



University of
Massachusetts
Amherst

The Benefits of Travel: : Family and Relationships Review of Literature

Item Type	event;event
Authors	Durko, Angela M.;Petrick, James F.
Download date	2025-10-29 07:33:43
Link to Item	https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14394/48581

The Benefits of Travel: Family and Relationships Review of Literature

Angela M. Durko
Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Sciences
Texas A&M University

and

James F. Petrick
Department of Recreation, Park and Tourism Sciences
Texas A&M University

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this review was to examine existent research on the benefits of travel applicable to working adults, couples, families and extended family members. While travel is often perceived as an outlet for relaxation, education and a chance to escape the mundane, recent research suggests travel has several deeper benefits for families, relationships, and the individual. Findings from the extensive review of literature revealed that tourism provides positive benefits for adults, children and couples. Time allotted for family bonding is decreasing, likely attributed to increased career demands and changing family structures. Several studies cited this, and showed travel as a means to improve communications within a relationship, reduce the possibility of divorce, strengthen lifelong family bonds and increase a sense of well-being in adults and children. Gaps in the existent research were noted, and potential suggestions for future research are addressed.

Keywords: *benefits of tourism, relationship benefits, family benefits, family travel*

INTRODUCTION

For generations, a highlight of childhood memories included the family vacation. However, amid an unstable economy, and increasing workloads, Americans are dedicating more time and energy to their careers, often leading to increases in stress and decreases in family time. A vacation deprivation study by Expedia (2011) ranked US workers 18th of the 20 countries surveyed in vacation days granted and used. The study revealed that Americans cite work constraints and financial concerns as reasons they don't use their allotted vacation days. Conversely, Accenture (2012) published survey results that revealed 42 percent of respondents sacrificed time with family due to their careers, while 58 percent said this has negatively impacted their family life. This trend highlights a need for resources beneficial to the enhancement of family bonding amid constraints. Those in the tourism field have recognized this need and have begun to increase their focus on how vacations can be viewed as a resource that can build relationships, and increase family bonds (Kozack and Duman, 2012; Crompton and Keown, 2009; West and Merriam, 2009; Shaw, Havitz, and Delemere, 2008).


The purpose of this review was to examine existent research on the benefits of travel applicable to working adults, couples, families and extended family members and identify gaps

for future research. The review focused on three main hypotheses: H₁: Travel creates stronger family bonds/connections, lifetime memories, H₂: Travel maintains or improves the quality of family relationships, strengthens marriages, reduces the likelihood of divorce, and H₃: Travel increases individual and total family happiness, satisfaction with regard to family relationships. Finally, this study offers implications for future research on the potential benefits travel may provide to increase family cohesiveness, relationship satisfaction, and overall family satisfaction.

METHODS

Utilizing guidance from Webster and Watson (2002), a literature review was conducted to build a foundation for which to address the gaps in existent research, which may provide direction for the advancement of the study of tourism benefits. Primary literature was obtained by searching 42 online databases including the primary five, EBSCO, Ovid, ProQuest, Elsevier, and ISI. An expert panel was used to generate initial keywords to utilize. After multiple searches, four key terms appeared most relevant, and were then each paired with 20 secondary relevant terms. Keywords were further reduced to 14 secondary terms producing the most optimal results. The four key words repeated with each of the secondary words were holiday taking, travel, tourism and vacation. The 14 relevant secondary words were family, children, family satisfaction, couples, well-being, bonding, lifetime memories, family benefits, marriage, therapy, quality of life, relationships, grandparents, and life satisfaction. Thus, a search of each database listed previously included a search with the words travel and family, travel and children, travel and family satisfaction etc., followed by a search of holiday taking and family, holiday taking and children, holiday taking and family satisfaction, and so forth. The keywords were used in different combinations in different fields (title, abstract and topic).

Figure 1
Literature Review Process



A secondary literature search was carried out to obtain non-academic publications such as consumer trade articles, travel association publications and public and non-profit organization information not available or overlooked in the primary literature search. The following websites were searched: www.googlescholar.com, www.google.com, www.unwto.org, www.wto.org, www.ustravel.org, www.ttra.com, and various travel, leisure and family-focused magazines.

