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ABSTRACT

We have observed a 3° × 3° area centered on the M81/M82 group of galaxies using the Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope (GBT) in a search for analogs to the High Velocity Clouds (HVCs) of neutral hydrogen found around our galaxy. The velocity range from -605 to -85 km s^{-1} and 25 to 1970 km s^{-1} was searched for H i clouds. Over the inner 2° × 2° the 7σ detection threshold was 9.6 × 10^5 M_{\odot}. We detect 5 previously unknown H i clouds associated with the group, as well as numerous associated filamentary H i structures, all lying in the range -105 ≤ V_{helio} ≤ +280 km s^{-1}. From the small angular distance of the clouds to group members, and the small velocity difference between group members and clouds, we conclude that the clouds are most likely relics of ongoing interactions between galaxies in the group.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual(M81) — galaxies: interactions — surveys — radio lines: galaxies

1. Introduction

H i clouds are found around some spiral galaxies at velocities not simply related to the rotation of the galactic disk. In the Milky Way there are the high velocity clouds (HVCs) both ionized and neutral found in large complexes and as smaller isolated clouds which together cover nearly 40% of the sky (Wakker & van Woerden 1997; Lockman et al. 2002). M31 has a similar system (Thilker et al. 2004). These clouds most likely result from a variety of phenomena. Interactions between galaxies can produce filamentary structures of gas and tidal streams like the Magellanic Stream (Putman et al. 2003) and the tidal tails of the M81 group (Yun et al. 1993; Walter et al. 2002). Some HVCs may be the remnants of galaxy formation that are currently being accreted (Maller & Bullock 2004), or clumps and filament of H i associated with faint dwarf galaxies (Thilker et al. 2004; Ben Bekhti et al. 2006; Begum et al. 2006). Some
clouds may also arise from a “galactic fountain”, wherein hot gas created by supernovae expands thermally, cools, and condenses and falls back into the galaxy (see Wakker & van Woerden 1997 and references therein). Studies of cloud properties such as mass, morphology, and kinematics are necessary to distinguish between these possibilities.

Measurement of many physical quantities is dependent on an accurate value for distance. The exact distance of the clouds in our galaxy is very difficult to measure, so determination of their properties has been difficult. Many attempts have been made to measure the distances of clouds in the Milky Way (see, e.g., Schwarz et al. 1995; van Woerden et al. 1999; Ben Bekhti et al. 2006). However, these efforts have succeeded only in placing limits on cloud distances.

Other galaxies may also have these high velocity clouds. For extragalactic sources, distances from a $^{1}\text{H}$ cloud to its associated galaxy can be determined to a high degree of accuracy, so their properties may be studied more readily. We observed the M81/M82 cluster with the GBT in order to map its neutral hydrogen cloud population. The group contains optically bright galaxies M81, M82, NGC 3077, and NGC 2976, which show the remnants of strong interactions (Walter et al. 2002), as well as over 40 dwarf galaxies. See Table 1 for a summary of galaxies within our field of observation. The M81 group has been the subject of many studies including, e.g., Roberts 1972, Appleton et al. 1981, Lo & Sargent 1979, Yun et al. 1993, and Boyce et al. 2001. Lockman et al. (2002) also found diffuse neutral hydrogen emission in the direction of the M81 group. They find locations where the emission is likely due to the interactions of M81/M82 but also find lower level emission away from known galaxies.

In addition to our velocity range search of -605 to -85 km s$^{-1}$ and 25 to 1970 km s$^{-1}$, we have achieved a lower mass detection threshold than previous studies. Our observations have a mass sensitivity 50-100% lower than the studies by Appleton et al. 1981 and Boyce et al. 2001, due to our high velocity resolution and the excellent sensitivity of the GBT. Our observations cover a large angular area in order to make a complete study of the $^{1}\text{H}$ cloud population and properties. The beam size of the GBT at L band is well matched to the expected angular size of these objects, so our study provides new insight into their structure. The study of Boyce et al. 2001 using HJASS data covered a larger angular area ($8^\circ \times 8^\circ$) than our observations, but with a much smaller velocity range search (-500 to 500 km s$^{-1}$) and a coarser (26 km s$^{-1}$) velocity resolution.

