

# THE MEDIATING ROLE OF TIME ON THE EVALUATION OF TOURIST DESTINATION HOME PAGES

Item Type	article;event
Authors	Kim, Heejun;Fesenmaier, Daniel R.
Download date	2025-05-24 03:15:02
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14394/48126

# The Mediating Role of Time on the Evaluation of Tourist Destination Home Pages

Heejun Kim, Visiting scholar National Laboratory for Tourism & eCommerce (NLTeC) School of Tourism & Hospitality Management Temple University Philadelphia, Pennsylvania USA

Daniel R. Fesenmaier National Laboratory for Tourism & eCommerce (NLTeC) School of Tourism & Hospitality Management Temple University Philadelphia, Pennsylvania USA

# **INTRODUCTION**

Travel information search on the Internet is often initiated by using a search engine (such as Google) to find a useful website that supports the task of trip planning. Travelers' interaction with a search engine can be understood as an iterative process between a search engine website(s) and selected websites (Kim & Fesenmaier, 2005). Additionally, the selection of a website is in many cases made based upon the first impression induced through an instant interaction. Although studies of "first impression" have a long history in cognitive psychology in explaining human's decision-making and behavior, it has received relatively less attention from researchers in website development (Gladwell, 2005; Hu et al., 2004). First impression may be understood as a short-term attitude in a particular context and may have a long-lasting impact on the subsequent decision/behavior. However, it is different from "attitude" in that the focus is on an "instant" reaction towards an object being observed/evaluated. However, a very important question still remains unanswered on the mediating role of time on the formation of first impression. Based upon an understanding of the information search process of travel planners using the Internet, the goal of this study was to address the following research questions: 1) What is the time frame for travel information searchers to infer qualities of a website?, and 2) What are the design characteristics of a destination website that induces a favorable impression during the evaluation time of the website?

## **BACKGROUND**

The literature on persuasion and attitude change has demonstrated the importance of the order on measures of learning, memory, attitude, decision-making, and choice. It can be concluded that decision-making based upon first impression is consistent with the position effect (primacy) of messages in human information processing (Asch, 1946; Hovland, 1957). Primacy refers to the substantial influence of the first piece of information on the following decision-making/choice. A number of researchers (Ansari & Mesa, 2003; Drèze & Zufreden, 2004; Murphy, Hofacker, & Mizerski, 2006) have examined the order effect of advertising message to the online environment. They suggest that there is a monotonic effect between a link order (i.e., position of links in a webpage) and clicking behavior on links. These studies tracked information searchers' eye movement and actual behavior of click in email and webpages and found empirical evidence indicating that primacy was more likely to determine information searchers'

behavior in cyberspace. In other words, the higher a link's position in a list of links, the greater the probability that information searchers will click on that link.

One critical issue that must be examined in the formation of first impression is a mediating role of evaluation time on websites. According to the literature on advertising and communication, mere exposure to advertising contents can immediately induce message recipients' responses (including change of long-term attitude) (Zajonc, 1968; 2001). Additionally, merely being exposed to repeated messages has a direct positive impact in leading to a favorable affective response to a certain level by increasing familiarity. As such, timing effect (e.g., mere exposure, the number of frequency, and time frame of being exposed to, etc.) is very significant in understanding diverse consumer responses to an object.

# **METHODOLOGY**

This study was conducted with an aim of exploring the role of evaluation time on one's first impression of a destination website. The scales developed by Kim and Fesenmaier (2005) were adopted in this study to measure the persuasiveness and first impression towards destination webpages; the scales included the following six dimensions: 1. Informativeness, 2. Usability, 3. Credibility, 4. Inspiration, 5. Involvement, and 6. Reciprocity. Following Gretzel (2004), first impression was measured by assessing an overall impression toward the website using 11 items (including affective, cognitive, and overall impression) on a 7-point semantic differential scale. The literature on cognitive psychology indicates that humans form a first impression very quickly, (Hotchkiss, 2006; Lindgaard, Fernandes, Dudek, & Brown, 2006; Perfetti, 2005; Ramsey, 2004). Therefore, four different time frames (3 sec., 7 sec., 15 sec. and 30 sec.) were chosen to evaluate the impact of time.

The homepage is often the entry point to a website, and as a result, it was decided to use the homepages from fifty official state tourism websites as treatments. In order to minimize respondent fatigue each survey instrument included snapshots of 12 official state homepages; the snapshots of two major US cities were used as a warm-up exercise. A systematic random sampling design was used to allocate the home pages whereby every fourth state was selected from a list of US states listed in alphabetical order. The time frames were applied in a random order. In addition, the viewing time of each treatment was restricted whereby respondents could not review the home page for longer than the allotted time.

