INTRODUCTION

According to the federal Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS), over half of the children in foster care have experienced some form of early adversity. Supporting favorable outcomes for these children in the emotional and academic domains has received increased research focus (Healey & Fisher, 2011; McDermott et al., 2012), however, paradoxical patterns of stable or greater developmental, educational and emotional needs have been noted among children adopted out of foster care (Zill & Bramlet, 2014). To further understand the range of child needs, as well as services desired, barriers to accessing services and priorities for advocacy efforts we designed the Massachusetts Survey of Kinship, Foster, and Adoption Placements. From the larger dataset collected, this project compares responses from caregivers with children in foster care (FC) to those with children adopted from foster care (A-FC). The overall aims of the current study were to:

- Compare needs and desired services among children in and adopted from foster care
- Identify high priority areas of advocacy efforts for these children and their families
- Determine whether child gender influences the needs and services among these families

METHODS

Participants

Respondents were foster care parents (FC) and parents of children adopted out of foster care (A-FC) from the northeast region of the United States. Combined they reported on 232 children (A-FC=146, FC=86) who joined their families before 18 years of age (Mage = 9.93 yrs).

Survey Administration

The survey was disseminated on the Rudd Adoption Program Webpage and via their adoption research listserve. Participants were provided with an electronic consent form describing the study prior to completing survey questions using Survey Monkey software.

RESULTS

- Despite placement in a permanent family setting, A-FC children had high levels of special needs and in comparison to children in FC their needs were greater in the areas of intellectual disabilities, learning disabilities and sensory integration issues ($X^2=3.88$, $p<.05$). See Figures 1a & 1b.
- Families of A-FC children reported a greater need for parenting info, in-home support, special testing in school, family counseling and respite care ($X^2=3.72$, $p<.05$). See Figures 2a & 2b. These families also reported difficulty in knowing how to find services as a significant barrier in helping their children ($X^2(1)=4.21$, $p=.04$).
- Caregivers of A-FC children designated multiple areas for advocacy efforts, including adoption/foster competency training for parents & professionals, crisis intervention services, parent training and education and school personnel training & education ($X^2=11.03$, $p<.05$). See Figures 3a-3d.
- Compared to caregivers of girls in FC, caregivers of girls A-FC identified higher rates of developmental delays, learning disabilities (See Figure 4a), greater difficulty not knowing how to find services and indicated school personnel training ($X^2=4.991$, $p<.046$) as a key advocacy priority.
- Among males behavioral issues ($X^2(1)=3.75$, $p=.053$) were greater in A-FC compared to FC boys (See Figure 4b).
- Caregivers of A-FC boys also designated a wider range of service needs including: connections with other adopted/FC children, parenting information, in-home support, special testing, tutoring, family counseling, providers who accept Medicaid/Mass Health insurance, parent support groups and respite care ($X^2=4.303$, $p<.05$). Key advocacy priorities among families with A-FC boys were competency training, crisis intervention, parent education & training and school personnel training & education ($X^2=9.337$, $p<.025$).
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