral galaxies. Since the mean high mass star formation efficiency for the pairs in our sample is not elevated, we agree with Solomon & Sage (1988) that a strong interaction is required to boost the star formation efficiency. Clearly, it would be of interest to study the molecular and ionized gas content of a large sample of galaxy pairs with a range of separations to examine the star formation efficiencies as a function of the strength of the galactic encounter.

The observations presented here indicate that the efficiency of forming high mass stars is enhanced in merging systems relative to isolated galaxies, although the mechanism responsible for this enhancement is not clear. One such possibility, although by no means the only one, is cloud-

FIG. 11. Comparison of two measures of the star formation efficiency, that derived from the ratio of $H\alpha$ luminosity to H_2 mass with that derived from the ratio of the far-infrared luminosity to H_2 mass. Galaxies are coded by morphological type as indicated. Only galaxies with inclinations less than 70° are included.

cloud collisions, which may lead to the formation of high mass stars (Scoville *et al.* 1986), and whose rate has been shown to be enhanced for strong galaxy-galaxy interactions (Noguchi & Ishibashi 1986; Olson & Kwan 1990a, 1990b).

5.4 Time scale for Cycling of Gas Through Stars

There have been some suggestions in the literature of the depletion of gas in galaxies through star formation. This of course depends on the assumption that the low mass stars, which we do not observe, form in proportion to the high mass stars according to some IMF. It is the low mass stars which lock up mass into inert remnants, while the high mass stars are recycled into the ISM. If only high mass stars form, or if the IMF is weighted toward the high mass end, then gas depletion may not occur at all. For this reason, we refer here to the gas cycling time rather than the depletion time.

In Sec. 4.2 we described the relationship between the luminosity in high mass stars and the quantity of gas undergoing star formation [see Eq. (9)]. Additionally, Table 3 lists the global interstellar masses of molecular and atomic gas. Therefore, we can estimate the length of time that the present star formation rate can be sustained by the available supply of gas, under the assumption that the star formation rate persists into the future. This gas cycling time is given by the reciprocal of the star formation efficiency for an adopted IMF.

Figure 13 shows the global $H\alpha$ luminosity compared with the total amount of neutral interstellar gas mass in molecular and atomic form. The dashed lines indicate the gas cycling times, under the assumption of a modified Miller-Scalo IMF between $0.1 M_\odot$ and $100 M_\odot$, as described in Sec. 4.2. For the galaxies in this sample, the majority of the cycling times fall between 10^9 and 10^{10} years. It is important to realize that some of the neutral gas, especially the H I in the outer parts

of galaxies, may not be associated with star formation. Furthermore, correcting the $H\alpha$ luminosities for internal extinction would increase the luminosities and decrease the cycling times. Therefore, the cycling times could in fact be shorter than those indicated in Fig. 13. We note that it is also possible that the cycling time will vary with radius in a galaxy, that regions with high star formation efficiency will cycle the gas in shorter times than regions of low efficiency.

To verify our methodology and results, it is important to compare the gas cycling times estimated from the $H\alpha$ luminosity in Fig. 13 with gas cycling times estimated from the total luminosity, following Scoville & Young (1983). Under the assumption that most of the luminosity of a galaxy is produced by O, B, and A stars, and that these stars process 13% of their mass through the CNO cycle while on the main sequence (Schwarzschild 1958), an estimate of the star formation rate is given by

$$\text{SFR}_{0,B,A} = 7.7 \times 10^{-11} L_{\text{tot}} [M_\odot/\text{yr}], \quad (10)$$

where L_{tot} is the total luminosity. Figure 14 shows a comparison of the total luminosity, determined from the far-infrared and blue bands (see Table 3), with the total mass of gas in molecular and atomic form. The dashed lines indicate the times in which the available gas will be cycled through stars given the present star formation rates. As in Fig. 13, the majority of the galaxies have cycling times between 10^9 and 10^{10} years. Thus, for a 10^{10} year old disk, the present level of star formation can be sustained into the future for a time which is comparable to the current age of the disk.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained CCD images of 120 galaxies in the light of $H\alpha$ and the R -band continuum in order to determine the distribution of star formation within spiral galaxies.

(1) We find a small but significant variation in the mean $H\alpha$ surface brightness as a function of type for spiral galaxies along the Hubble sequence. The Sd-Ir galaxies have a mean $H\alpha$ surface brightness which is 1.4 times higher than for Sbc-Scd galaxies, and 2–3 times higher than for Sa-Sb galaxies.

(2) The star formation rate per unit mass of molecular gas, deduced from the global ratio $L(H\alpha)/M(H_2)$, shows no significant variations in the mean as a function of morphological type for the spiral galaxies with types Sa-Sc in our sample. We find that this ratio varies by roughly an order of magnitude within each type, while the $H\alpha$ luminosities for the galaxies themselves range over four orders of magnitude for the entire sample. It therefore appears that, at the current epoch, galactic disks for bright spiral galaxies are globally converting gas into stars with roughly the same mean efficiency.