An online system was created to show subjects treatments and a paper-based questionnaire was provided so that respondents could record their answers. A "Don't Know" option was included in the questionnaire to infer the rationale of subjects' responses (i.e., the lack of time to examine webpages; an absence/presence of design cues helping/hindering Web visitors infer the quality). Students taking a class at a major university located in the east coast of the United States were invited to participate in the study through an instructor responsible for class. Extra credit was provided to increase a response rate.

## DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

Eighty seven students out of one hundred nineteen students participated in the study; in total, 1,131 webpages were evaluated; this represents a response rate of 73.1 percent. Data analysis was performed in three stages. First, a descriptive analysis was performed to provide a profile of subjects. Second, the evaluation rate of the design categories was obtained by exposure time to infer if subjects were able to detect the "cues" displaying quality of a website within the

given time. Last, multiple regression analyses were performed to examine the decisive design categories on the formation of an impression towards the respective home pages.

The results of multiple regression analysis are presented in Table 1. It can be seen that the majority of subjects were able to assess the informativeness, usability, inspiration, and involvement of the webpages at all time periods. Only about fifty percent of subjects detected the "cues" of credibility and reciprocity within three seconds; however, the completion rate of these two dimensions went up as the inspection time increased.

The result of multiple regression analyses indicates that inspiration-related design elements played a significant role in drawing a positive response to the treatments. The inspiration design category was significant (p < .05) at every exposure time and its effect was at least more than 2.5 times in every time threshold (B = .252 at 3 seconds; B = .346 at 7 seconds; B = .306 at 15 seconds; B = .275 at 30 seconds). Additional design factors with a significant impact were found at different time frames (3 seconds: Reciprocity; 7 seconds: Credibility; 15 seconds: Reciprocity; 30 seconds: Informativeness). It was discovered that an additional benefit (discounts, rewards, tailored service, etc.: the reciprocity design category) was the determinant of forming a favorable impression when a Web inspection was completed very instantly (3 seconds); however, the subjects valued more informativeness when they spent longer time for a treatment evaluation.

Table 1. The Influence of Perceived quality of Web Design Categories on the Formation of First Impression towards Tourists Destination Webpages by Inspection Time.

Dependent Variable: Overall Impression towards Tourists Destination Webpages Formed through an Interaction/Observation during the Given Time