Finally, backward research were conducted to review material cited in the complied article set, and forward research completed to provide a review of material citing the research in the previously complied article set. The literature searches initially yielded 132 journal articles, papers and non-academic resources. After review of all articles by three graduate students, and a reliability of sources check by two Full Professors with expertise in this area, 64 resources were deemed relevant to this research and inclusive of useable empirical, theoretical and practical information. The majority of resources were recent; 37 published since 2006, 17 published between 2000-2005, 4 published in the 1990s, 4 in the 1980s and 2 in 1970s. In terms of geographical representation, the majority of articles reviewed came from US published journals and non-academic sources. Several others pertaining to Asian and European studies from international or foreign journals and non-academic sources were also included. All articles utilized were published in English.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Hypothesis One: Travel creates stronger family connection and lifetime memories

The research on the benefits of travel dates back to 1968, when Klausner first noted positive family and personal effects of prolonged vacations. Goodrich (1977) conducted research into the specific positive benefits of tourism and vacations with empirical studies of international travelers. More recently, works have revealed positive effects that recreation and leisure activities can have on family cohesion (Hornberger, Zabriskie, Freeman, 2010; Poff, 2010; Zabriskie, 2003; Zabriskie and McCormick, 2001; Holman and Jacquart, 1988; and Smith, Snyder and Monsama, 1988). As leisure activities are a major component of family vacations, and a leading reason for travel, research from the field of family studies and leisure could potentially be applicable to the benefits of travel for families.

Participation in leisure activities between parents and children has been found to enhance family relationships and perpetuate family cohesion between parent and child (Agate, et al., 2009; Zabriskie and McCormick, 2001). These shared activities by family members can create a unique experience that leads to an increase in bonding, connectedness and attachment. This can lead to children learning to share and get along better with others, and create loyalty within the family and build positive communications (Lehto, et.al., 2009; Shaw, 2008; Smith, 1997).

This research focused on family bonds built through vacation time, however, several studies have begun to research the opposite effect; when vacations create stress and tension. During a vacation, people spend considerably more time together than in their daily lives. Too much time together has the potential to lead to negative outcomes and lackluster memories of said vacation. To combat negative perceptions of family travel, research focusing on the differences between what children and parents seek most from a family vacation and how this affects vacation decision making is emerging, but suggests a need for further research on travel motives and how motives effect vacation satisfaction levels. (Kozak and Duman, 2012; Kozak, 2010; Lehto et al, and Agate et al, 2009; Blichfeldt, 2008; Gram, 2005).

Hypothesis Two: Travel maintains or improves the quality of relationships, strengthens marriages, reduces the likelihood of divorce

With many adults working longer hours and taking on multiple jobs, relationship dynamics are also changing, and ultimately the amount of time couples spend together is changing (Hellerstein and Morill, 2011; Bianchi, 2011; Amato, Booth, Johnson, and Rogers, 2009). Thus, resources which foster positive relationships, provide a break from routine obligations and daily stressors, help increase relationship and overall life satisfaction levels, and explain relationship dissatisfaction, are likely becoming increasingly more important. Research by Lounsbury and Hoopes (1986) was among the first to quantify the positive changes in work and domestic satisfaction following a leisure vacation. Several empirical studies since have revealed a positive relationship between leisure activities and marital satisfaction (Newman and Newman, 2008; Presser, 2000; Holman and Jacquart, 1988; Hill, 1988). Holman and Jacquart (1988, p. 76) found that “leisure with a great deal of communications is important to maintaining the wife’s marital satisfaction when she is faced with a large number of stressful events”. Hill (1988) concluded that increased amounts of shared leisure were correlated with a strong reduction in the probability of divorce or separation. Future research could focus on measuring vacation as a variable of leisure time to justify whether these results could be applied to the benefits of vacations for couples.

More recently, several studies have revealed couples traveling together were more connected and intimate with their partner, improved their relationship when they returned home, and improved their communication skills during a vacation (Survey Says 2012; Brown, 2010; Kuno 2010; Chikani, Reding, Gunderson and McCarty, 2005). These studies did not address the fade out effect of the “vacation high”, nor did they consider if varying lengths or types of vacation affected satisfaction levels for couples after vacation. Future research could investigate these variables and the possible impacts they contribute to relationship satisfaction.

Finally, practitioners in the field of travel have recently begun to examine the trend of couples traveling without their significant other on girlfriend’s getaways or “mancations,” as a means to potentially increase satisfaction with their leisure choices and relationship satisfaction (Bond, 2012, Cavallari, 2008). Academic research has yet to build on this research by examining the relationship satisfaction levels of adults who have taken vacations independent of their significant other and the motives for doing so to explore the possible contribution this behavior may have on relationships.

Hypothesis Three: Travel increases individual and total family happiness, and increases well-being and overall quality of life (QOL).

Numerous studies have found travel to be one way to provide a break from the routines of life, and can also lead to increases in quality of life satisfaction (Dolnicar, Yanamandram, and Cliff, 2012; de Bloom, Geurts, Taris, Sonnentag, de Weerth, and Kompier, 2010; Fritz and Sonnentag, 2006; Strauss-Blasche and Marktl, 2000; Crompton, 1979). Research has also cited travel as a contributing factor of reduced work and domestic stress as well as increasing family-life satisfaction and physical health (Sirgy, Kruger, Lee and Yu, 2011; Bupa, 2011; de Bloom et al, 2010; Gilbert and Abdullah, 2004; Strauss-Blasche et al, 2002; Neal, Sirgy and Uysal, 1999).

