



University of
Massachusetts
Amherst

Predicting Online Travel Purchases: The Case of Switzerland

Item Type	article;event
Authors	Laesser, Christian
Download date	2026-05-13 03:27:07
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14394/48354

Predicting Online Travel Purchases: The Case of Switzerland

Christian Laesser, PhD
Professor of Tourism and Service Management
Institute for Public Services and Tourism, University of St. Gallen
Dufourstrasse 40a
CH-9000 St. Gallen
Phone: +41 (0)71 224-2525
Fax: +41 (0)71 224-2536
Mail: christian.laesser@unisg.ch
URL: http://www.alexandria.unisg.ch/Personen/Person/L/Christian_Laesser

Category of paper: Extended Abstract
Theme: Market and Marketing Research
Methodological approach: Binary logistic regression

ABSTRACT

This paper examines why and under what conditions prospective travelers complete their bookings through online services compared to other methods. The study is based on a representative survey within 1,898 Swiss households, recording their travel behavior during the entire year 2007. The results show that the likelihood of booking online increases if someone is drawn to a website to gather information in the first place, and if the product sold through the website is transparent and well-understood (either *per se* or because the customer is familiar with the product), or if any other booking-related communication would impose a financial charge, independent of the socio-demographic background of the prospective traveler.

Key words:

Online
Internet
Travel Booking
Switzerland

INTRODUCTION

In the past ten years, the internet has become the predominant carrier of all types of information and transactions. Consequently, the travel and tourism industry tries to increase the internet-specific share of sales volumes. This paper thus examines why and under what situations and conditions people make their bookings online or by other means, by predicting online bookings as a result of given travel and socio-demographic variables. The case is Switzerland, which can be considered a mature travel market, due to its travel behavior characteristics and travel experience (Bieger and Laesser, 2008).

LITERATURE REVIEW

The body of knowledge on online travel purchase behavior can be split into two groups: one investigating system-driven online booking behavior, the other looking at situational characteristics of the traveler.

Regarding system-driven online booking behavior, Klein et al. (2004) point out that the current fragmented market structure, as well as product complexity, are among the major impediments for online bookings. In addition, a trip requires a portfolio of decisions on mutually constrained multiple components (Becken and Gnoth, 2004; Tay et al., 1996, Hyde and Laesser, 2009). Those elements are also associated with differences in travel behavior (Woodside and King, 2001; Sirakaya and Woodside, 2005). Additionally, and as Gianforte (2003) and Weber and Roehl (1999) point out, the characteristics of the online source itself determine whether it is used for online bookings or not. Such characteristics include ease of use, availability of support, availability of information, credit card security, evaluation of product quality, and privacy issues — all of which potentially impede customers from purchasing online.

Regarding the situational characteristics of the traveler, a study by Hueng (2003) found that travelers predominantly from Western countries with higher education levels and higher annual household income are more likely to use the internet for purchasing travel services (Hueng, 2003). They are also more likely to have travel (and sometimes destination) experience, which also makes them amenable to online bookings of travel purchases (Chen and Gursoy, 2000; Jun et al, 2007). Another driver for internet purchases lies in the use of the internet as a tool for information search. Bonn et al. (1999) and Susskind et al. (2003) demonstrate how the use of the internet as an information source increases the likelihood of purchases via that medium too.

From the literature reviewed in this section, we propose that persons buying travel online are: (1) well educated and (2) hold professional positions which generate above-average incomes, which allow them to (3) spend more than average on a trip. They have (4) destination experience and (5) use the internet more than others as a source of information. We further hypothesize that a measurable association exists between the components of the portfolio decision “travel” and the likelihood of purchasing travel online.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Data Collection and Data Treatment: This study is based on data from an extensive representative survey of travel behavior within the Swiss population (citizens, naturalized and foreign citizens — refer to “Travel Market Switzerland 2007” by Bieger and Laesser, 2008). The unit of analysis is “trip cases;” with these defined as a leisure journey by individuals with at least one overnight stay outside their residence community. The sample involves 1,898 households, incorporating 4,387 persons undertaking an overall of 10,903 person trips.

Test and control group (data treatment): Two groups were defined and a corresponding binary variable was created. The test group (coded 1) comprised of trips whose core elements (either accommodation, transportation, or package) were booked online whereas the control group (coded 0) comprised of trips whose core elements were NOT booked online.