Time 1: Inspiration	Multiple $R = .468$	$R^2 = .219$	Adjusted $R^2 = .197$		SE = .642		
Reciprocity							
Time 1:         Inspiration         .252         .059         .330         4.269         .000         ***           3 seconds         Information         .068         .085         .062         .797         .426           Usability         .058         .085         .049         .677         .499           Credibility         .038         .138         .020         .276         .783           Involvement        034         .105        028        322         .748           Constant         2.181         .459         4.750         .000           Multiple R = .597         R² = .357         Adjusted R² = .342         SE = .651           Time 2: Inspiration         346         .052         .410         .6678         .000         ***           7 seconds         Information         .039         .067         .040         .583         .560         .000         ***           7 seconds         Information         .039         .067         .019         .285         .776         .019         .285         .776         .019         .285         .776         .019         .285         .776         .019         .285         .776         .019 <td< th=""><th>Variable</th><th></th><th>SE B</th><th>Beta</th><th>T</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	Variable		SE B	Beta	T		
Information	Reciprocity	.334	.133	.182	2.508	.013	**
Usability	Inspiration	.252	.059	.330	4.269	.000	**
Credibility	Information	.068	.085	.062	.797	.426	
Credibility	Usability	.058	.085	.049	.677	.499	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		.038	.138	.020	.276	.783	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Involvement	034	.105	028	322	.748	
Independent   Variable   B   SE B   Beta   T   Sig.	Constant					.000	
Variable   B   SE B   Beta   T   Sig	Multiple R = .597	$R^2 = .357$	Adjusted $R^2 = .342$		SE = .651		
Time 2:	Independent						
Time 2: Inspiration							
Information				.253	4.007		**
Usability        022         .076        019        285         .776           Involvement         .039         .084         .033         .466         .642           Reciprocity         .084         .126         .042         .666         .506           Constant         1.304         .403         3.233         .001           Multiple R = .491 $R^2$ = .241         Adjusted $R^2$ = .227 $SE$ = .866           Independent Variable         B $SE$ B         Beta $T$ Sig.           Reciprocity         .417         .143         .173         2.924         .004         ***           Inspiration         .306         .065         .307         4.709         .004         ***           15 seconds         Information        031         .081        027        385         .701           Usability         .036         .094         .028         .385         .700           Credibility         .137         .117         .072         1.176         .240           Involvement         .099         .105         .069         .942         .347           Constant <td< td=""><td>Inspiration</td><td>.346</td><td>.052</td><td>.410</td><td>6.678</td><td>.000</td><td>**</td></td<>	Inspiration	.346	.052	.410	6.678	.000	**
Involvement Reciprocity   .084   .126   .042   .666   .506   .506   .506   .506   .506   .304   .403   .3233   .001	Information	.039	.067	.040	.583	.560	
Reciprocity Constant         .084 1.304         .126 .042 .0666 .506 .506 .001         .506 .001           Multiple R = .491 $R^2$ = .241         Adjusted $R^2$ = .227 $SE$ = .866           Independent Variable         B $SE$ B         Beta $T$ Sig.           Reciprocity         .417         .143         .173         2.924         .004         ***           15 seconds         Information         .306         .065         .307         4.709         .004         ***           15 seconds         Information        031         .081        027        385         .701           Usability         .036         .094         .028         .385         .700           Credibility         .137         .117         .072         1.176         .240           Involvement         .099         .105         .069         .942         .347           Constant         1.585         .445         3.561         .000           Multiple R = .599 $R^2$ = .358         Adjusted $R^2$ = .342 $SE$ = .790           Independent         Variable         B $SE$ B         Beta $T$ Sig.           Time 4:         I	Usability	022	.076	019	285	.776	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Involvement	.039	.084	.033	.466	.642	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Reciprocity	.084	.126	.042	.666	.506	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Constant	1.304	.403		3.233	.001	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c } \hline & Variable & B & SEB & Beta & T & Sig. \\ \hline \textbf{Reciprocity} & .417 & .143 & .173 & 2.924 & .004 & **1 \\ \hline \textbf{Reciprocity} & .306 & .065 & .307 & 4.709 & .004 & **1 \\ \hline \textbf{1.5 seconds} & Information &031 & .081 &027 &385 & .701 \\ \hline \textbf{Usability} & .036 & .094 & .028 & .385 & .700 \\ \hline \textbf{Credibility} & .137 & .117 & .072 & 1.176 & .240 \\ \hline \textbf{Involvement} & .099 & .105 & .069 & .942 & .347 \\ \hline \textbf{Constant} & \textbf{1.585} & \textbf{.445} & \textbf{3.561} & \textbf{.000} \\ \hline \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \textbf{Multiple R} = .599 & R^2 = .358 & Adjusted R^2 = .342 & SE = .790 \\ \hline \textbf{Independent} & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \textbf{Variable} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \textbf{Inspiration} & \textbf{.416} & \textbf{.074} & \textbf{.384} & \textbf{5.653} & \textbf{.000} & **3 \\ \hline \textbf{Time 4:} & \textbf{Information} & \textbf{.275} & \textbf{.092} & \textbf{.243} & \textbf{2.991} & \textbf{.003} & **3 \\ \hline \textbf{S0 seconds} & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \textbf{Involvement} & .222 & .117 & .155 & 1.898 & .059 \\ \hline \textbf{Usability} & .004 & .105 & .003 & .042 & .967 \\ \hline \textbf{Credibility} & .147 & .118 & .091 & -1.251 & .212 \\ \hline \textbf{Reciprocity} & .159 & .110 & .094 & -1.440 & .151 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Multiple R = .491	$R^2 = .241$	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> =	= .227	SE = .866		