(3) The high mass star formation rate per unit mass of molecular gas is enhanced in mergers relative to isolated spirals by a factor of ~ 4 (uncorrected for extinction). This confirms earlier results based on the ratio $L_{\text{IR}}/M(H_2)$ that the yield of high mass stars per unit mass of molecular gas is elevated in merging and strongly interacting systems. The

FIG. 12. Histograms of the star formation efficiency as a function of morphological type measured from the ratio of $H\alpha$ luminosity to molecular gas mass (left-hand panels) and from the ratio of far-infrared luminosity to molecular gas mass (right-hand panels). The solid portion of each histogram indicates lower limits to the star formation efficiency (based on upper limits to the CO integrated intensity). Only galaxies with inclinations less than 70° are included.

$H\alpha$ images also demonstrate that the elevated $H\alpha$ luminosities arise in discrete $H\ II$ regions as opposed to active nuclei.

(4) The comparison of the $H\alpha$ and IR luminosities with the molecular gas masses for the spiral galaxies in our sample leads to further evidence that the IR luminosity of a galaxy is a measure of the rate of high mass star formation, and that old stars do not contribute significantly to the far-IR luminosity measured by *IRAS*. If old stars did contribute sig-

nificantly to the IR luminosity of a galaxy, this would be most apparent in the early-type bulge-dominated systems relative to the systems with small bulges. However, the similarity of the ratios $L(H\alpha)/M(H_2)$ and $L_{IR}/M(H_2)$ for Sa versus Sc galaxies, and the knowledge that the $H\alpha$ luminosity generally arises in discrete, identifiable $H\ II$ regions, indicates that old stars do not contribute significantly to the IR luminosity of a spiral galaxy.

TABLE 5. Summary of star formation efficiencies.

Category	Subset	Number of Galaxies	$\langle \log [L(H\alpha)/M(H_2)] \rangle \pm \sigma$ [$L_\odot/M_\odot$] (4)	$\langle \log [L(IR)/M(H_2)] \rangle \pm \sigma$ [$L_\odot/M_\odot$] (5)
(1)	(2)	(3)		
Morphological Type	S0-S0a	3	-1.96 ± 0.23	0.65 ± 0.07
	Sa	5	-1.89 ± 0.20	0.65 ± 0.20
	Sab	9	-1.88 ± 0.11	0.53 ± 0.09
	Sb	15	-1.96 ± 0.12	0.55 ± 0.08
	Sbc	18	-1.82 ± 0.08	0.61 ± 0.06
	Sc	14	-1.76 ± 0.06	0.58 ± 0.05
	Scd	8	-1.73 ± 0.20	0.70 ± 0.13
	Sd-Sm	7	-1.30 ± 0.18	0.79 ± 0.16
	Irr	4	-0.78 ± 0.41	1.53 ± 0.24
Environment	Isolated	10	-1.92 ± 0.10	0.53 ± 0.07
	Field	35	-1.80 ± 0.09	0.66 ± 0.06
	Pairs	6	-1.91 ± 0.12	0.70 ± 0.16
	Virgo $\leq$ Sb	14	-1.89 ± 0.10	0.54 ± 0.08
	Virgo Sbc-Sc	11	-1.82 ± 0.09	0.58 ± 0.09
	Virgo Scd-Ir	12	-1.30 ± 0.14	0.91 ± 0.12
	Mergers	5	-1.34 ± 0.23	1.44 ± 0.12
	Mergers	9 *	-1.30 ± 0.14	1.22 ± 0.13

Notes to Table 5

Col. (1) and (2) Characteristics of the galaxies examined.
Col. (3) Number of galaxies in each subsample with inclinations $<70^\circ$ (see Table 4). Pairs and Mergers were not included in the morphological type categories. Galaxies whose classification includes the designation peculiar were included. The Merger category indicated by the asterisk (*) includes 4 galaxies with high extinction (NGC 520, NGC 660, NGC 2146, and Arp 220) for which extinction corrections to the $H\alpha$ luminosities were included (cf. Young, Kleinmann, and Allen 1988). The merger category without the asterisk does not include these 4 galaxies.
Col. (4) and (5) Mean values of the star formation efficiency, measured in both $H\alpha$ and the IR. The means values listed refer to galaxies with inclinations less than 70° . The uncertainties listed indicate the accuracy of the mean value.

FIG. 13. Comparison of the global $H\alpha$ luminosities with the total neutral interstellar gas masses, with galaxies of differing morphological type indicated. The dashed lines indicate the gas cycling times assuming that the total star formation rate is derived from the $H\alpha$ luminosity [see Eq. (9b)]. Note that the majority of the cycling times fall between 10^9 and 10^{10} years.