Adding to this research is the emerging trend of “Grandtravel,” or Generational travel, to include extended family traveling together or grandparents traveling with their grandchildren. The U.S. Travel Industry Association estimates at least 5 million US family vacations a year now span three generations, with grandparents often paying the bill (Hemlock, 2011). As baby boomers become one of the most marketable sectors for the travel industry, a gap exists for future research to investigate the extended health and well-being benefits travel for seniors can provide, while also addressing the travel constraints an aging population may face.

IMPLICATIONS

Of the 64 useable resources, 22 were obtained outside of academic research. The majority of those were focused on the benefits of travel for children (separated from the overall benefits to the family), and benefits for couples, in which the resources were obtained from travel magazines, destination publications, and publications from field representatives. Overall, while prevalent, academia is lacking areas of research to identify the benefits of travel particularly for adults and couples, thus suggesting a wide-open area for future research.

In researching the benefits of travel for families and adult couples, it became evident that empirical academic research focused on American travelers is further required to better understand the hypotheses that: 1) travel creates stronger family bonds, and 2) that travel could reduce the likelihood of divorce. The majority of academic articles used for this review studied those living and traveling outside the United States. Of the information pertaining to the likelihood that travel may reduce divorce and improve relationships, the majority of research has been focused on industry programs operating outside the US, which presently lack evidence to determine the program’s successes/failures. It is thus suggested that future studies be conducted to empirically examine the effects that travel has on family bonds and relationships, and in particular divorce rates. Research on these topics could provide the boost employers and employees need to increase the amount of vacation time granted and ease apprehension to use vacation days and more importantly, help families have better relationships.

REFERENCES

- Accenture (2012) The Path Forward: International Women’s Day 2012 Global Research Results. <http://www.accenture.com/SiteCollectionDocuments/PDF/Accenture-IWD-Research-Deck-2012-FINAL.pdf> (accessed May 1, 2012).
- Agate, J., Zabriskie, R., Agate, S., and Poff, R. (2009). Family leisure satisfaction and satisfaction with family life. *Journal of Leisure Research* 41(2), 205-223.
- Amato, P., Booth, A., Johnson, D., & Rogers, S. (2007). *Alone Together: How Marriage in America Is Changing*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Bianchi, S. (2011). Family change and time allocation in American families. *ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 638(1), 21-44.
- Blichfeldt, B. (2006). A nice vacation: Variations in experience aspirations and travel careers. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 13(2).
- Bond, M. (2009). Girlfriends’ getaways a booming trend in travel. http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/24114207/ns/travel-seasonal_travel/t/girlfriends-getaways-booming-trend-travel/#.UId13MXA_JY (accessed October 3, 2012).

- Brown, C. (2010, Nov 19). Majority of couples get intimate more during one week holiday than two months at home. *Travel Daily News International*.
http://www.traveldailynews.com/pages/show_page/40096 (accessed March 29, 2012).
- Cavallari, R. (2008). What women want: Girlfriend getaways make up \$6 billion travel segment. *Hotel & Motel Management*, 223(9), 8-24.
- Chikani, V., Reding, D., Gunderson, P., and McCarty, C. (2005). Vacations improve mental health among rural women: The Wisconsin rural women's health study. *Wisconsin Medical Journal*, 104(6).
- Crompton, J. (1979). Motivations for pleasure vacation. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 6(4), 408-424.
- Crompton, S., Keown, L. (2009, May 14). Going on vacation: Benefits sought from pleasure travel. *Canadian Social Trends Statistics Canada* — Catalogue no. 11-008.
<http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/11-008-x/2009001/article/10850-eng.htm> (accessed March 29, 2012).
- de Bloom, J., Geurts, S. A. E., Taris, T. W., Sonnentag, S., de Weerth, C., & Kompier, M. A. J. (2010). Effects of vacation from work on health and well-being: Lots of fun, quickly gone. *Work & Stress*, 24(2), 196-216.
- Dolnicar, S., Yanamandram, V., & Cliff, K. (2012). The contribution of vacations to quality of life. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(1), 59-83.
- Expedia 2011 Vacation Deprivation Study. (2011).
<http://media.expedia.com/media/content/expus/graphics/other/pdf/vacation-deprivation-fact-sheetnov2011.pdf> (accessed March 12, 2012).
- Fritz, C., & Sonnentag, S. (2006). Recovery, Well-Being, and Performance-Related Outcomes: The Role of Workload and Vacation Experiences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(4), 936-945.
- Gilbert, D., & Abdullah, J. (2004). Holidaytaking and the sense of well-being. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31(1), 103-121.
- Goodrich, J. (1977). Benefit bundle analysis: An empirical study of international travelers. *Journal of Travel Research*, 16, 6-9.
- Gram, M. (2005). Family holidays, A qualitative analysis of family holiday experiences. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, 5(1), 2-22.
- Hellerstein, J., & Morrill, M. (2011). Booms, busts, and divorce. *Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy*, 11(1), 54.
- Hemlock, D. (2011, March 4) More vacations are spanning 3 generations. *The Chicago Tribune*.
http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2011-03-04/travel/ct-trav-0306-family-vacations-20110304_1_cruise-lines-bermuda-cruise-vacations (accessed April 23, 2012).
- Hill, M. (1988). Marital stability and spouses' shared time: A multidisciplinary hypothesis. *Journal of Family Issues*, 9(4), 427-451.
- Holman, T., & Jacquart, M. (1988). Leisure-activity patterns and marital satisfaction: A further test. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 50(1), 69-77.
- Hornberger, L., Zabriskie, R., & Freeman, P. (2010). Contributions of family leisure to family functioning among single-parent families. *Leisure Sciences*, 32(2), 143-161.
- Klausner, W. (1968). An experiment in leisure. *Science Journal*, 4, 81-85.
- Kozak, M. (2010). Holiday taking decisions – The role of spouses. *Tourism Management*, 31(4), 489-494.

