



University of
Massachusetts
Amherst

Nutrient Management Choices

Item Type	article;article
Authors	DeMoranville, Carolyn J
Download date	2026-03-12 10:26:54
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14394/8860



UMassAmherst
The Commonwealth's Flagship Campus

Nutrient Management Choices

Carolyn DeMoranville

January 18, 2017

Chart Book Recommendations

- These are the standard that MDAR will compare against
 - Recommendations should support crops as large as 500 bbl/acre
- Choices of how to deliver the nutrients
 - Industry standard has been fast-acting granulars
 - Some growers have incorporated some slow-acting granular
 - Controlled Release (granular) - some forms can be used in a single application
 - Recent uptick in use of liquids (designed to feed the roots)
 - Mineral-based
 - Organic (mainly fish)

Comparing Common Choices

- Cranberry Station Bogs
 - We have been using 2 granular applications
 - 10.12.24 part slow SCU (~50%) at roughneck (100 lbs/a)
 - 18.8.18 soluble granular at 75% bloom (early set)
- 2016 Comparisons
 - Our program
 - All controlled release 16.7.16, applied in May
 - All liquid (Loveland products), 5 applications
 - Spring and post-set fish with 18.8.18 at bloom/set

Fish fertilizer plot work

	Yield (bbl/a)			% Rot			ratio new:old growth		
	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016	2014	2015	2016
T1 - std. gran.	274	469	465	1.6	14.5	41.6	0.34	0.48	0.39
T2 - low rate fish	209	239	231	0.5	1	11.6	0.25	0.29	0.29
T3 - higher rate fish	204	256	257	0.2	1.4	17.1	0.22	0.3	0.38
T4 - hybrid fish	245	403	339	0.9	4	26.5	0.28	0.34	0.33

	No. apps	lbs/acre N		
		2014	2015	2016
T1 - std. gran.	2	32.5	37	37
T2 - low rate fish	5	3	4.2	13.6
T3 - higher rate fish	4	4.6	4.6	14.6
T4 - hybrid fish	3/1	15.9	20.4	25.9

Key – black bold, largest
black not bold, not stat. diff.
red bold – stat. lower

2016 all got 100 lbs/a 10.12.24

Fish fertilizer compare at Rocky

Location	Actual yield of section (bbl/a)	Yield from samples (bbl/a)	% Rot	ratio new:old growth
North	285	359	3.4	0.32
South	189	391	3.9	0.33

Location	Fertilizer	No. apps	lbs/acre		
			N rate	P rate	K rate
North	Std. granular	2	32.5	9.7	38.6
South	Fish/gran.	3	29	6.1	23

What did we learn?

- Early and late fish may be able to replace spring granular
 - 5 gal per acre at roughneck and post set
 - 18-18-18 at late bloom/early set
 - Rate adjustment may be needed
- Fish *may* suppress rot
 - Plot work in 2015 and 2016
 - Can't see a difference if pressure is low
 - Plots in 2014
 - Rocky in 2016

Howes fertilizer compare at SB

Location	Yield (bbl/a)	% Rot	ratio new:old growth
Section 1	190	0.8	0.55
Checkerboard	191	0.7	0.58

Location	Fertilizer	No. apps	lbs/acre		
			N rate	P rate	K rate
Section 1	Liquid	5	31.2	4.4	17.3
Checkboard	CRF	1	32	6.2	26.6

Stevens fertilizer compare at SB

Key – black bold, largest
red bold – stat. lower

Location	Yield (bbl/a)	% Rot	ratio new:old growth	Tissue %N
Section 3	187	4.4	0.27	0.87
Checkerboard	226	1.9	0.57	0.92
Section 4	281	4.1	0.42	0.99

Location	Fertilizer	No. apps	lbs/acre		
			N rate	P rate	K rate
Section 3	Liquid	5	31.2	4.4	17.3
Checkboard	CRF	1	32	6.2	26.6
Section 4	Std. granular	2	37	10.6	42.3

What did we learn?

- When changing to CRF from fast-acting materials N rate should be reduced with caution
 - State Bog Stevens in 2016; 5 lb less N had lower yield
- Liquid programs can work as well as granular CRF
 - State Bog Howes in 2016
 - Loveland liquid vs. 16-7-16 CRF; yield was similar at similar N rate

What did we learn?

- We still have more to learn regarding liquids
 - On Stevens at State Bog; liquid had reduced yield compared to CRF with similar N rate and much lower yield than granular applied at 5 lb/a more N
 - Upright growth was stunted

Next steps for liquids?

- Use liquid like fish?
 - Supplement in spring and late summer with granular at set
- Gather more information from grower experiences
 - Essential for going all liquid