In addition to distinct clumps of hydrogen, galaxies are found to have filamentary structures of $^{1}\text{H}$ gas and tidal streams associated with interactions (Yun et al. 1993, Walter et al. 2002, Begum et al. 2000) also found clumps and filaments of $^{1}\text{H}$ associated with faint dwarf galaxies. The M81 group is notoriously rich in large-scale $^{1}\text{H}$ filaments, a clear signature of interaction between the galaxies. We include in our study a search for such filamentary structures and smaller scale filaments that might provide additional information about interactions.

$^{1}\text{H}$ clouds are also related to dwarf galaxies. In a study of nine Local Group dwarf galaxies, Bouchard et al. 2006 found that the concentration of HVCs was markedly enhanced near dwarfs, and that there were $^{1}\text{H}$ clouds near (in projection) most of the dSphs and dIr/dSphs of the LG, although they were typically offset from the optical center. Blitz & Robishaw 2000 found that many $^{1}\text{H}$ envelopes of dwarf galaxies in the local group were very similar to extragalactic $^{1}\text{H}$ clouds in mass and size, and although most neutral hydrogen clouds are thought to contain no stars, Siegel et al. 2005, found that two previously cataloged clouds actually harbored dwarf galaxies. These results suggest a strong relationship between $^{1}\text{H}$ clouds and dwarf galaxies, although the nature of the connection has yet to be fully elucidated. Perhaps some $^{1}\text{H}$ clouds enshroud low surface-brightness galaxies, or are ejected from dwarf galaxies through other mechanisms.

Bouchard et al. 2006 concluded that ram pressure or tidal stripping are not likely to be the cause of the observed offset of $^{1}\text{H}$ clouds from their associated galaxies. An alternative explanation is that the gas could be blown out by star formation. Recently, Walter et al. 2002 have shown that episodes of star formation in the dwarf galaxy NGC 3077 have disrupted and ejected the gas outside of the region where the stars are optically identified. Our observations cover a large angular area around this galaxy (as well as others in
the group) to search for these blown out components. In addition, these larger angular regions enable us to distinguish foreground components in our galaxy and smaller angular size components of dwarf galaxies in the group.

In sections 2 and 3 we summarize the observations and data reduction. In section 4 we describe methods used to interpret our observations. In section 5 mass calculations for known objects in the group are presented. In section 6 we detail new detections, including HI clouds and filaments, and their properties. In section 7 our new detections are compared with a numerical simulation of the M81/M82 group. Finally, in section 8 we discuss the implications of our results and future work.

2. Observations

We observed the M81/M82 group with the 100m Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope (GBT) of the NRAO on 12-16 June, 2003. The full group extends over a 40×20 degree area (right ascension range 7 to 11 hours, declination range 58° ≤ δ ≤ 78°), but the brightest galaxies (M81, M82 and NGC3077) are all within a 2°×2° region centered on M81, which was where we concentrated our observations. The 2°×2° region was observed by moving the telescope in declination and sampling every 4′ at an integration time of 5 seconds per sample. Strips of constant declination were spaced by 2.7′. A larger field was then sampled at 4′ spacing and an integration time of one second per spectrum. The data discussed here cover an area 3°×3° centered on M81 to include NGC 2976 and the extended HI emission associated the group.

The typical system temperature for each channel of the dual-polarization receiver was 20 K. The GBT spectrometer was used to cover a velocity range -3172 < V_{helio} < 7376 km s^{-1}. Spectra were smoothed to an effective channel spacing of 1.29 km s^{-1}. Over the inner 4 square degree region, the resultant typical RMS noise is 18 mK; outside the central region the noise in a channel rises to ≈ 51 mK. The instrumental parameters are summarized in Table 2, and Table 3 gives a summary of observations.

3. Data Reduction

The archived GBT data were reduced in the standard manner using the GBTIDL data reduction package.

In order to match our velocity resolution to the expected linewidths of HI clouds in the group, we smoothed the data to contain 2048 channels with a channel spacing of 24.4 kHz, corresponding to a velocity resolution of 5.2 km s^{-1}. A reference spectrum for each set of scans was made using the first and last scan of the set. The reference spectrum was then used to perform a (signal-reference)/reference calibration of each integration of each scan. The calibrated spectra were scaled by the system temperature, corrected for atmospheric opacity and GBT efficiency. We adopted GBT efficiency equation (1) from Langston & Turner (2007). We assumed a zenith atmospheric opacity τ_0 = 0.009. The GBT observations were performed without Doppler tracking. Within GBTIDL, the velocities were shifted to the heliocentric frame.