Data Analysis: Based on the hypotheses formulated from the literature review, a number of variables characterizing a trip were stepwise binary regressed towards the independent (0/1) variable. If the variable was categorical, we would use indicator contrasts, taking into account the presence or absence of category membership, compared to a reference category.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For details with regard to the results, please refer to table 1 (at the end of paper).

The data fit the model quite well, with -2 log likelihood amounting to 5138.98 and Nagelkerke R square amounting to .441. Eighty-eight percent of the “no” cases and 60 percent of the “yes” cases were correctly classified.

In relation to our propositions, we can draw the following conclusions: persons buying travel online are: (1) very well educated (REJECTED), and (2) hold professional positions which generate above-average incomes (SUPPORTED with regard to professional position; REJECTED with regard to income), which allows them to (3) spend more than average on a trip (REJECTED). They have (4) destination experience (REJECTED), and (5) use the internet more than others as a source of information (SUPPORTED). In addition, a measurable association exists between the components of the portfolio decision “travel” and the likelihood to purchase travel online (SUPPORTED).

A number of additional results emerged from our analysis.

(1) The closer the destination is to Switzerland, and the larger the number of previous trips, the unlikelier are online purchases to occur. This is also the case with regard to the number of participating members, where a high figure leads to a decrease in online bookings.

(2) With regard to the type of trip, the model coefficients reveal that one-stop trips (city and event trip) increases the likelihood of online bookings; whereas more complex types of trip (such as touring with multiple stops) decrease the likelihood. Several other types of trips were revealed to be non-significant, including, for instance, beach holidays.

(3) Unsurprisingly, the use of all websites except those of tour operators or travel agents leads to a higher likelihood of online purchases than any other sources of information. The prolongation of the planning horizon between the moment of decision making and booking (that is, the time used for consulting sources of information prior to purchase) slightly decreases the likelihood that at the end of this process, components of a trip are booked online.

(4) Individual travel is associated with quite a small propensity to book online (refer to the constant). Single package travel increases this likelihood by 32 percent. In contrast, group package travel (with a guide, at fixed times) diminishes the chance that such trips are booked online by more than 40 percent.

(5) Contrary to the position proposed in previous studies (discussed in the literature review), neither income nor travel expenditures are associated with a substantially higher or lower likelihood of online purchases.

CONCLUSION

The likelihood of using online booking devices generating transactions in tourism can be reasonably well explained by the model adopted. In a more in-depth analysis of the outcomes of our study, several interesting issues arise.

First, the results of this study contest some of the outcomes of earlier studies in this field. At least in the context of Switzerland the level of education does not help explain why someone might book online or not. Moreover, income does not matter either, despite the fact that professional occupation (which generates different incomes) contributes to why someone books online or not.

Second, the results lead to the introduction of important new dimensions supporting online booking, including trip specifics such as motivation (the significant items are mostly conversely correlated to online booking) and the complexity of trip (for example, the number of booking elements and stops are mostly inversely correlated to online booking). However, the study also reveals that complexity exists within an individual construct: while city trips or single package tours with no tour guide may easily explain an increased likelihood of online booking for a given customer group, this does not hold true for beach holidays (the coefficient is not significantly different from zero). In contrast, multi-stop touring holidays are complex enough for all customer groups to decrease their likelihood of being purchased by online bookings.

Third, existing travel experiences with a chosen destination, and thus availability of internal information, are consistently associated (inversely) with online booking. Further, a limited number of media breaks exist in the relationship between looking (information search) and booking: users of brochures as information sources are less likely to book online than users of websites. However, the exception to that rule occurs when someone using a website of a travel agency or tour operator to gather information is less likely to book online than users of any other website. Where significant, oral advice from national tourist offices in Switzerland, as well as rail services, increase the likelihood of online booking (and thus result in a media break), because national tourist offices mostly do not take bookings, and rail services impose a fee on oral communication. However, again, there is one exception to that rule: once customers start to communicate orally with a travel agent to collect information, they are unlikely to go back online to make their bookings (advice from DMOs turned out to be non-significant).

Fourth, and finally, examining of the use of an airline website as source of information reveals the highest likelihood of online booking among all websites. In contrast, no means of transportation (including flights) produces significant model coefficients. In light of the above discussion, this result might be an indicator for the following proposition:

The likelihood of someone booking online increases if they were drawn to a website to gather information in the first place, AND if the product sold through this website is as transparent and well-understood as possible, OR if any other booking communication would incur a financial charge, independent of the socio-demographic background of the prospective traveler.