FIG. 14. Comparison of the total luminosity (far-infrared+blue) with the total neutral interstellar gas masses, with galaxies of differing morphological type indicated. The dashed lines indicate the gas cycling times assuming that the star formation rate is derived from the total luminosity [see Eq. (10)]. Note that the majority of the cycling times fall between 10^9 and 10^{10} years.

We wish to thank the Director of NOAO for a generous allotment of time on the now-retired No. 1–0.9 m telescope, and the staff of NOAO for outstanding support at the telescope and in downtown Tucson. We extend special thanks to Bill Schoening, George Jacoby, Jeanette Barnes, Brett Goodrich, and Rob Seaman, as well as those in the photo lab. We also wish to thank Shuding Xie for assisting at the telescope during the first observing run, Shannon Hall for determining the isolated galaxy sample used here,

and Erica McConnell for loading more than 200 CCD images from a maze of 33 nine-track tapes onto the computer. This study was begun with support from a Sloan Foundation Fellowship. J.Y. also wishes to thank the JCMT and the University of Hawaii for excellent support as a Visiting JCMT Fellow during 1991 when the data analysis was in progress. Finally, we wish to thank the anonymous referee who made a number of comments which helped improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Arp, H. 1966, *ApJS*, 14, 1
- Barnes, J., & Hayes, D. 1984, *The IRS Standard Star Manual* (NOAO, Tucson)
- Bagnuolo, W. G. 1976, Ph.D. thesis, California Inst. of Technology
- Benvenuti, P., Capaccioli, M., & D'Odorico, S. 1975, *A&A*, 41, 91
- Bloemen, J. B. G. L., *et al.* 1986, *A&A*, 154, 25
- Boroson, T. 1981, *ApJS*, 46, 177
- Bothun G., Lonsdale, C. J., & Rice, W. 1989, *ApJ*, 341, 129
- Bushouse, H. A. 1987, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois
- Cohen, J. G. 1976, *ApJ*, 203, 587
- Deharveng, G., J.-M., Sasseeen, T. P., Buat, V., Bowyer, S., Lampton, M., & Wu, X. 1994, *A&A*, 289, 715
- De Jong, T., *et al.* 1984, *ApJ*, 278, L67
- De Jong, T., Klein, U., Wielebinski, R., & Wunderlich, E. 1985, *A&A*, 147, L6
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., & Corwin, H. G. 1976, *Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies* (University of Texas Press, Austin)
- Dennefeld, M., & Stasinska, G. 1985, *A&A*, 118, 234
- Devereux, N., & Young, J. S. 1990a, *ApJL*, 350, L25
- Devereux, N., & Young, J. S. 1990b, *ApJ*, 359, 42
- Devereux, N., & Young, J. S. 1991, *ApJ*, 371, 515
- Garcia-Vargas, M. L., Bressan, A., & Diaz, A. I. 1995, *A&AS*, 112, 13
- Gavazzi, G., Cocito, A., & Vettolani, G. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, L15
- Helou, G., Soifer, B. T., & Rowan-Robinson, M. 1985, *ApJ*, 298, L7
- Hildebrand, R. H. 1983, *QJRAS*, 24, 267
- Huchra, J. 1977, *ApJ*, 217, 928
- Huchtmeier, W., Bregman, J., Hogg, D., & Roberts, M. S. 1988, *A&A*, 198, L17
- Huchtmeier, W., Richter, O.-G., Bohnenstengel, H.-D., & Hauschildt, M. 1983, *A General Catalogue of H I Observations of External Galaxies*, ESO Preprint No. 250
- Joseph, R. D., & Wright, G. S. 1985, *MNRAS*, 219, 87
- Kenney, J., & Young, J. S. 1988, *ApJS*, 66, 261
- Kenney, J. D. P., & Young, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 171
- Kennicutt, R. C. 1983, *ApJ*, 272, 54
- Kennicutt, R. C., & Kent, S. M. 1983, *AJ*, 88, 1094
- Kennicutt, R. C., Keel, W. C., van der Hulst, J. M., Hummel, E., & Roettiger, K. A. 1987, *AJ*, 93, 1011
- Kent, S. M. 1985, *ApJ*, 59, 115
- Larson, R. B., & Tinsley, B. M. 1978, *ApJ*, 219, 46
- Lester, D. F., Dinerstein, H. L., & Rank, D. M. 1979, *ApJ*, 232, 139
- Lonsdale, C. J., & Helou, G. 1987, *ApJ*, 314, 513
- Lonsdale, C., Helou, G., Good, J., & Rice, W. 1985, in *Catalogued Galaxies and Quasars in the IRAS Survey* (US GPO, Washington, D.C.)