After amplitude calibration, the spectral band-pass variation was removed by subtraction of a median filtered baseline with 1300 km s^{-1} width (6.0 MHz width). The median filter baseline subtraction process is summarized here and is described with example figures in Langston & Turner (2007). The baseline was subtracted for each polarization separately, in order to remove the Intermediate Frequency (IF) electronics gain variations that are unique to each signal path. The baseline subtraction process also removes gain and sky variations between the time of observation and the time of observation of the reference scan. The baseline value is computed for each individual channel of the spectrum by computing the median value of all channels within a range of channels centered on the individual channel. The median width must be small enough to preserve the gain variations, yet large enough to reject spectral lines within the median range. After computation, the baseline is subtracted from the original spectrum.

Calibrated GBTIDL ‘keep’ files were processed using idlToSdfits. This program is used to

---

1The National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

2Developed by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory; documentation at http://gbitid.sourceforge.net

3Developed by Glen Langston of NRAO; documentation at http://wiki.gb.nrao.edu/bin/view/Data/IdlToSdfits
flag data contaminated by radio frequency interference (RFI) and convert the data to the form required for input into the NRAO AIPS\textsuperscript{4} package. The frequency range observed was relatively free of RFI and less than 0.2\% of all spectra were adversely affected by RFI. The spectra exhibiting RFI values were identified by tabulation of the RMS noise level in channels free of neutral hydrogen emission. In order to remove RFI from the data, \texttt{id1ToSdfits} was run on each set of scans, and the resulting RMS noise values were examined. Spectra that showed high values of RMS noise across many channels were flagged and removed. After calibration, the observations were imported into the AIPS package. Observations were gridded using the AIPS task SDIMG, which also averages polarizations.

The beam size was found by fitting a two dimensional Gaussian to the continuum emission from M82. The fitted beam was \(10.1'\pm0.6'\times9.4'\pm0.5'\), at a position angle of \(54^\circ\pm32^\circ\). In order to convert to Jy/beam, we observed the calibration source 3C286. \textit{Ott et al. (1994)} provide a model for the flux density of 3C286 relative to other radio sources. For 3C286 at 1.41 GHz the flux density is 14.61 ± 0.94 Jy. Based upon the ratio of 3C286 to M82 intensities, the flux density of M82 is 7.17 ± 0.46 Jy. Our value for M82 is consistent with \(6.2\pm0.2\) Jy from \textit{Condon et al. (1998)}. We determined the calibration from from K to Jy by producing a continuum image of M82 in the same manner as the spectral line images. The peak M82 brightness temperature (K) was measured relative to laboratory measurement calibration noise diodes. The calibration factor was determined by the ratio of the measured brightness temperature divided by flux density of M82 (Jy). Including all corrections for the GBT efficiency and the mapping process, the scale factor is 0.47 Jy/K.

\section*{4. Data Interpretation}

For all estimates of H\textsc{i} masses, we adopt the M81 distance, \(D = 3.63 \pm 0.34\) Mpc from \textit{Karachentsev et al. (2004)}. M81 is at a distance between M82 and NGC3077, 3.52 and 3.82 Mpc respectively.

In their study of H\textsc{i} clouds in M31, \textit{Thilker et al. (2004)} found clouds mostly within 150 km s\textsuperscript{-1} of the systemic velocity of M31. Filaments were found within 80 km s\textsuperscript{-1} of the galaxy’s velocity. If these values can be interpreted as a prediction for H\textsc{i} cloud locations, we expect to find clouds with velocities within 150 km s\textsuperscript{-1} relative to the main galaxies in the group. For this paper we use galaxy velocities from \textit{Yun (1999)}. For completeness, spectral maps were made in a wide velocity range, from -605 to 1970 km s\textsuperscript{-1}. This extends the search to more than \(\pm 500\) km s\textsuperscript{-1} beyond the systemic velocity of any group galaxy. The \(7\sigma\) detection threshold for H\textsc{i} mass was \(9.6 \times 10^3 M_\odot\). Spectral maps were visually inspected for possible new clouds. Objects that were spatially distinct from group galaxies and tidal streams in a range of velocity were considered to be cloud candidates. Positions of candidates were measured by fitting a 2-dimensional Gaussian with the AIPS tasks JMFIT and IMSTAT to the peak emission. For each H\textsc{i} cloud candidate, spectra were obtained from ISPEC, and H\textsc{i} column density maps for the velocity range in which the candidate was detected were made with MOMNT.