Further research should therefore include a more in-depth analysis of: (1) specific product characteristics and (2) information sourcing process supporting or hampering online booking. Such studies are of particular importance for the travel industry, which needs to communicate with their target groups as efficiently as possible, and so must make some tough decisions about which channels and media to choose to target each type of customer.

References

- Becken, S., & Gnoth, J. (2003). Tourist consumption systems among overseas visitors: Reporting on American, German, and Australian visitors to New Zealand. *Tourism Management*, 25(3), 375–385.
- Bieger, Th., & Laesser, Ch. (2004). Information sources for travel decisions: Toward a source process model. *Journal of Travel Research*, 42(4), 357–371.
- Bieger, Th., & Laesser, Ch. (2008). Travel Market Switzerland: Basic report and database specification. St. Gallen: Institute for Public Services and Tourism.
- Bonn, M. A., Furr, H. L. & Susskind, A. M. (1999). Predicting a behavioral profile for pleasure travelers on the basis of Internet use segmentation. *Journal of Travel Research*, 37(4), 333–340.
- Bradianthan, R., Cannizzaro, M., Salgado Criado, J., Jaeger, O., Papenhoff, M. & Volpi, R. (2007). *PhoCusWright's European online travel overview* 3rd ed. Sherman and Düsseldorf: PhocusWright Inc.
- Cai, L. A., Feng, R. & Breiter, D. (2004). Tourist purchase decision involvement and information preferences. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 10(2), 138–148.
- Chen, J. S., & Gursoy, D. (2000). Cross-cultural comparison of the information sources used by first-time and repeat travelers and its marketing implications. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 19(2), 191–203.
- Dolnicar, S., & Laesser, C. (2007). Travel Agency Marketing Strategy: Insights from Switzerland. *Journal of Travel Research*, 46(2), 133-146.
- Gianforte, G. (2003). The world at our fingertips: How online travel companies can turn clicks into bookings. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 10(1), 79–86.
- Harris, L., & Duckworth, K. (2005). The future of the independent travel agent: The need for strategic choice. *Strategic Change*, 14(4), 209–218.
- Hueng, V. C. S. (2003). Internet usage by international travellers: Reasons and barriers. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 15(7), 370–378.
- Hyde, K., & Laesser, Ch. (2009). A structural theory of the vacation. *Tourism Management*, 30(2), 240-248.
- ICT Information and Communication Technology Switzerland (2005). *ICT Fakten [ICT facts]*. Available from <http://www.ictswitzerland.ch/de/ict%2Dfakten/factsfigures.asp> (retrieved December 12, 2005) (in German).
- Jun, S. H., Vogt, Ch. A. & Mackay, K. J. (2007). Relationships between travel information search and travel product purchase in pretrip contexts. *Journal of Travel Research*, 45(3), 266–274.
- Klein, S., Kohne, F. & Oorni, A. (2004). Barriers to online booking of scheduled airline tickets. *Journal of Travel Tourism Marketing*, 17(2,3), 27–39.
- Schwartz, N., & Zea, M. (1999). Surfing for value. *Airline Business*, 15(7), 68–70.
- Sirakaya, E., & Woodside, A. G. (2005). Building and testing theories of decision making by travellers. *Tourism Management*, 26(6), 815–832.

Susskind, A. M., Bonn, M. A. & Dev, C. S. (2003). To look or book: An examination of consumers' apprehensiveness toward Internet use. *Journal of Travel Research*, 41(3), 256–264.

Tay, R., McCarthy, P. S. & Fletcher, J. J. (1996). A portfolio choice model of the demand for recreational trips. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 30(5), 325–337.

Weber, K., & Roehl, W. S. (1999). Profiling people searching for and purchasing travel products on the world wide web. *Journal of Travel Research*, 37(3), 291–298.

Woodside, A., & King, R. (2001). An updated model of travel and tourism purchase consumption systems. *Journal of Travel Tourism Marketing*, 10(1), 3–27

