

2015

2D Scholarly Communication Issues & Library License Negotiation

Ellen Finnie

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, efinnie@mit.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cbc>



Part of the [Intellectual Property Law Commons](#), and the [Scholarly Communication Commons](#)

Finnie, Ellen, "2D Scholarly Communication Issues & Library License Negotiation" (2015). *New England Copyright Boot Camp*. 16.
Retrieved from <https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cbc/16>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the University Libraries at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in New England Copyright Boot Camp by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

ACRL NE
Copyright Workshop: day 2
Advanced Topics

Scholarly communication issues &
library license negotiation

Ellen Finnie efinnie@MIT.edu

Scholarly communication issues in library licenses

- Positively influence scholarly communication ecosystem – advance our objectives in long run
- Use all levers available to us, including library content licenses
- Scholarly communication and licensing staffs may not be organizationally connected
 - Set up a dialog about using licenses to advance campus/organizational objectives related to scholarly communications

Examples of relevant scholarly communication topics for negotiation

- License terms related to research, teaching, scholarship:
 - Course packs
 - Course reserves / MOOCs
 - Interlibrary loan
 - Scholarly sharing
 - Text/data mining
 - Authors' rights for reuse / repository sharing rights

Model license language for scholarly communications authorized uses

- NERL Model license language:
http://nerl.org/sites/default/files/nerl_docs/NERLModelLicense111412.docx
- Liblicense Model license language:
<http://liblicense.crl.edu/licensing-information/model-license/>
- Author Rights Model License Language: see
<https://authorrights.wordpress.com/>
- See handout

Text/Data Mining Principles

- Output to be retained through research cycle for publication
- Output to be shared with research collaborators outside the institution
- Sufficient sharing for other researchers to replicate, and for publication of results
- Access to the fulltext with HTML and PDF options
- No added cost
- API must be sufficient

MIT examples: scholarly communications authorized uses

- All commercial publishers and some society publishers: scholarly sharing
 - See: <http://libraries.mit.edu/scholarly/publishing/using-figures-from-major-publishers-in-new-scholarly-articles/>
 - See also discussion in relation to fair use at: <https://fairduty.wordpress.com/2015/09/26/no-permission-needed/>
- Springer: Text/data mining; MITx (MOOCs); scholarly sharing; author rights; automatic deposit of manuscripts
- Wiley (NERL contract): author rights (deposit of manuscripts in repository)
- Elsevier: Text/data mining; scholarly sharing