Our search area of \(3' \times 3'\) centered on M81 corresponds to 194 \times 194 kpc\textsuperscript{2}. Based on studies of the Milky Way and M31, which found clouds anywhere within 1-50 kpc of the center of a galaxy \textit{Thilker et al. (2004) Wakker & van Woerden (1997)}, we expected that H\textsc{i} clouds should be located within 50 kpc of associated galaxies. Therefore, we should detect all of the H\textsc{i} clouds around M81. For M82, NGC 3077, and NGC 2976, our field of view excludes some of the regions where clouds might be found; as such, our detections for those galaxies will place lower limits on the H\textsc{i} cloud population. \textit{Thilker et al. (2004)} found that filamentary structures were limited to the inner 30 kpc of the galaxy, so we expect to detect all such structures associated with the M81 group.

The boundaries of regions containing clouds were determined by inspection of the spectral line images. The boundaries of the region averaged into the H\textsc{i} intensity profile were chosen to separate the individual components from the other galaxies. However all of the newly identified clouds are in close proximity to the interacting members of the galaxies. For each profile, the region was set using a box approximating the area of neutral.
hydrogen most clearly associated with the object in question and not involved in interaction.

The spectral line image is available on-line.

5. Masses of Known Objects

There is some overlap in velocity space between the M81/M82 group and the Milky Way, and over this velocity range we can not discriminate between local and distant emission. To estimate the total H i mass of neutral hydrogen for the M81/M82 group we replaced the velocity channels contaminated by Milky Way and local high velocity clouds with interpolated values computed from spectral line observations at -85 and +25 km s\(^{-1}\).

The column density was calculated for the entire field observed and each new H i cloud. Moment maps showing the column density are presented in Figures 1 and 3. For the observed field and major galaxies, the flux values at velocities from -250 to 340 km s\(^{-1}\) were summed. For new objects, the flux in the velocity range over which each object detected was summed. The flux vs. velocity profile of the object was obtained from the AIPS task ISPEC.

5.1. Total Mass over the Field

Neutral hydrogen was detected over the velocity range -250 to 340 km s\(^{-1}\), and these channels are summed and shown as contours in Figure 4. The integrated column density map from Yun et al. (1994) is shown as the grey scale image in Figure 1. The locations of each major galaxy, dwarf galaxy, and H i cloud detection are indicated.

The spectrum of the entire field used to compute the neutral hydrogen mass of the group is shown in Figure 2. The computed mass was 10.46 ± 2.86 \times 10^9 M_\odot. For this mass and all following mass estimates, the error estimates are 1σ. The dominant error contribution is the uncertainty in the absolute calibration. Our total mass value is greater than the values found by Appleton et al. (1981) and Yun (1999). Our study is more sensitive to faint, extended emission over the entire field than either of these previous studies.

5.2. Major Galaxies

The H i spectra for the major galaxies are presented in Figure 2. Neutral hydrogen masses of known galaxies were calculated to confirm the accuracy of our mass calculation methods. Our calculated values for \(M_{HI}\) are in agreement with the literature; see Table 4. The dwarf galaxy BK 1N (Huchtmeier & Skillman 1998) is also detected by our observations in the velocity range \(\sim 560\) to \(580\) km s\(^{-1}\). BK 1N has a velocity outside the range shown in Figure 2.

6. New Identifications

The newly detected clouds were identified by individual examination, by eye, of all spectral line images for this region in the velocity range -605 to -85 km s\(^{-1}\) and 25 to 1970 km s\(^{-1}\). We detect five neutral hydrogen clouds associated with the M81 group of galaxies. See Tables 5 and 6 for a summary of detections. Three of the clouds are clearly associated with a particular galaxy, and two are located between two known galaxies. All of the clouds have a velocity close to that of at least one of their parent galaxies. Clouds were only detected between -105 and 280 km s\(^{-1}\), and the maximum difference between a cloud and associated galaxy was 119 km s\(^{-1}\).

Figure 3 shows H i column density maps of each detection. For these maps, only the velocity range in which the cloud of interest was detected is summed into the integrated intensity map. One channel on each end of the detected range was excluded from the integrated intensity map in order to increase the signal to noise ratio and highlight the cloud. Additionally, all of the clouds are within 35 kpc (in projection) of the group. However, this value should be taken as an estimate, as the exact distance to each galaxy in the group, and more importantly, the distance to each cloud, is uncertain. In any case, the approximate distance values are consistent with detected distances of clouds near M31 (Thilker et al. 2004).