Table 1: Results of the Analysis

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	Log-odds
Destination (reference = Switzerland)			31.760	3	.000		
<i>Neighbouring countries</i>	-1.731	.161	114.928	1	.000	.177	-82.3%
<i>Non-neighbouring European countries</i>	-.693	.145	22.707	1	.000	.500	-5.0%
<i>Overseas</i>	.342	.142	5.766	1	.016	1.408	4.8%
Number of previous trips to destination	-.024	.004	46.892	1	.000	.976	-2.4%
Type of trip							
<i>City trip</i>	.065	.027	5.793	1	.016	1.068	6.8%
<i>Touring with multiple stops</i>	-.085	.031	7.478	1	.006	.919	-8.1%
<i>Events trip</i>	.064	.028	5.278	1	.022	1.066	6.6%
Number of participating members from household	-.220	.047	21.586	1	.000	.802	-19.8%
Overall duration of trip	.029	.007	18.457	1	.000	1.029	2.9%
Travel motivation							
<i>Get away from it all (daily routine)</i>	.116	.030	14.513	1	.000	1.122	12.2%
<i>Experience landscapes and nature</i>	-.059	.030	3.872	1	.049	.943	-5.7%
<i>Enjoy nightlife</i>	.074	.031	5.535	1	.019	1.077	7.7%
<i>Time for oneself</i>	.080	.026	9.763	1	.002	1.083	8.3%
Sources of information							
<i>Brochures of destination (communal level)</i>	-.066	.028	5.505	1	.019	.936	-6.4%
<i>Guide incorporating quite homogeneous destinations</i>	-.167	.036	22.009	1	.000	.846	-15.4%
<i>Brochures of tour operators</i>	-.115	.038	9.048	1	.003	.891	-1.9%
<i>Advice from travel agencies</i>	-.211	.039	29.352	1	.000	.810	-19.0%
<i>Advice from rail service</i>	.105	.037	8.005	1	.005	1.111	11.1%
<i>Advice from NTOs in Switzerland</i>	.137	.038	12.803	1	.000	1.146	14.6%
<i>Website of a destination</i>	.104	.026	16.190	1	.000	1.109	1.9%
<i>Website of a hotel chain</i>	.058	.030	3.854	1	.050	1.060	6.0%
<i>Website of a holiday home chain/ provider</i>	.182	.034	29.414	1	.000	1.200	2.0%
<i>Website of a single accommodation provider</i>	.265	.025	108.182	1	.000	1.303	3.3%
<i>Website of an airline</i>	.304	.031	95.102	1	.000	1.356	35.6%
<i>Website of a transport company (not airline)</i>	.092	.031	9.038	1	.003	1.097	9.7%
<i>Website of a tour operator or travel agency</i>	-.234	.043	29.418	1	.000	.791	-2.9%
<i>Website of a travel portal/consolidator</i>	.155	.038	16.148	1	.000	1.167	16.7%
<i>Ads in newspapers and magazines</i>	-.117	.037	1.138	1	.001	.889	-11.1%
<i>TV text</i>	-.132	.038	11.995	1	.001	.876	-12.4%
Decision making and booking timeframe							
<i>Duration (weeks) between point of decision making and time of booking of any element of trip</i>	-.031	.006	3.829	1	.000	.970	-3.0%
<i>Point of booking of any element of trip (weeks) prior to departure</i>	.016	.004	2.219	1	.000	1.017	1.7%
Organisation of trip (reference = individual travel)			35.547	3	.000		
<i>Single package travel (individual time, no tour guide)</i>	.281	.141	3.999	1	.046	1.325	32.5%
<i>Group package travel</i>	-.641	.215	8.859	1	.003	.527	-43.3%
Travel expenditure and income							
<i>Overall per person</i>	.000	.000	13.809	1	.000	1.000	.0%
<i>Overall per person per day</i>	.001	.000	6.981	1	.008	1.001	.1%
<i>Household income</i>	.000	.000	11.517	1	.001	1.000	.0%
Professional occupation (reference: home economics/home duties)			55.600	13	.000		
<i>Unemployed, looking for a job</i>	.614	.167	13.570	1	.000	1.847	84.7%
<i>In training: vocational education</i>	.809	.320	6.394	1	.011	2.245	124.5%
<i>In training: middle school</i>	.776	.374	4.318	1	.038	2.174	117.4%
<i>In training: student at university or other tertiary education</i>	.685	.191	12.828	1	.000	1.983	98.3%
<i>CEO, top management, chief public servant</i>	1.191	.254	22.031	1	.000	3.291	229.1%
<i>Self-employed in trade and other</i>	1.065	.230	21.387	1	.000	2.900	19.0%
<i>Farmer</i>	.494	.189	6.810	1	.009	1.639	63.9%
<i>Middle management</i>	.582	.221	6.912	1	.009	1.790	79.0%
<i>General employee, public servant</i>	.797	.135	34.816	1	.000	2.219	121.9%
<i>Worker, craftsman, technician</i>	.739	.120	37.871	1	.000	2.093	109.3%
<i>Retiree</i>	.676	.179	14.210	1	.000	1.967	96.7%
Constant	-.421	.216	3.817	1	.051	.656	-34.4%