Reciprocity         .417         .143         .173         2.924         .004         **           Inspiration         .306         .065         .307         4.709         .004         **           15 seconds         Information        031         .081        027        385         .701           Usability         .036         .094         .028         .385         .700           Credibility         .137         .117         .072         1.176         .240           Involvement         .099         .105         .069         .942         .347           Constant         1.585         .445         3.561         .000           Multiple R = .599 $R^2 = .358$ Adjusted $R^2 = .342$ $SE = .790$ Independent         Variable         B         SE B         Beta         T         Sig.           Time 4:         Information         .416         .074         .384         5.653         .000         **           30 seconds         Involvement         .222         .117         .155         1.898         .059           Usability         .004         .105         .003         .042         .967	Independent						
Time 3:         Inspiration         .306         .065         .307         4.709         .004         ***           15 seconds         Information        031         .081        027        385         .701           Usability         .036         .094         .028         .385         .700           Credibility         .137         .117         .072         1.176         .240           Involvement         .099         .105         .069         .942         .347           Constant         1.585         .445         3.561         .000           Multiple $R = .599$ $R^2 = .358$ Adjusted $R^2 = .342$ $SE = .790$ Independent         Variable         B $SE$ B         Beta         T         Sig.           Time 4:         Information         .416         .074         .384         5.653         .000         **           30 seconds         Involvement         .222         .117         .155         1.898         .059           Usability         .004         .105         .003         .042         .967           Credibility         .147         .118        091         -1.251         .212	Variable	В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig.	
Information   Credibility   Credibility   Constant   Constant	Reciprocity	.417	.143	.173	2.924	.004	**
Usability       .036       .094       .028       .385       .700         Credibility       .137       .117       .072       1.176       .240         Involvement       .099       .105       .069       .942       .347         Constant       1.585       .445       3.561       .000         Multiple R = .599 $R^2 = .358$ Adjusted $R^2 = .342$ $SE = .790$ Independent       Variable       B $SE$ B       Beta $T$ Sig.         Inspiration       .416       .074       .384       5.653       .000       ***         30 seconds       Involvement       .275       .092       .243       2.991       .003       ***         Usability       .004       .105       .003       .042       .967         Credibility      147       .118      091       -1.251       .212         Reciprocity      159       .110      094       -1.440       .151	Inspiration	.306	.065	.307	4.709	.004	**
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Information	031	.081	027	385	.701	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Usability	.036	.094	.028	.385	.700	
Involvement Constant         .099 .105 .069 .942 .347           Multiple R = .599 Independent Variable $R^2$ = .358 Adjusted $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .790 Independent Variable $R^2$ = .358 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .790 Independent Variable $R^2$ = .358 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .342 $R^2$ = .790 Independent $R^2$ = .342 $R$		.137	.117	.072	1.176	.240	
Multiple R = .599 $R^2$ = .358         Adjusted $R^2$ = .342 $SE$ = .790           Independent         Variable         B $SE$ B         Beta         T         Sig.           Inspiration         .416         .074         .384         5.653         .000         **           Time 4:         Information         .275         .092         .243         2.991         .003         **           30 seconds         Involvement         .222         .117         .155         1.898         .059           Usability         .004         .105         .003         .042         .967           Credibility        147         .118        091         -1.251         .212           Reciprocity        159         .110        094         -1.440         .151				.069			
Independent   Variable   B   SE B   Beta   T   Sig.							
Variable   B   SE B   Beta   T   Sig.	1	$R^2 = .358$	Adjusted $R^2 = .342$		SE = .790		
Inspiration		В	SE B	Beta	T	Sig.	
Time 4: Information .275 .092 .243 2.991 .003 **							**
80 seconds       Involvement       .222       .117       .155       1.898       .059         Usability       .004       .105       .003       .042       .967         Credibility      147       .118      091       -1.251       .212         Reciprocity      159       .110      094       -1.440       .151							**
Usability       .004       .105       .003       .042       .967         Credibility      147       .118      091       -1.251       .212         Reciprocity      159       .110      094       -1.440       .151							
Credibility        147         .118        091         -1.251         .212           Reciprocity        159         .110        094         -1.440         .151							
Reciprocity159 .110094 -1.440 .151							
				024			
		Independent Variable  Reciprocity Inspiration Information Usability Credibility Involvement Constant  Multiple R = .597  Independent Variable  Credibility Inspiration Information Usability Involvement Reciprocity Constant  Multiple R = .491  Independent Variable  Reciprocity Inspiration Information Usability Credibility Involvement Variable  Reciprocity Inspiration Information Usability Credibility Involvement Constant  Multiple R = .599 Independent Variable Inspiration Information Information Involvement Usability Credibility Credibility Credibility Credibility	Independent   Variable   B     Reciprocity   .334   Inspiration   .252   Information   .068   Usability   .058   Credibility   .038   Involvement   .034   Constant   2.181     Multiple R = .597   R² = .357   Independent   Variable   B     Eredibility   .039   Usability   .022   Involvement   .039   Usability   .022   Involvement   .039   Reciprocity   .084   Constant   1.304     Multiple R = .491   R² = .241   Independent   Variable   B   Reciprocity   .031   Usability   .036   Information   .031   Usability   .036   Information   .031   Usability   .036   Credibility   .137   Involvement   .099   Constant   .585     Multiple R = .599   R² = .358   Independent   Variable   B   Inspiration   .416   Information   .275   Involvement   .222   Usability   .004   Credibility   .147   Reciprocity   .159     .159	Independent	Independent   Variable   B   SE B   Beta	Independent	Independent   Variable   B   SE B   Beta   T   Sig.