Figure 4 shows the spectra of each H i cloud. The mass of each cloud was measured by summing the flux densities measure for the angular regions over the velocity range shown in figure 4. The masses of the detected clouds are of order \(10^7\) M_\odot. In order to measure the systemic velocity and velocity dispersion of each cloud, a Gaussian

\footnote{The spectral line image cube is available at http://www.nrao.edu/astrores/m81}
function was fit to the average intensity profile.

Figure 5 shows position-velocity (PV) diagrams for each new HI cloud. Whereas clouds may be difficult to distinguish from the extended HI emission associated with major galaxies and tidal streams in the column density maps, the HI spectra and position-velocity diagrams show the distinct nature of these new clouds.

We have compared the GBT observations with the VLA images from Yun et al. (1993). Below, we describe the HI distribution found in the VLA images at the locations of each cloud. The combined GBT and VLA images are presented in Langston et al., (in prep), along with a description of the process of combining GBT and VLA images.

6.1. Cloud 1

Cloud 1 is located to the north-west of M82, separated by approximately 31 kpc in projection. It is clearly separated from M82. It is detected in the velocity range 132 to 204 km s\(^{-1}\), with peak emission at 165 km s\(^{-1}\). We calculate a mass of \(1.47 \pm 0.35 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) for Cloud 1.

Cloud 1 lies outside the region imaged by Yun et al. (1993), and no VLA images are currently available for this area. VLA observations are scheduled to supplement the previous work.

6.2. Cloud 2

Cloud 2 sits immediately to the south-east of NGC 3077, at a distance of 23 kpc. It is a distinct clump of HI situated within a filamentary structure that extends from NGC3077 south and west, encompassing the dwarf galaxies BK5N, IKN, and K64. The cloud is in the upper range of the filament velocity. The morphology of the filament to the west of the cloud suggests that it may be plunging into NGC3077. Alternatively, the cloud may be gas blown out of the galaxy by star formation.

Cloud 2 is detected in the range -162 to -69 km s\(^{-1}\), with peak emission at -105 km s\(^{-1}\). We calculate a mass of \(2.25 \pm 0.49 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) for Cloud 2.

Cloud 2 is very weakly visible in the VLA images of Yun et al. (1993). Clearly the VLA images are missing diffuse emission associated with this cloud. Other regions have similar strength in the VLA images, but are significantly weaker in the GBT images. A weak secondary peak in the GBT image, at 10°02'12.3", 68°20'34", is also visible in the VLA image.

This cloud and the associated filament may be associated with the clouds in the same vicinity detected by Brinks et al. (2007) using the VLA. We will seek to confirm the detections by Brinks et al. (2007) with our scheduled VLA observations of the region.

6.3. Cloud 3

Cloud 3 is located 27 kpc to the north-east of NGC 2976. It is distinct from NGC 2976. Cloud 3 overlaps with the velocity range of the Galaxy, making properties difficult to determine accurately. Cloud 3 is detected in the range -17 to 86 km s\(^{-1}\), with peak emission at 11 km s\(^{-1}\). We calculate a mass of \(2.67 \pm 0.65 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) for Cloud 3.

Cloud 3 is clearly resolved in the GBT image but barely detected above the background noise in the VLA images of Yun et al. (1993).

6.4. Cloud 4

Cloud 4 is located to the west of the HI bridge between M81 and M82. It is clearly bound to the HI bridge, but is a distinct clump. Cloud 4 also overlaps with the velocity range of the Galaxy. Cloud 4 is detected in the range -19 to 111 km s\(^{-1}\), with peak emission at 72 km s\(^{-1}\). We calculate a mass of \(8.37 \pm 1.75 \times 10^7 M_\odot\) for Cloud 4.

Although Cloud 4 is located equidistant from M81 and M82 at a projected distance of 33 kpc from both galaxies, it is most likely associated with M81, as it is much closer to M81 in velocity.

In the HI images of Yun et al. (1993), cloud 4 has the most compact structure of our newly detected clouds. The peak in the VLA emission in this region is very close to the center of the GBT detection.

6.5. Cloud 5

Cloud 5 is to the south of M82, located approximately halfway between M81 and M82 (separated by 18 kpc from both galaxies). Cloud 5 is only distinct from M82 in a small range of velocities. It
is unclear whether the cloud is actually a separate feature from M82; it appears as a red shoulder in the HI profile. In order to calculated the mass of the cloud a Gaussian was fit to the HI spectrum in the peak range where the cloud was detected. The cloud is detected from approximately 267 to 302 km s$^{-1}$, peaking at approximately 280 km s$^{-1}$. Since the HI profile of the cloud is blended with M82, the calculated mass is difficult to determine. The results of the Gaussian fit give a mass of 0.69 ± 0.27 × 10$^7$ M$_\odot$.

