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• Shawano Paper Mill Dam in Wisconsin is located on the Wolf River east of Green Bay in Shawano County.

• The city, county, and Shawano Lake are all named for Menominee Chief Sawanoh, whose tribal people lived, farmed, and fished the lake.

• Shawano Paper Mill Dam was built in 1892 to regulate the water levels in Shawano Lake.

• The Shawano Paper Mill Dam marks the end of the line for Lake Sturgeon and other migratory fish migrating from Lake Winnebago Pool up the Wolf River.
Background (continued)

- A natural barrier, Keshena Falls is located 11 miles upstream from the Shawano Paper Mill Dam.
- Keshena Falls was a historical spawning area.
- Sturgeon return every spring to the Shawano Paper Mill Dam to spawn in mass numbers; an environmental spectacle which attracts locals and tourists alike.
- Efforts are underway to return adult sturgeon to Keshena Falls historic spawning area.
- Progeny of these fish will have to pass the Shawano Project.
The objectives were to:

• Estimate 48h survival and injury rates (within ±5%, 90% of the time) of fingerling and yearling sized Lake Sturgeon passing through the turbines at Shawano Paper Mill Dam.

• Determine injury rates and types attributed to turbine passage.
Test Conditions

Technique - All fish tagged with HI-Z tags
Fish source – School of Freshwater Sciences at the University of Wisconsin Milwaukee
Specimens – Juvenile (two size groups) Lake Sturgeon

Fish length (TL in mm)
- Fingerlings range from 180 to 224, average 199
- Yearling sized range from 240 to 335, average 260
- Control fingerlings range from 180 to 220, average 197
- Control yearling sized range from 240 to 290, average 251

Sample size
- Fingerling: N = 150
- Yearling sized: N = 160
- Control: N = 87

Water temperature – 9.0 to 9.5°C (48.2 to 49.1°F)
Turbine Characteristics and Test conditions

- Turbine type – 42 in Leffel-Z (Vertical Francis type)
- Maximum discharge 265 cfs (test at 260 cfs)
- Rotation 100 rpm
- Runner diameter size - 42 to 74 in (small unit)
- Number of buckets 18
- Number of wicket gates 20
- Width between wicket gates 7.4 in
- Operating head 10.5 ft
- Normal Headwater elevation 802.5 ft
- Normal Tailwater elevation 792 ft
Methods
Release Locations

Control release hose

Treatment release pipe going through trash racks

Treatment release pipe

Treatment release hose

Treatment release hose
Release Locations (continued)

**Turbine**
Release near the middle of turbine, approx. 1/2 ft upstream of wicket gates.

**Control**
The control fish groups were released downstream of Unit 2 turbine.
HI-Z Tagging Sequence

- The HI-Z tag-recapture technique has been used to estimate survival/injury of more than 22 species of fish; this was the first study on Sturgeon.
Fish Tracking and Recapture

- Fish buoyed to surface
- Netting fish
- Fish holding tank
- Fish held for 48h
Statistical Analysis

- Passage survival and injury rates were estimated relative to controls.
- Chi-square tests performed to determine homogeneity (P=0.05) between daily trials with respect to recapture frequencies of dead, alive, and unknown fish.
- Calculated malady-free rates (fish without any passage related injuries or loss of equilibrium).
Results: Recapture Rates

• Recapture rates were 88.7 and 90.6% for the fingerling and yearling sized fish, respectively.

• Recapture rate for the control groups was 98.0% and 95.0% for the fingerlings and yearling sized, respectively.

• HI-Z tags only were recovered on 11 (7%) and 14 (9%) of the fingerling and yearling sized fish, respectively. Fish assigned dead.

• The average retrieval time for the treatment fish ranged from 8 to 10 minutes. Control retrieval time averaged 4 minutes.
Survival Results

- No fish recovered dead
- Relatively high number of tags-only recaptured: fingerling 7.3%, yearling sized 8.8%, (worst case scenario assigned dead)
- Some fish trapped temporarily in underwater structures; others not recaptured assigned alive, fingerling 4.0%, yearling sized 0.6%, controls 2 and 5%
Injuries

- Only one yearling recaptured with injuries.
- Injuries consisted of damaged gill, hemorrhaged left eye, and hemorrhaged brain;
- This fish died in holding, injuries were major and mechanical;
- Recapture of only one injured fish suggests that the actual survival estimates are likely higher than estimated herein;
- Minimal injuries may have been due to Sturgeon being cartilaginous and covered in scutes and a low Project head (10.5ft).
### Comparison to Other Studies
With Similar sized (177 – 213 mm) Juvenile Salmonids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Box Canyon</th>
<th>Albeni Falls</th>
<th>Foster</th>
<th>Shawano</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Released</strong></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>1238</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fish Recaptured</strong></td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>HI-Z tags recaptured only (no fish)</em></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fish recaptured missing a HI-Z tag</em></td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fish recaptured with injuries and missing a HI-Z tag</em></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fish recaptured with injuries and all HI-Z tags present</em></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Percent based on recaptured fish; not total released
Comparison to Other Studies (continued)

- Physical recapture of fish typically higher 96-99% than that at Shawano (89 and 91%), which was due primarily to the lower recapture of tags only 1-2% versus 7 and 9% at Shawano.
- Fish recaptured missing a tag are generally lower 4-11% than at Shawano (9 and 16%).
- Typically more of these fish missing a tag are injured (0-6%) than that at Shawano (0 and <1%).
- Typically more fish with all tags intact are injured (2-15%) than that at Shawano (0%).
- The data on missing and detached tags indicate that the Sturgeon survival estimates are likely higher.
Conclusions

• Survival estimate (48h) of fingerling and yearling passed fish were 92.7 and 90.6%, respectively.

• Malady-free estimates were 100.0 and 99.9% for the fingerling and yearling sized passed fish, respectively.

• Survival was not significantly different between the fingerling and yearling sized fish; malady rates were not significantly different.
Conclusions (continued)

• Desired precision ($\varepsilon \leq \pm 5\%$); 90% of the time was met for all estimates.

• Only one fish was injured and dead at 48h.

• The recapture of only one injured fish and absence of injuries to recaptured fish missing a tag indicate the actual survival rates are likely higher.
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