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

This study examined the impact of time within the context of website evaluation. The results indicate that the subjects were able to find the necessary "cues" conveying quality of destination website and that the impact of these cues varies significantly depending upon the length of time taken to inspect the website. The findings of this study suggest that it is extremely worthwhile for tourist destination marketers to integrate the effect of inspection time on websites into their website design. That is, the capability of users to infer website quality in a quick manner must be reflected into the website design.

The primary role of DMOs' websites is long believed to be a provision of travel information to potential tourists. Destination marketers have strived to include variety, useful, accurate information to meet the informational needs of the visitor. The finding of this study, however, suggests that such an endeavor could be in vain if the format of website is not supportive to its contents. That is, Web contents must be presented in the optimized format. Thus, format cannot be separated from content because it is a tool delivering the "value" of website. In that sense, destination websites must assign the design "cues" in an easily prominent place of a webpage. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that destination homepages include the combination of the design factors with the greatest impact on the formation of favorableness for an information source.

#### REFERENCES

- Ansari, A., & Mesa, C. F. (2003). E-customization. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 40(2), 131-145.
- Asch, S. E. (1946). Forming Impressions on Personality. *Journal of Abnormal & Social Psychology*, 41, 258-290.
- Brown, S. P., & Stayman, D. M. (1992). Antecedents and Consequences of Attitude Toward the Ad: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 19(1), 34-51.
- Drèze, X., & Zufreden, F. (2004). The Measurement of Online Visibility and Its Impact on Internet Traffic. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), 20-37.
- Gretzel, U. (2004). Consumer Responses to Preference Elicitation Processes in Destination Recommendation Systems. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Geissler, G., Zinkhan, G., & Watson, R. T. (2001). Web Home Page Complexity and Communication Effectiveness. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 2(2), 1-48.
- Gladwell, M. (2005). The Power of Thinking without Thinking: Little, Brown & Company.
- Hotchkiss, G. (2006). *The 50 Millisecond Judgment*. from http://www.searchengineguide.com/hotchkiss/2006/0206\_gh1.html.
- Hovland, C. I. (1957). *The Order of Presentation in Persuasion*. Vol. 1 of Yale Studies in Attitude & Communication. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Hu, J., Shima, K., Oehlmann, R., Zhao, J., Takemura, Y., & Matsumoto, K. I. (2004). An Empirical Study on Audience Impressions of B2C Web Pages in Japan, China and the UK. *Electronic Commerce Research & Applications*, *3*, 176-189.
- Kim, H. J., & Fesenmaier, D. R. (2005). *Persuasive Design of Tourism Websites in the United States*. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Travel and Tourism Research Association, New Orleans: LA.

- Lavidge, R. J., & Steiner, G. A. (1961). A Model for Predictive Measurements of Advertising Effectiveness. *Journal of Marketing*, 25(October), 59-62.
- Lindgaard, H., Fernandes, G., Dudek, C., & Brown, J. (2006). Attention Web Designers: You have 50 Milliseconds to Make a Good First Impression! *Behavior & Information Technology*, 25(2), 115-126.
- Murphy, J., Hofacker, C., & Mizerski, R. (2006). Primacy and Recency Effects on Clicking Behavior [Electronic Version]. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 11, from jcmc.indiana.edu/vol11/issue2/murphy.html.
- Perfetti, C. (2005). Five-Seconds Tests: Measuring Your Site's Content Pages [Electronic Version] from http://www.uie.com/articles/five\_second\_test/.
- Pirolli, P., & Card. S. (1999). Information foraging. *Psychological Review*, 106, 643–675.
- Ramsey, L. (2004). *How to Seal the Deal In Seven Seconds*. from http://www.jobbankusa.com/CareerArticles/Personal\_Aspects/ca80304b.html.
- Zajonc, R. B. (2001). Mere Exposure: A Gateway to the Subliminal. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 10(6), 224-228.
- Zajonc, R. B. (1968) Attitudinal Effects of Mere Exposure, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 9, Monongraph Supplement No. 2, Part 2.

## **Contact information:**

Heejun Kim, Visiting scholar
National Laboratory for Tourism & eCommerce (NLTeC)
School of Tourism & Hospitality Management
Temple University
1700 North Broad Street, Suite 201
Philadelphia, PA 19122-0840
(215) 204-5612 voice
(215) 204-8705 fax
hkim12@uiuc.edu