Cloud 5 is a large diffuse region in the maps of Yun et al. (1993), only a few sigma above the noise in the VLA images.

### 6.6. Other Structures

All HI cloud detections have some level of HI structure surrounding them, connecting them to the associated galaxy. In particular, Cloud 2 is a clump within a pronounced filament to the southwest of NGC 3077. This cloud/filament structure may be associated with the dwarf galaxies BK5N, IKN, and K64, as they are close in projection. The velocity of K64 is known, at ($v_{K64} = -18$ km s$^{-1}$), however, the detected velocities of the cloud and filament are within about 90 km s$^{-1}$ of $v_{K64}$, so association is certainly possible.

Cloud 4 appears to be quite tightly bound to the HI bridge connecting M81 and M82.

### 7. Comparison With Numerical Simulation

A useful insight on whether the newly discovered HI clouds are part of the system of tidal debris produced by the recent collisions involving M81, M82, and NGC 3077 can be obtained by comparing their observed locations and kinematics with the results of a numerical simulation of the tidal interactions in this group. Such a comparison can be made with the simulation of Yun (1999).

The simulation by Yun (1999) is primarily an illustrative model in that only the velocity field of tidal debris is mapped using a limited three-body calculation, rather than employing a full N-body calculation. On the other hand, this approach has been shown to be effective in tracing the location and kinematics of tidally disrupted HI disks as the HI emitting clouds originate from a dynamically cold structure (Toomre & Toomre 1972). This simulation suggests that nearly all of the observed HI features in the M81 group are consistent with being part of the tidally driven structures resulting from the collisions involving all three galaxies during the last 200-300 Myrs – see Yun (1999) for more detailed discussions. Specifically, the locations of the Clouds 1, 2, 4, and 5 are coincident with the locations of tidally sprayed material from the individual disks. While a chance coincidence cannot be ruled out, this comparison suggests that the origin of these HI clouds are tidally disrupted disk material, rather than a kinematically distinct satellite in the group. A more sophisticated, self-consistent future numerical simulation will offer an improved understanding on the nature of these HI clouds.

### 8. Conclusions

We have detected 5 new HI clouds in the M81/M82 galaxy group, all with associated filamentary structures of HI gas. We do not find a population of clouds at velocities between -605 and -105 km s$^{-1}$ or between 280 and 1970 km s$^{-1}$. All of the objects have properties similar to those of clouds previously found in our galaxy and other nearby galaxies. The newly detected clouds have small distance offsets from larger neighboring galaxies and also have small velocity offsets.

We have also measured the mass of group galaxies, obtaining values in agreement with previous measurements, and calculated masses for our new objects. The detected HI clouds have masses ranging from 0.69 to 8.37 × 10$^7$ M$_\odot$. These cloud masses are larger than the recently discovered clouds near M31, and further high angular resolution images with greater sensitivity will likely yield detection of lower mass clouds.

The region was searched for clouds from -605 to -85 km s$^{-1}$ and 25 to 1970 km s$^{-1}$. With a 7σ detection threshold of 9.6 × 10$^5$ M$_\odot$, clouds were found only within 120 km s$^{-1}$ and 35 kpc in projection of the galaxy with which they are associated. Therefore, it is likely that these clouds are part of the current interactions ongoing in the galaxy group.

Previous studies of HI clouds have been focused on the Milky Way and M31. Both of these galaxies are in a fairly relaxed state, and not currently
undergoing strong interaction with other galaxies. The M81/M82 group, in contrast, is obviously interacting. Therefore, the study of HI clouds and other structures in the group is very important in order to understand the full lifetime of these structures in relation to galaxy interactions. Our results are inconsistent with models of primordial HI clouds falling into the cluster. Perhaps this conclusion may be extended to the HVCs in the Milky Way; however, the clouds must be studied further to make this comparison.

Numerical modeling of ongoing interactions in the group are in progress [Chynoweth et al. 2008]. Simulations will improve on the model of Yun (1999) by utilizing a fully self-consistent N-body model of the galaxy group, with particular emphasis on reproducing the isolated HI structures observed. Follow-up VLA observations of our newly detected clouds are scheduled, and we are preparing a description of combined VLA and GBT images of these clouds. These higher angular resolution interferometer images will be combined with GBT data to facilitate numerical modeling. Observations at higher spatial resolution will also be useful in order to probe the fine structure of these objects and detect objects with a smaller angular size.