- Maloney, P., & Black, J. 1988, *ApJ*, 325, 389
- Noguchi, M., & Ishibashi, S. 1986, *MNRAS*, 219, 305
- Olson, K. M., & Kwan, J. 1990a, *ApJ*, 349, 480
- Olson, K. M., & Kwan, J. 1990b, *ApJ*, 361, 426
- Pagel, B. E. J., & Edmunds, M. G. 1981, *ARA&A*, 19, 77
- Panagia, N. 1973, *AJ*, 78, 929
- Pogge, R. W., & Eskridge, P. B. 1987, *AJ*, 92, 291
- Rand, R., Kulkarni, S. R., & Rice, W. 1992, *ApJ*, 390, 66
- Rengarajan, T. N., & Verma, R. P. 1986, *A&A*, 165, 300
- Rice, W., Lonsdale, C. J., Soifer, B. T., Neugebauer, G., Kopan, E. L., Lloyd, L. A. De Jong, T., & Habing, H. J. 1988, *ApJ*, 68, 91
- Roberts, M. S. 1969, *AJ*, 74, 859
- Rubin, R. 1985, *ApJS*, 57, 349
- Rubin, R. 1988, *ApJS*, 68, 519
- Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, L35
- Sandage, A. 1986, *A&A*, 161, 89
- Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1985, *ApJ*, 298, L31
- Sanders, D. B., Scoville, N., Young, J. S., Soifer, B. T., & Danielson, G. 1986, *ApJ*, 305, L45
- Sanders, D. B., Young, J. S., Scoville, N., Soifer, B. T., & Danielson, G. 1987, *ApJ*, 312, 15
- Schoening, B. 1987, *The CCD Direct Imaging Camera* (NOAO, Tucson)
- Schwarzschild, M. 1958, *Structure and Evolution of the Stars* (Dover, New York), p. 276
- Schweizer, F., Whitmoer, B. C., & Rubin, V. C. 1983, *AJ*, 88, 909
- Scoville, N., Sanders, D. B., & Clemens, D. 1986, *ApJL*, 310, L77
- Scoville, N. Z., & Young, J. S. 1983, *ApJ*, 265, 148
- Scoville, N., Yun, M., Clemens, D., Sanders, D., & Waller, W. 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 821
- Smith, B. J., Harvey, P. M., Colome, C., Zhang, C. Y., DiFrancesco, J., & Pogge, R. W. 1994, *ApJ*, 425, 91
- Solomon, P. M., & Sage, L. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 613
- Solomon, P., Rivolo, R., Barrett, J., & Yahil, A. 1987, *ApJ*, 319, 730
- Telesco, C. M., & Harper, D. A. 1980, *ApJ*, 235, 392
- Thronson, H., *et al.* 1989, *ApJ*, 344, 747
- Tinney, C. G., Scoville, N., Sanders, D., & Soifer, B. T. 1990, *ApJ*, 362, 473
- Toomre, A., & Toomre, J. 1972, *ApJ*, 178, 623
- Tully, R. B. 1989, *Nearby Galaxies Catalogue* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge)
- Vogel, S., Boulanger, F., & Ball, R. 1987, *ApJ*, 316, L243
- Waller, W. H., Gurwell, M., & Tamura, M. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 63
- Waller, W. H., Kleinmann, S. G., & Ricker, G. 1988, *AJ*, 95, 1057
- Wiklund, T., & Henkel, C. 1989, *A&A*, 225, 1
- Wilson, C., & Scoville, N. 1990, *ApJ*, 363, 435
- Wynn-Williams, C. G., & Becklin, E. 1974, *PASP*, 86, 5
- Young, J. S., *et al.* 1986b, *ApJ*, 311, L17
- Young, J. S., Kenney, J. D. P., Lord, S., & Schloerb, F. P. 1984, *ApJ*, 287, L65
- Young, J. S., Kleinmann, S. G., & Allen, L. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, L63
- Young, J. S., & Knezek, P. 1989, *ApJ*, 347, L55
- Young, J. S., Schloerb, F. P., Kenney, J. D. P., & Lord, S. 1986a, *ApJ*, 304, 443
- Young, J. S., & Scoville, N. 1991, *ARA&A*, 29, 581
- Young, J. S., Xie, S., Kenney, J., & Rice, W. L. 1989, *ApJS*, 70, 699
- Young, J. S., *et al.* 1995, *ApJS*, 98, 219

Sa/Sab

FIG. 2. *R*-band and continuum-free $H\alpha$ + $[N II]$ images of a subset of the galaxies in the present study, covering a wide range of morphological type.

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)

Sb

FIG. 2. (continued)

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)

Sbc

FIG. 2. (continued)

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)

Sc

FIG. 2. (continued)

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)

Scd/Sd

FIG. 2. (continued)

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)

I_0/I_m

FIG. 2. (continued)

Young *et al.* (see page 1904)