- Kozak, M. & Duman, T. (2012). Family members and vacation satisfaction: Proposal of a conceptual framework. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 14(2), 192-204.
- Kuoni. (2010 December). Kuoni Holiday Report.
http://www.kuoni.co.uk/en/services/about_kuoni/news/press_releases/holiday_report/pages/holidayreport2010.aspx (accessed April 23, 2012).
- Leedy, P., & Ormrod, J. (2005). *Practical research: Planning and design* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Lehto, X., Choi, S., Lin, Y., & MacDermid, S. (2009). Vacation and family functioning. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 36(3), 459-479.
- Lounsbury, J. W., & Hoopes, L. L. (1986). A vacation from work: Changes in work and nonwork outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71(3), 392-401.
- Neal, J. D., Sirgy, M. J., & Uysal, M. (1999). The role of satisfaction with leisure travel/tourism services and experience in satisfaction with leisure life and overall life. *Journal of Business Research*, 44(3), 153-163.
- Newman, B. & Newman, P. (2008). *Development Through Life: A Psychosocial Approach*. Wadsworth Publishing; 10, 422.
- Poff, R. (2010). Modeling family leisure and related family constructs: A national study of U.S. parent and youth perspectives. *Journal of Leisure Research* 42(3), 365-391.
- Presser, H. (2000). Nonstandard work schedules and marital instability. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 62, 93-110.
- Shaw, S., Havitz, M., & Delemere, F. (2008). I decided to invest in my kids' memories: Family Vacations, Memories, and the Social Construction of the family. *Journal of Tourism, Culture, and Communication*, 8, 13-16.
- Sirgy, M. J., Kruger, P. S., Lee, D.-J., & Yu, G. B. (2011). How does a travel trip affect tourists' life satisfaction? *Journal of Travel Research*, 50(3), 261-275.
- Smith, D. (1997). Strengthening family values in the twenty-first century: Home-centered recreation. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation, and Dance*, 68(8), 39-41.
- Smith, G., Snyder, T., & Monsama, B. (1988). Predicting relationship satisfaction from couples' use of leisure time. *American Journal of Family Therapy*, 16(1), 3-13.
- Strauss-Blasche, G., Ekmekcioglu, C., & Marktl, W. (2002). Moderating effects of vacation on reactions to work and domestic stress. *Leisure Sciences*, 24(2), 237-249.
- Strauss-Blasche, G., Ekmekcioglu, C., & Marktl, W. (2000). Does vacation enable recuperation? Changes in well-being associated with time away from work. *Occupational Medicine*, 50(3), 167-172.
- Survey Says: A Cruise Vacation Heats up Romance for Couples. (2012, Feb 10). Royal Caribbean Romance at Sea Survey.
http://www.prweb.com/releases/Royal_Caribbean/Romance_Survey/prweb9187956.html (accessed March 28, 2012).
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the past to prepare for the future: Writing a literature review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), 13-23.
- West, P. & Merriam, L. (2009). Outdoor recreation and family cohesiveness. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 41(3), 351-359.

Zabriskie, R. & McCormick, B. (2001). The influences of family leisure patterns on perceptions of family functioning. *Family Relations: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Applied Family Studies*, 50(3), 281-289.

Zabriskie, R. (2003). Parent and child perspectives of family leisure involvement and satisfaction with family life. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 35(2), 163.