Facilities: GBT.
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Table 1: Known Galaxies in the M81 Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>(\alpha^{b}) (J2000)</th>
<th>(\delta^{b}) (J2000)</th>
<th>(V_{hel}^{c}) (km s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>(D_{maj}^{d})</th>
<th>(D_{min}^{d})</th>
<th>Inclination(^{e})</th>
<th>E of N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M 81</td>
<td>09(^{h})55(^{m})33.5(^{s})</td>
<td>69(^{\circ})03(^{\prime})06(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho IX</td>
<td>09(^{h})57(^{m})32.4(^{s})</td>
<td>69(^{\circ})02(^{\prime})35(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Irr</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK 3N</td>
<td>09(^{h})53(^{m})48.5(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})58(^{\prime})09(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>Irr</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A0952+69</td>
<td>09(^{h})57(^{m})29.0(^{s})</td>
<td>69(^{\circ})16(^{\prime})20(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Irr</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDG 61</td>
<td>09(^{h})57(^{m})02.7(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})35(^{\prime})30(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>-116</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 82</td>
<td>09(^{h})55(^{m})53.9(^{s})</td>
<td>69(^{\circ})40(^{\prime})57(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>Irr</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-114</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 3077</td>
<td>10(^{h})03(^{m})21.0(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})44(^{\prime})02(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Irr</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garland(^{d})</td>
<td>10(^{h})03(^{m})42.7(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})41(^{\prime})27(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Im</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK 1N(^{f})</td>
<td>09(^{h})45(^{m})14.3(^{s})</td>
<td>69(^{\circ})23(^{\prime})23(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 1</td>
<td>09(^{h})45(^{m})10.0(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})45(^{\prime})54(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK 5N</td>
<td>10(^{h})04(^{m})40.3(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})15(^{\prime})20(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IKN(^{g})</td>
<td>10(^{h})08(^{m})05.9(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})23(^{\prime})57(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC 2976(^{g})</td>
<td>09(^{h})47(^{m})15.6(^{s})</td>
<td>67(^{\circ})54(^{\prime})49(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U5423(^{g})</td>
<td>10(^{h})05(^{m})30.6(^{s})</td>
<td>70(^{\circ})21(^{\prime})52(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>BCG</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDG 64(^{g})</td>
<td>10(^{h})07(^{m})01.9(^{s})</td>
<td>67(^{\circ})49(^{\prime})39(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KK 77</td>
<td>09(^{h})50(^{m})10.0(^{s})</td>
<td>67(^{\circ})30(^{\prime})24(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Sph</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC 2574(^{g})</td>
<td>10(^{h})28(^{m})22.4(^{s})</td>
<td>68(^{\circ})24(^{\prime})58(^{\prime\prime})</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Within observed area
\(^{b}\)From Karachentsev et al. (2004)
\(^{c}\)From Karachentsev et al. (2004) for major galaxies, Yun (1999) for others
\(^{d}\)From Karachentsev et al. (2002)
\(^{e}\)From Appleton et al. (1981)
\(^{f}\)From Huchtmeier \\& Skillman (1998).
\(^{g}\)May not be contained entirely within our search area

Table 2: Parameters of the Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter:</td>
<td>100 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamwidth (FWHM):</td>
<td>9.1 '</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear resolution</td>
<td>2.7 (D_{Mpc}) kpc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aperture efficiency</td>
<td>(\sim 0.69)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Temperature</td>
<td>(\sim 19.5) K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrometer:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth:</td>
<td>50 MHz (10550 km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution, Hanning Smoothed:</td>
<td>6.1 kHz (1.29 km s(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Observations Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area:</th>
<th>α range (J2000)</th>
<th>δ range (J2000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>08°25′31.9″ - 11°25′31.9″</td>
<td>67°33′25.7″ - 70°33′25.7″</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations:
- Center Frequency (MHz)......... 1410
- Bandwidth (MHz).................. 50
- Channel Width (kHz).............. 24.4
- Velocity Resolution (km s^{-1})... 5.2

Integration time (hours):
- Inner Region............... 3.88
- Expanded Area............. 6.75

Typical RMS noise (mK/channel):
- Inner Region............... 18
- Expanded Area............ 51

Sensitivity to H I (1σ):
- \( N_H \) (× 10^{17})
  - Inner Region............... 2.5
  - Expanded Area............... 7.0
- \( M_H \) (× 10^5 M⊙)
  - Inner Region............... 1.4
  - Expanded Area............... 3.9

Table 4: Calculated Galaxy Masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Field of Study</td>
<td>10.46 ± 2.86</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M81</td>
<td>2.67 ± 0.55</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M82</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC3077</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGC2976</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.11</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: H I Cloud Detections: Observed Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cloud</th>
<th>Association(^a)</th>
<th>( \alpha ) (J2000)</th>
<th>( \delta ) (J2000)</th>
<th>( \Delta D_{assoc} ) (kpc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M82</td>
<td>09°50′07.2″</td>
<td>69°55′56″</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NGC3077</td>
<td>10°02′50.7″</td>
<td>68°19′49″</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>NGC2976</td>
<td>09°52′44.9″</td>
<td>68°12′50″</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M81</td>
<td>10°01′45.0″</td>
<td>69°16′31″</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M82</td>
<td>09°55′06.3″</td>
<td>69°22′05″</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Most likely association, based on positional and velocity proximity
Fig. 1.— The contours show the GBT H i integrated column density map of the field observed. Contours are overlaid at 1500, 3000, 7500, 15000, 30000, 75000, 150000 and 300000 kJy/beam × km/sec. The velocity range included is -250 to 340 km s$^{-1}$. The integrated column density map from the VLA observations of Yun et al. (1994) is shown in greyscale over the range -400 to 4000 kJy/beam × km/sec.
Fig. 2.— $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ intensity profiles of major group galaxies, used to calculate $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ mass. From top left: M81, M82, NGC 3077, and NGC2976. Also included is the $\text{H} \, \text{I}$ spectrum of the entire area observed (bottom left). Note that the velocity range from -85 to 25 km s$^{-1}$ is interpolated to remove foreground gas.
Fig. 3.— Contour maps of each H i cloud candidate, overlaid with H i column density maps of the velocity range in which each cloud is detected. The greyscale is 0 to 12.5 kJy/beam × km/sec. Contour levels are 65 Jy/beam × km/sec × (10, 20, 50, 75, 100, 200, 500, 10000). The top left-hand panel shows the zoom regions for the contour plots; the upper box includes Clouds 1, 4, and 5 and the lower box includes Clouds 2 and 3. Below each map, the velocity range summed into the integrated intensity map is indicated.
Fig. 4.— H I profiles for all cloud detections. The solid line indicates the range that was summed in order to calculate the cloud mass. For Cloud 5, the data (dashed line) along with Gaussian fit for Cloud 5 (solid line) are plotted. Note that the velocity range from -85 to 25 km s$^{-1}$ is interpolated to remove foreground gas.
Fig. 5.— PV diagrams for all H i cloud detections. Each cloud is located approximately in the center of the diagram, marked by pointers. Each diagram extends ± 100 km s\(^{-1}\) from the cloud’s peak velocity. The PV cut runs through the center of the clouds in declination, and the diagram is centered on the cloud in right ascension. The greyscale runs from -0.02 to 0.2 Jy beam\(^{-1}\). The parent galaxy for each cloud are also visible in the PV diagrams.
Table 6: H i Cloud Detections: Derived Properties

| Cloud | Peak Flux Density (Jy) | Integrated Flux (Jy km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(V_{HI}\)\(^a\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma_V\)\(^a,b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(|V_{HI} - V_{assoc}\) | Mass \((\times 10^7 M_\odot)\) |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1     | 0.11 ± 0.08            | 0.91 ± 0.08                       | 165                       | 50                        | 38                       | 1.47 ± 0.35                   |
| 2     | 0.10 ± 0.08            | 1.39 ± 0.09                       | -105                      | 55                        | 119                      | 2.25 ± 0.49                   |
| 3     | 0.12 ± 0.08            | 1.65 ± 0.10                       | 11                        | 82                        | 8                        | 2.67 ± 0.65                   |
| 4     | 0.30 ± 0.08            | 5.18 ± 0.28                       | 72                        | 28                        | 106                      | 8.37 ± 1.75                   |
| 5     | 0.07 ± 0.08            | 0.43 ± 0.08                       | 280                       | 36                        | 77                       | 0.69 ± 0.27                   |

\(^a\)From Gaussian fit to H i profile

\(^b\)FWHM calculated from Gaussian fit